

The amphibians and reptiles of Mindanao Island, southern Philippines, II: the herpetofauna of northeast Mindanao and adjacent islands

Marites B. Sanguila¹, Kerry A. Cobb², Cameron D. Siler³, Arvin C. Diesmos⁴,
Angel C. Alcala⁵, Rafe M. Brown²

1 Biodiversity Informatics and Research Center, Father Saturnino Urios University, San Francisco St., 8600 Butuan City, Philippines **2** Biodiversity Institute and Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045-7561, USA **3** Sam Noble Oklahoma Museum of Natural History and Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73072-7029, USA **4** Herpetology Section, Zoology Division, Philippine National Museum, Rizal Park, Burgos St., Ermita 1000, Manila, Philippines **5** Angelo King Center for Research and Environmental Management, Silliman University, Dumaguete City 6200, Philippines

Corresponding author: Marites B. Sanguila (mbsanguila@urios.edu.ph)

Academic editor: A. Bauer | Received 9 July 2016 | Accepted 31 August 2016 | Published 17 October 2016

<http://zoobank.org/ADBDE1B8-8494-4280-8B1F-73F1E22D9237>

Citation: Sanguila MB, Cobb KA, Siler CD, Diesmos AC, Alcala AC, Brown RM (2016) The amphibians and reptiles of Mindanao Island, southern Philippines, II: the herpetofauna of northeast Mindanao and adjacent islands. ZooKeys 624: 1–132. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.624.9814

Abstract

We summarize all available amphibian and reptile species distribution data from the northeast Mindanao faunal region, including small islands associated with this subcenter of endemic vertebrate biodiversity. Together with all publicly available historical information from biodiversity repositories, we present new data from several major herpetological surveys, including recently conducted inventories on four major mountains of northeast Mindanao, and adjacent islands of Camiguin Sur, Dinagat, and Siargao. We present species accounts for all taxa, comment on unresolved taxonomic problems, and provide revisions to outdated IUCN conservation status assessments in cases where our new data significantly alter earlier classification status summaries. Together, our comprehensive analysis of this fauna suggests that the greater Mindanao faunal region possesses distinct subcenters of amphibian and reptile species diversity, and that until this area is revisited and its fauna and actually studied, with on-the-ground field work including targeted surveys of species distributions coupled to the study their natural history, our understanding of the diversity and conservation status of southern Philippine herpetological fauna will remain incomplete. Nevertheless, the northeast Mindanao geographical area (Caraga Region) appears to have the highest herpetological species diversity (at least 126 species) of any comparably-sized Philippine faunal subregion.

Keywords

Agusan del Norte, Agusan del Sur, Balatukan, Biodiversity, Camiguin Sur, Conservation, Dinagat, Hilong-hilong, Lumot, Magdiwata, Misamis Oriental, Siargao, Surigao del Norte, Surigao del Sur

Introduction

Recent efforts to conduct comprehensive herpetological surveys of the various islands of the Philippines have provided near-complete estimation of the amphibian and reptile diversity and endemism of several islands, mountain ranges, or other conspicuous geographical subcenters of diversity in the northern reaches of the archipelago (Brown et al. 2013a). These efforts have focused on the northern Babuyan and Batanes islands (Oliveros et al. 2011), the large northern island of Luzon (McLeod et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2011a; Brown et al. 1996, 2000a, 2012a, 2013a; Devan-Song and Brown 2012), and central island groups (Brown and Alcala 1961, 1964, 1986; Siler et al. 2012a), but little recent activity has documented other parts of the archipelago, especially the western island of Palawan, the Sulu Archipelago, and portions of the large southern island of Mindanao (Leviton 1963; Brown and Alcala 1970a; Peterson et al. 2008; Jones and Kennedy 2008; Siler et al. 2009). Mindanao supports high levels of herpetological diversity (Taylor 1920a,b, 1921, 1922a,b; Brown and Alcala 1970; Brown et al. 2013a; Diesmos et al. 2015) and considerable endemism, despite its close proximity to the larger landmasses of Sundaland (Borneo, Sumatra, and Java) and Wallacea (Sulawesi; Fig. 1). The current lack of information relating to Mindanao's herpetological diversity, can be attributed to several recent decades of increasing bureaucratic and security-related logistical obstacles to research, combined with earlier, possibly incorrect impression of some biologists that its herpetofauna is reasonably well known (Taylor 1920a, 1921, 1922a; Inger 1954; Brown and Alcala 1970, 1978, 1980; Ross and Lazell 1990).

The perception that southern portions of the Philippine archipelago are sufficiently studied and/or reasonably understood may derive in part from proximity-based expectations of faunal similarity between Mindanao, Sulu, and Palawan versus the islands of Sundaland and Wallacea (Boulenger 1920, Inger 1954, 1999, Leviton 1963a, Brown and Alcala 1970). Alternatively, some of this perception stems from the fact that Taylor worked extensively in the region, lived on Mindanao for over two years, collected more than 2,000 specimens from 1912–1915, and returned to survey sites in southern portions of the Philippines periodically until 1924 (Taylor 1975; Duellman 2015). He published widely on southern Philippine herpetofauna (Taylor 1917a,b, 1918a,b, 1919, 1920a,b, 1921, 1922a,b,c,d, 1923, 1928, 1960, 1965, 1975), with his own collections forming the basis for much of this work (Taylor 1975). With this impressive body of work as an early 20th Century starting point, later workers may have been motivated to focus elsewhere in their efforts to characterize new faunas (i.e., central and northern portions of the archipelago; Inger 1954; Leviton 1963a; Brown and Alcala 1970). As a result, few modern systematic treatments have revisited the southern Philippine endemics with modern collections-based technologies (fresh specimens,




Figure 1. Map of Mindanao Island in relation to the remaining Philippine archipelago (inset). Numbered study sites correspond to those listed in Table 1. Colored contours correspond to elevation (elevation key). Dinagat Island (Site 13) is often presumed to possess the same herpetofauna as Siargao (the small island to its southeast), whereas the fauna of Camiguin Sur Island (Sites 9–12) has been assumed to be somewhat distinct from that of Mindanao. Neither of these generalizations has been examine critically (Brown and Alcala 1967, 1970; Ross and Lazell 1991; Nuñez and Galorio 2015). Province names are abbreviated with unique letter combinations used in text and tables (provincial map key), and numbered sites are assigned to province (surveyed sites key) for clarity.

integrative techniques, ecological information, life history studies, acoustic data, genetic resources, or molecular data); in fact, many recent attempts at archipelago-wide revisions of Philippine fauna have had no alternative but to base decisions regarding species diversity (e.g., Diesmos et al. 2015) and conservation status (IUCN 2016) on Taylor's original specimens, collected over a century ago (Leviton 1964a,b,c,d, 1965a,b, 1967, 1968; Brown and Alcala 1978, 1980, 1994, 1997; Brown and Guttman 2002; Siler et al. 2011b).

Our prevailing perspective on patterns of endemism and subdivision of terrestrial biodiversity in the archipelago includes a general acceptance of a model of diversification based on late Pleistocene sea level oscillations and the generation of periodic land connectivity; this has been termed the Pleistocene Aggregate Island Complex (PAIC) paradigm (Inger 1954; Voris 2000; Brown and Diesmos 2009; Brown et al. 2013b). According to this model for understanding patterns of diversity (Brown and Diesmos 2009) and processes of diversification (Siler et al. 2010; Esselstyn and Brown 2009; Oaks et al. 2013; Brown et al. 2013b) the Mindanao PAIC is one large biogeographically significant sub-province within the archipelago, with Mindanao proper having been connected to the neighboring islands (Dinagat, Siargao, Samar, Leyte, Bohol, Biliran, etc.) of today by land bridges during the Pleistocene (Taylor 1928; Inger 1954; Voris 2000; Brown and Diesmos 2009). Because of this perspective, herpetologists have assumed that when sea levels were low during the Pleistocene, the emergence of land bridges allowed faunal exchanges between connected landmasses, producing highly endemic faunas within PAICs (Brown and Diesmos 2001, 2009) and archipelago-wide diversity generated by prevailing species boundaries between PAICs. Augmenting the PAIC paradigm, appreciation for recent geological events, such as accretion of paleoisland precursors along identified suture zones (Yumul et al. 2003, 2009), and repeated bouts of colonization (Siler et al. 2011b; Brown et al. 2013b; Brown and Siler 2013; Justiniano et al. 2015; Brown 2015) are assumed to contribute to the evolutionary process of diversification via within- and among-PAIC isolation. Habitat fragmentation, ecological gradients, and barriers in ecological suitability are assumed to have contributed as well, although these ideas are less studied potentialities for future research programs (Steppan et al. 2003; Esselstyn and Brown 2009; Brown et al. 2010a; Esselstyn et al. 2011; Roberts et al. 2011; Sanguila et al. 2011; Gonzales et al. 2014; Hosner et al. 2014). Together, these geological, geographic and faunal distribution patterns contribute to the Philippine archipelago's recognition as a "model" archipelago for the study of evolutionary processes of biotic diversification (Brown et al. 2013b). How is it, then, that the herpetofauna from the southern portion of this model island archipelago remains so poorly understood, with the many of Mindanao's endemic species absent in modern molecular phylogenetic studies, and fundamental phylogeographic studies of even its most common species sorely lacking (but see McGuire and Kiew 2001; Evans et al. 2003; Sanguila et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2011b; Brown and Siler 2013).

Mindanao was formed by the accretion of the island-arc related to the eastern-central block and the western continental Zamboanga peninsula block, separated by the

active Sindangan-Cotabato-Daguma lineament (Yumul et al. 2003). The eastern portion of the island was previously divided into the high mountains of the Pacific Cordillera from the Central Cordillera, separated by the Agusan-Davao trough (Yumul 2004, 2009). Many of the large isolated mountains in central Mindanao are separated from each other by substantial stretches of low-lying areas (Hall 1998) that were formed as a result of collision, accretion and subduction events over the past ten million years (Hall 1996, 1997; Yumul et al. 2003, 2009). This highly dynamic geological history suggests the possibility of faunal limits to dispersal within early paleoislands, a mechanism that might possibly have contributed to the diversification of the first amphibian and reptile lineages that colonized Mindanao (Brown and Alcala 1970; Brown and Guttman 2002; Evans et al. 2003; Sanguila et al. 2011; Brown et al. 2013b; Barley et al. 2014).

Previous studies have described the possibility of an “island-hopping” mode of dispersal across paleoislands to explain colonization of the eastern Philippine island arc (Diamond and Gilpin 1983; Brown and Guttman 2002; Jones and Kennedy 2008; Brown et al. 2009; Oliveros and Moyle 2010; Roberts et al. 2011; Sanguila et al. 2011; Brown and Siler 2013; Gonzales et al. 2014); many of these conceived west-to-east dispersal/diffusion route of colonization (Brown and Guttman 2002; Evans et al. 2003; Brown et al. 2009) from the western continental Zamboanga peninsula, as evidenced by the series of island formations distributed across the southern island (Hall 2002; Yumul 2004; Gonzales et al. 2014).

Because of obstacles to biologists’ access to parts of Mindanao, our current understanding of the island’s herpetofauna comes in large part from the historical works of Boulenger (1882, 1884, 1920), van Kampen (1923), Smith (1930, 1935), as well as the synthetic works of Taylor (1920a,b, 1921, 1922a,b), Leviton (1963a), Brown and Alcala (1970, 1978, 19780), and a few, scattered and site-specific studies (e.g., Smith 1993a,b; Ross and Lazell 1990). These have been subsidized by recent inventories from the eastern (Delima et al. 2006, 2007; Ates et al. 2009; Relox et al. 2011), central (Beukema 2011), and western (Nuñez et al. 2009) portions of the island. Each are welcomed additions but most have been constrained in scope and lacking biogeographical context.

In this paper, we take what we hope will be a first step towards ameliorating Mindanao’s herpetological information shortage, by initiating the second study in a series of attempts towards a comprehensive review of the herpetofauna of the island. In this paper, we focus on the regional diversity and endemism of amphibians and reptiles from one subcenter (northeast Mindanao) of the biogeographically distinct Mindanao PAIC. We present species accounts using data from our own intensive herpetological surveys of northeast Mindanao and its adjacent islands, and provide notes on each species’ microhabitats and natural history. To provide a biogeographical synthesis, we include historical museum records from all accessible biodiversity repositories. The anticipated result will be a new opportunity to review numerous unresolved taxonomic problems, provide a new standardized reference for species distributional data, a much needed biogeographical reconsideration, and a platform from which biodiversity specialists can undertake revisions of the conservation status of the poorly understood herpetofauna of the southern Philippines.

Materials and methods

We surveyed amphibian and reptile diversity at four major sites in Surigao del Norte, Surigao del Sur, Misamis Oriental, Agusan del Norte and Agusan del Sur provinces (Camiguin Sur, Dinagat and Siargao islands; Table 1) using standardized sampling techniques (Heyer et al. 1994) and specimen collection and preservation methodology (Brown et al. 2000a, 2012a, 2013a; ASIH 2004; Siler et al. 2011a; Simmons 2015). Our most recent surveys (March–April, 2009; June–August, 2012) involved intensive elevational transects on Mts. Balatukan and Lumot, Misamis Oriental Province and Mts. Magdiwata and Hilong-hilong, Agusan del Norte Province (Fig. 1). Surveys were conducted in early mornings, mid-day, afternoons, and evenings by experienced teams of four to eight individuals, sampling a wide variety of habitat types within each general study location. Habitats included dry forest on ridges, moist ravines, forest trails at all elevations, dry intermittent streambeds, small streams, seaps and swampy areas, large rivers, forest gaps and edges, and grassy open areas. Investigators at each sampling location made extensive surveys of each area (on foot) to ascertain habitat types and then visited each at varying times of the day. Nocturnal searches (1800–2400 hr) were conducted at each habitat type, within each sampling site, on dry and rainy nights. By concentrating field survey efforts to span the end of the dry season and the beginning of the rainy season (June–August) we were able to assure that each habitat type at each location was sampled under differing atmospheric conditions.

Sampling Locations. Data presented here include results of our own surveys (Table 2) and a variety of pre-existing collections, both intensive and incidental, from major Philippine and U.S. Museum collections (see acknowledgements). In addition to extensive collections housed at the California Academy of Sciences (CAS: fieldwork of E. H. Taylor, W. C. Brown, ACA, and colleagues), the University of Kansas (KU) and the National Museum of the Philippines (PNM; field work of RMB, ACD, MBS, KAC, and CDS), we summarize historical records from the Smithsonian (USNM), the Carnegie (CM), and Harvard (MCZ), originating from Misamis Oriental (northern Mindanao Region), Agusan del Norte, Agusan del Sur, Surigao del Norte, Surigao del Sur provinces (Caraga Region), Dinagat, and Siargao records (previously summarized by Ross and Lazell 1990) and Camiguin Province (Camiguin Sur Island). To be as comprehensive as possible in our treatment of northeast Mindanao Island, we include all of these records here, with the caveat that methods of surveying herpetological communities most likely differed among collection efforts and locations.

Results

We document at least 126 species of amphibians and reptiles from northeast Mindanao and adjacent islands, including 40 species of frogs, one species of caecilian, 49 species of lizards, 35 species of snakes, one species of freshwater turtle, and one species of crocodile (Table 2). This diversity represents approximately 36% percent of the total

Table 1. Northeast Mindanao faunal region sites included in this study (where herpetological specimens have been collected and/or observations have been recorded). Numbered sites correspond to Figure 1 (map; note that some sites are included under a single number in Figure 1 in cases of close proximity) and coordinates and elevation are included when available. * = sites georeferenced for this study; ** = combined extremely proximate sites into one set of coordinates.

| Site | Province | Municipality | Locality | Elevation (masl) | GPS Coordinates |
|------|------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Agusan del Norte | Cabadbaran | West of Mt. Hilong-hilong Peak, San Antonio & Balang-balang | 91–518 | 9.09551N, 125.702E |
| 1a | Agusan del Norte | Cabadbaran | Mt. Hilong-hilong W and SW of peak | 610–853 | 9.09758N, 125.676E |
| 1b | Agusan del Norte | Cabadbaran | Mt. Hilong-hilong SW and S side of peak | 1067–1417 | 9.07981N, 125.696E** |
| 1c | Agusan del Norte | Cabadbaran | Mt. Hilong-hilong, Taguibo and Dalaydayan River, S side of peak | 1067–1524 | 8.98638N, 125.620E* |
| 1d | Agusan del Norte | Cabadbaran | Mt. Hilong-hilong, S side of peak | 1524–1829 | 9.07981N, 125.696E** |
| 2 | Agusan del Norte | Remedios T. Romuladez | Mt. Magdiwata, Mt Hilong-hilong, Balang-balang | 101 | 9.05576N, 125.628E |
| 2a | Agusan del Norte | Remedios T. Romuladez | Agay River, Barangay San Antonio; Bato-batohon | 320 | 9.07663N, 125.655E |
| 2b | Agusan del Norte | Remedios T. Romuladez | Coconut Plantation, Mt. Hilong-hilong | 170 | 9.06490N, 125.641E |
| 2c | Agusan del Norte | Remedios T. Romuladez | Eye Falls, Intersection of Dayhopan and Agay Rivers, Mt. Hilong-hilong | 470 | 9.07520N, 125.664E |
| 2d | Agusan del Norte | Remedios T. Romuladez | May Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong | 900 | 9.06250N, 125.672E |
| 2e | Agusan del Norte | Remedios T. Romuladez | May Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong | 1130 | 9.62220N, 125.677E |
| 2f | Agusan del Norte | Remedios T. Romuladez | May Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong | 1150 | 9.06595N, 125.681E |
| 3 | Agusan del Norte | Butuan City | Butuan City | 6 | 8.94753N, 125.540E |
| 4 | Agusan del Norte | Buenavista | Barrio Matabao | 4 | 8.96448N, 125.423E |
| 5 | Agusan del Norte | Nasipit | Along Highway between Barangay Libertad and Amontay | 12 | 8.97482N, 125.361E |
| 6 | Agusan del Sur | Bunawan | Agusan Valley, Bunawan | 68 | 8.17877N, 125.998E |
| 6a | Agusan del Sur | Bunawan | Barangay San Marcos | 23 | 8.22238N, 125.932E |
| 7 | Agusan del Sur | San Francisco | San Francisco | 30 | 8.50897N, 125.969E |
| 7a | Agusan del Sur | San Francisco | Barangay Bayugan II, Mt. Magdiwata | 300–600 | 8.47308N, 125.986E |
| 7b | Agusan del Sur | San Francisco | Barangay Kaimpugan, Agusan Marsh | 33 | 8.40361N, 125.877E |
| 8 | Agusan del Sur | Talacogon | Talacogon | 24 | 8.33333N, 125.833E |
| 9 | Camiguin | Catarman | Mt. Mambajao, SW side of peak | 0–494 | 9.17120N, 124.724E* |
| 9a | Camiguin | Catarman | Mt. Mambajao, SW side of peak | 518–975 | 9.17120N, 124.724E* |
| 9b | Camiguin | Catarman | Mt. Mambajao, SW side of peak | 1036–1372 | 9.17120N, 124.724E* |
| 9c | Camiguin | Catarman | Tuasan Falls | NA | 9.15880N, 124.658E* |

| Site | Province | Municipality | Locality | Elevation (masl) | GPS Coordinates |
|------|-------------------|----------------|--|------------------|--------------------|
| 10 | Camiguin | Mahinog | Mahinog Town | 0 | 9.15000N, 124.783E |
| 10a | Camiguin | Mahinog | Barrio Benone, Sitio Malabon | 0 | 9.14666N, 124.793E |
| 11 | Camiguin | Mambajao | Mambajao town, along roadside | 0–369 | 9.24753N, 124.716E |
| 11a | Camiguin | Mambajao | 0.6 km NE of Katibawasan Falls | 375–853 | 9.21216N, 124.730E |
| 11b | Camiguin | Mambajao | Mt. Hibok-hibok, NW side of Nasawa Crater | 518–1113 | 9.18726N, 124.696E |
| 11c | Camiguin | Mambajao | Balintawak St., Cabua-an Resort | 43 | 9.20960N, 124.767E |
| 11d | Camiguin | Mambajao | Barangay Balbagon | 0 | 9.24387N, 124.737E |
| 11e | Camiguin | Mambajao | Barangay Pandan, Sitio Kampana | 1050 | 9.178016N, 124.71E |
| 11f | Camiguin | Mambajao | Barangay Pandan, Sitio Pamahawan | 707 | 9.192516N, 124.70E |
| 11g | Camiguin | Mambajao | Ardent Hotspring | 202 | 9.22662N, 124.688E |
| 12 | Camiguin | Guinsiliban | Barangay Cabuan | 0–150 | 9.12444N, 124.781E |
| 13 | Dinagat Islands | Loreto | Loreto, Kawayanan | 255 | 10.3500N, 125.616E |
| 13a | Dinagat Islands | Loreto | Barangay Esperanza | 5–116 | 10.3816N, 125.616E |
| 13b | Dinagat Islands | Loreto | Barangay San Juan | 26–72 | 10.3586N, 125.580E |
| 13c | Dinagat Islands | Loreto | Barangay Santiago, Mt. Cambinlin | NA | 10.3436N, 125.618E |
| 14 | Misamis Oriental | Cagayan de Oro | Cagayan de Oro City | 5 | 8.48300N, 124.650E |
| 15 | Misamis Oriental | Gingoog | Barangay Civoleg, Mt. Lumot, Camp 2 | 1236 | 8.69590N, 125.025E |
| 15a | Misamis Oriental | Gingoog | Barangay Civoleg, Mt. Lumot, Haribon | 1741 | 8.67870N, 125.028E |
| 15b | Misamis Oriental | Gingoog | Barangay Civoleg, Mt. Lumot, Shrine site | 1168 | 8.70630N, 125.020E |
| 15c | Misamis Oriental | Gingoog | Barangay Lumotan, Sitio San Isidro, Boy Scout Camp, Mt. Balatukan Natural Park | 400–2060 | 8.73255N, 125.003E |
| 15d | Misamis Oriental | Gingoog | Sitio Kibuko-boundary with Barangay Lawaan | 420 | 8.72160N, 125.079E |
| 16 | Misamis Oriental | Initao | Initao National Park | 8 | 8.83400N, 123.875E |
| 17 | Surigao del Norte | Surigao | Surigao City | 22 | 9.78380N, 125.488E |
| 18 | Surigao del Norte | Carrascal | Barangay Adlay | 11 | 9.40868N, 125.896E |
| 19 | Surigao del Sur | Hinatuan | Hinatuan | 8 | 8.36666N, 126.333E |
| 20 | Surigao del Sur | Lanuza | Barrio Sibahay | 152 | 9.25188N, 126.125E |
| 21 | Surigao del Sur | Tandag | Tandag | 11 | 9.10117N, 126.158E |

Table 2. The northeast Mindanao herpetological fauna summarized by family, geographical region, current conservation status (IUCN 2010, 2016), and recommended (revised) conservation status (*asterisks indicate conservation status revisions also proposed by Diesmos et al. 2014). Geographical area codes are provided for Agusan del Norte (ADN), Agusan del Sur (ADS), Camiguin Sur, (CAM), Dinagat Island (DIN), Siargao Island (records reported by Ross and Lazell, [1990], Misamis Oriental (MIS), Surigao del Norte (SDN) and Surigao del Sur (SDS) provinces. “H” = historical record only; “N” = new species geographical record; H/N = species known from historical record(s) and additional new locality records, reported here. Additional notes are included (see species accounts for discussion/explanation).

| Species | Distribution records by province | | | | | | | Status → revised | Additional notes |
|--|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|--|
| | ADN | ADS | CAM | DIN | SIA | MIS | SDN | SDS | |
| AMPHIBIA (Anurans) | | | | | | | | | |
| BUFONIDAE | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Ansonia muelleri</i> (Boulenger, 1887) | H/N | N | | H | | N | | | VU→DD* Priority for taxonomic research; subsequent conservation status assessment needed |
| <i>Pelophryne brevipes</i> (Peters, 1867) | | N | | | | | | | LC |
| <i>Rhinella marinus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) | | | | N | H | N | | | LC |
| CERATOBATRACHIDAE | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Platymantis</i> cf. <i>corrugatus</i> (sp. 34) (Dumeril, 1853) | H/N | N | H/N | H | | | | | LC Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis guentheri</i> (Boulenger, 1884) | H/N | N | | H | | N | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis</i> cf. <i>guntheri</i> sp. 48: <i>P. cf. guentheri</i> (Boulenger, 1884) | N | | | | | | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis</i> cf. <i>guntheri</i> sp. 2 | | | | | | N | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis rabori</i> Brown, Alcalá & Diesmos, 1998 | H/N | | | | | N | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis</i> sp. 20: “Hilong ground” | N | | | | | | | | VU Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis</i> sp. 21: “Clicker” | | N | | | | | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis</i> sp. 38: “Cliff loud” | N | N | | | | N | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Platymantis</i> sp. 39: “Dual” | | | | | | N | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| DICROGLOSSIDAE | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fejervarya moodiei</i> (Taylor, 1920) | H | | H | | | | H | | DD Lowland endemic, possibly threatened by invasive species; Conservation status assessment needed |
| <i>Fejervarya vittigera</i> (Wiegmann, 1824) | H | H/N | N | H/N | | | | | LC→DD Lowland endemic, possibly threatened by invasive species; Conservation status assessment needed |

| Species | | ADN | | ADS | Distribution records by province | | | | | | Status → revised | Additional notes | | |
|--|--|-----|--|-----|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | CAM | DIN | SIA | MIS | SDN | SDS | | | | |
| Limnonectes diuatus (Brown & Alcala, 1977) | | H/N | | | N | | | | N | | | VU→NT | | |
| Limnonectes leytensis (Boetger, 1893) | | H/N | | H/N | H | | | | N | H | | LC | | |
| Limnonectes cf. magnus (Stejneger, 1910) | | H/N | | H/N | H/N | H | | | N | | H | NT→DD | Priority for taxonomic research; subsequent conservation status assessment needed | |
| Limnonectes parvus (Taylor, 1920) | | | | N | | | | | | | | VU→NT* | | |
| Occidozyga laevis (Günther, 1859) | | H/N | | | H | | | | N | | | LC | | |
| MEGOPHRYIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leptobrachium lumadorum Brown, Siler, Diesmos & Alcala, 2009 | | N | | N | | | | | N | | | NA→LC* | New assessment | |
| Megophrys stejnegeri (Taylor, 1920) | | H/N | | H/N | | H | | | N | | | VU→NT | | |
| MICROHYLIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chaperina fusca Mocquard, 1892 | | H | | N | | | | | | | | LC | | |
| Kalophrynus sinensis Peters, 1867 | | H/N | | N | H/N | N | H | | | | | LC | | |
| Kaloula conjuncta meridionalis Inger, 1954 | | | | H/N | N | | | | | H | | LC→DD* | Priority for taxonomic research; subsequent conservation status assessment needed | |
| Kaloula picta (Duméril & Bibron, 1841) | | | | | | | | | | | | LC→DD | Lowland endemic, possibly threatened by invasive species; Conservation status assessment needed | |
| Kaloula sp. (undescribed) | | N | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oreophryne cf. nana Brown & Alcala, 1967 | | | | N | N/H | | | | N | | | LC→DD* | Priority for taxonomic research | |
| RANIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pulchrana grandocula (Taylor, 1920) | | H/N | | H/N | H/N | H | | | N | | H | LC | | |
| Sanguirana albotuberculata (Inger, 1954) | | H/N | | | | N | | | | | | DD→LC* | | |
| Staurois natator (Günther, 1858) | | H/N | | N | | H | | | N | | H | LC | | |
| RHACOPHORIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Theloderma (Nyctixalus) spinosum (Taylor, 1920) | | H/N | | N | | | | | N | | | VU→NT* | | |
| Philautus acutirostris (Peters, 1867) | | H/N | | | | | | | N | | | VU→NT* | | |
| Philautus poecilus Brown & Alcala, 1994 | | H | | | | | | | N | | | VU | | |
| Philautus surrufus Brown & Alcala 1994 | | | | | | | | | N | | | EN→VU* | | |
| Philautus surdus (Peters, 1863) | | H | | | | | | | | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research (Mindanao populations unstudied) | |

| Species | ADN | | Distribution records by province | | | | | | Status → revised | Additional notes |
|---|-----|-----|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|---|
| | ADN | ADS | CAM | DIN | SIA | MIS | SDN | SDS | | |
| <i>Philautus worcesteri</i> (Stejneger, 1905) | H/N | | | N | | | | | VU→NT* | |
| <i>Polypedates leucomystax</i> (Gravenhorst, 1829) | H/N | H/N | H/N | H | | N | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Kurixalus appendiculatus</i> (Günther, 1858) | | N | N | H/N | | N | | | LC→DD* | Priority for taxonomic research; subsequent conservation status assessment needed |
| <i>Rhacophorus bimaculatus</i> (Peters, 1867) | H/N | N | | N | | N | | | VU→NT* | |
| <i>Rhacophorus pardalis</i> (Günther, 1858) | | N | H/N | | H | | | | LC | |
| AMPHIBIA (Caeciliidae) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ICHTHYOPHIIDAE | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Ichthyophis mindanaensis</i> (Taylor, 1960) | | N | | | | | | | DD | |
| REPTILIA (Lizards) | | | | | | | | | | |
| AGAMIDAE | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Bronchocela</i> sp. | H/N | | H/N | H | H | N | | | NA→DD | New assessment |
| <i>Draco bimaculatus</i> (Günther, 1864) | H/N | H/N | | H | H | N | | | LC | |
| <i>Draco cyanopterus</i> Peters, 1867 | H/N | N | H/N | H/N | | N | | | LC | |
| <i>Draco mindanensis</i> Stejneger, 1908 | H | N | | H | | | | | VU | |
| <i>Draco ornatus</i> (Gray, 1845) | H | | | H | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Gonocephalus</i> cf. <i>interruptus</i> (Boulenger, 1885) | N | N | H/N | H/N | | N | | | DD | |
| <i>Hydrosaurus pustulatus</i> Escholtz, 1829 | N | | H/N | H/N | H | N | | H | VU | |
| DIBAMIDAE | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Dibamus</i> cf. <i>leucurus</i> Taylor, 1915 | | | H | | | N | | | DD | |
| GEKKONIDAE | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cyrtodactylus agusanensis</i> (Taylor, 1915) | H/N | N | | | | N | | | LC | |
| <i>Cyrtodactylus annulatus</i> (Taylor, 1915) | H/N | H/N | H/N | | | N | H | | LC | |
| <i>Cyrtodactylus mananua</i> Welton, Siler, Linkem, Diesmos & Brown, 2010 | | | | N | | | | | NA→LC | New assessment |
| <i>Gebyra mutilata</i> (Weigmann, 1834) | | | H/N | | | N | | | LC | |
| <i>Gekko</i> cf. <i>mindorensis</i> (Taylor, 1919) | | | N | | | | | | LC→DD | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Gekko gekko</i> (Linneus, 1758) | | | N | | | N | | | LC | |
| <i>Gekko monarchus</i> (Shlegel, 1836) | | | H | | | | | H | LC | |
| <i>Hemidactylus frenatus</i> (Duméril & Bibron, 1836) | | H | H/N | H/N | | N | | | LC | |

| Species | Distribution records by province | | | | | | | Status → revised | Additional notes | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|------------------|---|
| | ADN | ADS | CAM | DIN | SIA | MIS | SDN | | | SDS |
| <i>Hemidactylus platyrus</i> (Schneider, 1792) | | H | | H | | | | H | LC | |
| <i>Hemiphyllodactylus</i> cf. <i>typus</i> Bleeker, 1860 | | N | | | | | | | LC→DD | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Lepidodactylus aureolineatus</i> Taylor, 1915 | H | | H | | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Lepidodactylus labialis</i> (Peters, 1864) | H | | | | | N | | | LC→DD | |
| <i>Pseudogekko punggakypinit</i> | | | | H | | N | | | LC | |
| <i>Ptychozoon intermedium</i> Taylor, 1915 | | | | H | | | | | | |
| SCINCIDAE | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Brachymeles vulcani</i> Siler, Jones, Diesmos, Diesmos & Brown, 1912 | | | N | | | | | | VU | |
| <i>Brachymeles tiboliorum</i> Siler, Jones, Diesmos, Diesmos & Brown, 1912 | | | | | | N | | | NA→DD | New assessment |
| <i>Brachymeles bilong</i> Brown & Rabor, 1967 | H/N | N | H | | | N | N | H | NT | |
| <i>Brachymeles orientalis</i> Brown & Rabor, 1967 | H/N | H/N | H/N | N | | N | | | LC | |
| <i>Emoia atrocostata</i> (Lesson, 1830) | | | | H | | | | | | |
| <i>Eutropis multicarinata</i> | H | H/N | H | H | H | N | | | LC→DD | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Eutropis</i> cf. <i>multicarinata</i> | | N | | N | | N | | | LC→DD | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Eutropis</i> cf. <i>indepressa</i> (Brown & Alcala, 1980) | | N | H | N | | | | | LC→DD | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Eutropis multifasciata</i> (Kuhl, 1820) | N | N | H/N | H | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Lamprolepis smaragdina philippinica</i> (Merten, 1928) | N | N | H/N | H | | | H | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Lipinia auriculata herrei</i> (Taylor, 1922) | H | | | | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Lipinia pulchella pulchella</i> Gray, 1845 | H | N | | H | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Lipinia quadrivittata</i> (Peters, 1867) | | N | H | | | | | | NA→DD | New assessment; Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Otosaurus cumingi</i> Gray, 1845 | | N | | H | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Parvosincus</i> cf. <i>kitalangladensis</i> (Brown, 1995) | H/N | N | | | | N | | H | LC | |
| <i>Parvosincus steerei</i> (Stejneger, 1908) | H/N | N | H | | | N | N | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Pinoscincus abdictus abdictus</i> (Brown & Alcala, 1980) | H/N | N | H/N | H | | N | | H | LC | |
| <i>Pinoscincus coxi coxi</i> (Taylor, 1915) | H/N | H/N | H/N | | | N | | H | LC | |
| <i>Pinoscincus jagori jagori</i> (Peters, 1864) | N | N | | H/N | H | | | | LC | |
| <i>Pinoscincus mindanensis</i> (Taylor, 1915) | H/N | | | H | | | N | | NT | Conservation status assessment needed |

| Species | | ADN | | ADS | Distribution records by province | | | | | | Status → revised | Additional notes |
|--|--|-----|-----|-----|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | ADN | ADS | CAM | DIN | SIA | MIS | SDN | SDS | | | |
| <i>Sphenomorphus acutus</i> (Peters, 1864) | | H | N | | H | | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Sphenomorphus diuata</i> Brown & Rabor, 1967 | | H | | | | | | | | H | DD | Conservation status assessment needed |
| <i>Sphenomorphus fasciatus</i> (Gray, 1845) | | H/N | H/N | H/N | H | | N | | | | LC | |
| <i>Sphenomorphus variegatus</i> (Peters, 1867) | | H/N | H/N | H/N | H | | N | | | | NA→LC | New assessment |
| <i>Tropidophorus misaminius</i> Stejneger, 1908 | | H/N | H/N | H/N | N | | N | | | | LC | |
| <i>Tropidophorus partelloi</i> Stejneger, 1910 | | H/N | N | | H | | | | | | LC | |
| VARANIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Varanus cumingi</i> Martin, 1839 | | | N | | H | | N | | | | LC | |
| REPTILIA (Snakes) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COLUBRIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Ahaetulla prasina preocularis</i> (Taylor, 1912) | | H/N | | | H | | N | | | | LC | |
| <i>Boiga cynodon</i> (Boie, 1827) | | | | | H | | | | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Boiga dendrophila latifasciata</i> (Boulenger, 1896) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Calamaria gervaisi</i> Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854 | | H/N | | | | | N | | | | LC | |
| <i>Calamaria lumbricoidea</i> H. Boie in F. Boie, 1827 | | H/N | N | | H/N | H | N | | H | | LC | |
| <i>Chrysopelea paradisi</i> Boie, 1827 | | H | | | H/N | | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Coelagnathus erythrus</i> Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854 | | | N | | H/N | H | | | | | NA | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Cyclocorus nuchalis taylori</i> Leviton, 1967 | | H/N | | | H | N | H | | | | LC | |
| <i>Dendrelaphis marenae</i> Vogel & Van Rooijen, 2008 | | | N | | | | H | | | | LC | |
| <i>Dendrelaphis philippinensis</i> (Günther, 1879) | | N | | | H/N | H | H | | | | NA→LC | New assessment |
| <i>Gonyosoma oxycephalum</i> (Boie, 1827) | | | | H | | H | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Lycodon capucinus</i> (Boie, 1827) | | N | | | H/N | N | | H | | | LC | |
| <i>Lycodon dumerillii</i> (Boulenger, 1893) | | | | N | | N | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Oligodon maculatus</i> (Taylor, 1918) | | N | | | | | | | | H | LC | |
| <i>Stegnotus muelleri</i> Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854 | | N | N | | N | | N | | | | LC | |
| NATRICIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Rhabdophis auriculata auriculata</i> (Günther, 1858) | | H/N | N | | H | | N | | | | LC | |
| <i>Rhabdophis lineatus</i> (Peters, 1861) | | H/N | N | | H | | N | | | | LC | |
| <i>Tropidonophis dendrhiops</i> (Günther, 1883) | | | N | | H/N | H | N | | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |

| Species | ADN | | ADS | | Distribution records by province | | | | | | Status → revised | Additional notes |
|--|-----|-----|-----|--|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | CAM | DIN | SIA | MIS | SDN | SDS | | |
| ELAPIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Calliophis philippina</i> (Günther, 1864) | N | N | N | | H/N | H | | N | | | NA→LC | New assessment |
| <i>Calliophis</i> sp. | | | | | | N | | | | | | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Naja samarensis</i> Peters, 1861 | H | | | | H/N | H | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Ophiophagus hannah</i> (Cantor, 1836) | | | | | | H | | | | | | |
| HOMALOPSIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cerberus schneideri</i> (Schlegel, 1837) | | | | | | H | | | | | | |
| HYDROPHIIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hydrophis platyurus</i> Linnaeus, 1766 | | | | | | | | | H | | LC | |
| LAMPROPHIIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Oxyrhabdium modestum</i> (Dümeril, 1853) | H/N | | | | H/N | H | | N | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Psammodynastes pulverulentus</i> (Boie, 1827) | H/N | N | N | | N | H | H | N | | | NT→LC | |
| PAREIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Aplodactylus</i> sp. | N | H/N | | | | N | | | | | LC | |
| PYTHONIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Malayopython reticulatus</i> (Schneider, 1801) | N | | | | | | | | | | NA | Conservation status assessment needed |
| TYPHLOPIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Ramphotyphlops braminus</i> (Daudin, 1803) | | | | | H/N | | | | | | LC | |
| <i>Ramphotyphlops</i> cf. <i>cumingi</i> (Gray, 1845) | N | | | | | | | | | | DD | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Malayotyphlops</i> sp. | H | | | | | | | | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| VIPERIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Trimeresurus</i> cf. <i>flavomaculatus</i> (Gray, 1842) | N | N | | | | H | | N | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Tropidolaemus subannulatus</i> (Gray, 1842) | H/N | | | | | N | | N | | | LC | Priority for taxonomic research |
| <i>Tropidolaemus philippensis</i> (Gray, 1842) | | | | | | N | | | | | NA | Priority for taxonomic research |
| REPTILIA (Turtle) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BATAGURIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Coura ambonensis</i> (Riche in Daudin, 1802) | | | | | | H | | | | | LC | |
| REPTILIA (Crocodile) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CROCODYLIDAE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Crocodylus porosus</i> Schneider, 1801 | | | | | | H | | | | H | LC | |

Philippine herpetofauna (approximately 350 species; Brown 2007; Brown et al. 2008; Diesmos and Brown 2011; Brown and Stuart 2012; Diesmos et al. 2015) and 85–90% of the taxa reported here are endemic to the Philippines.

We provide accounts for each species, provide notes on their habitat and natural history, and draw attention to many unresolved taxonomic problems (involving ~40% of the species included) relevant to particular taxa. We also comment on the conservation status of individual species when our new data suggest that existing conservation status assessments (IUCN 2010, 2016; Diesmos et al. 2014, 2015) are out of date or require revision (Siler et al. 2011a; McLeod et al. 2011; Brown et al. 2012a, 2013a). In cases of taxonomic uncertainty involving frogs of the family Ceratobatrachidae, we refer to undescribed species by informal place-holder nicknames derived for distinctive characteristics (phenotype, habitat, calls; Brown et al. 2012a) and species identification numbers, following Brown et al. (2015)

Species accounts

Amphibia

Family Bufonidae

Ansonia muelleri (Boulenger, 1887)

This species (Fig. 2) is widespread across the island of Mindanao and is the sister species to *A. mcgregori* from the southern tip of the Zamboanga peninsula (Matsui et al. 2010; Sanguila et al. 2011). With its highly specific torrent-dwelling larval habitat requirements (Inger 1960, 1985), this species is limited to montane habitats (and lowlands immediately adjacent to mountains) with high gradient stream flow. As a result, it is widespread but distributed patchily throughout Mindanao in strips of geographic ranges surrounding major mountain ranges (Inger 1954, 1992, 2005; Duellman and Trueb 1994; Matsui et al. 2010). In suitable habitat this species was observed in large numbers and was often the most commonly observed amphibian in an immediate area. Adults were typically collected in splash zones near rapids or waterfalls although they were also found some distance from water, especially after a period of rainfall. A recent phylogeographic study identified five genetically divergent and geographically structured haplotype groups in central and eastern Mindanao. Sanguila et al. (2011) argued that, at a minimum, these lineages should be recognized as evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) for conservation purposes, and that they may represent distinct species. Two of these ESUs are represented in this study and morphological differences were observed between one form found at Mts. Hilong-hilong and Magdiwata and another morphotype present at Mts. Balatukan and Lumot. Little can be made of this species current conservation status (“Vulnerable:” B1ab(iii); IUCN 2016) because no actual studies of its population status have ever confirmed the “populations trend decreasing” assessment and because its “severely fragmented” distribution appears to be the result of its natural,



Figure 2. *Ansonia muelleri* male (**A** KU 332971) and female (**B** KU 334106) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photos: RMB.

larval habitat requirements. However, if Sanguila et al. (2011) data can be interpreted as evidence for multiple cryptic species contained within *A. muelleri*, then each of these five divergent lineages would have much more limited distributions, perhaps qualifying each for some level of threat category. In the absence of firm conclusions either way, and

until taxonomic studies are undertaken to confirm or refute this possibility, *A. muelleri* should be considered “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2010; Diesmos et al. 2014).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 332918. AN 11: KU 332862–901, KU 334101–03; AN 12: KU 332917; AN 14: KU 332902–16; AN 5: USNM 305558–68, USNM 305562–63, 305569–70; AN 9: KU 323441–44; AS 5: KU 319526, KU 319548–55, KU 319710, KU 319527–47, KU 319556, KU 319522–25; D 5: KU 309947–68; MO 2: KU 334802, KU 334105–06, KU 332958–71, KU 332927–57; MO 3: KU 332919–26, KU 334104; MO 5: KU 319711, KU 319713, KU 319714–319715, KU 319718, KU 319723–24, KU 319726, KU 319728–9, KU 319732, KU 319734–35, KU 319740, KU 319747, KU 319749–50, KU 319752, KU 319759–61, KU 319719, KU 319721, KU 319727, KU 319730, KU 319739, KU 319741–43, KU 319746, KU 319748, KU 319751, KU 319753, KU 319754, KU 319756, KU 319716, KU 319725, KU 319755, KU 319757, KU 319712, KU 319744, KU 319717, KU 319720, KU 319733, KU 319736–38, KU 319745, KU 319758, KU 319762, KU 319722, KU 319731; AN 3: CAS 133377–80, CAS 133387–90, CAS 133405–06, CAS 133402–03, CAS 133443–55, CAS 137509–15, CAS 133489–93; AN 4: CAS 133216–29, CAS 133153–61, CAS 133182–98, CAS 133249, CAS 133344, CAS 133283–5; AN 5: CAS 248315–9; AN 6: CAS 185731, CAS 133520, CAS 133528–29, CAS 133545–46, CAS 133535–39.

***Pelophryne brevipes* (Peters, 1867)**

As currently understood, this species (Fig. 3) inhabits an improbably disjunct distribution that includes Mindanao and Basilan Islands (type locality: Zamboanga), and parts of the Malay Peninsula, Sumatra, Mentawi Islands and Natuna Islands (Inger 1954, 1999). Considered “Least Concern” (LC) by IUCN (2016), this uncommon and patchily distributed small species can be found calling (with soft “peeping” vocalization) on the surfaces of shrubs and understory trees in the vicinity of running water. It appears to tolerate moderate levels of forest disturbance and has been found on ornamental plants around buildings on forest edges (RMB *personal observations*). We suspect that this species eventually will be recognized as a Philippines endemic, and that populations outside of the country will be referred to other species. At that time, reconsideration of its conservation status will be required.

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319434–39.

***Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

We frequently observe this common introduced species in the vicinity of human habitations and in agricultural areas on Mindanao. It may have originally been introduced to the Philippines during the industrial revolution and the major sugar cane agricultural production boom on the central Philippine island of Negros (Alcala 1957; Brown



Figure 3. *Pelophryne brevipes* male (KU 334658) from 1200 masl, Shrine Site, Municipality of Gingoog, Mt. Lumot, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

and Alcala 1970), and has now become widespread throughout the country (Alcala and Brown 1998; Diesmos et al. 2006, 2015). We observed this species around human populations at most sites, but did not encounter it in forested areas. We collected a single specimen at low elevation on Mt. Lumot.

Sites and specimens: MO 6: KU 333803.

Family Ceratobatrachidae

Platymantis sp. 34: *P. cf. corrugatus* (Duméril, 1853)

Platymantis cf. *corrugatus* (Fig. 4) is a commonly encountered widespread species on the Mindanao PAIC. Populations on these islands are morphologically and acoustically distinguishable from Luzon and Visayan PAIC lineages and molecular studies are underway to determine if these slight differences could constitute the basis for taxonomic recognition. Although the call on all three PAICs is similar, the lineage on the Mindanao PAIC (“sp. 34;” Brown et al. 2015) have a different preferred calling habit (calling from exposed areas, versus under leaf litter on Luzon) and daily pattern of activity (calling all evening versus strictly crepuscular; RMB *personal observations*.). *Platymantis corrugatus* is classified by the IUCN as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).



Figure 4. *Platymantis* cf. *corrugatus* male (KU 304501) and female (KU 304502) photographed together at Municipality of Mambajao, Mt. Mambajao, Camiguin Sur Island. Photo: CDS.

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133690; AN 11: KU 333301–09; AN 3: CAS 133572, CAS 133622, CAS 133678, CAS 133679–80, CAS 133779, CAS 185732–33; AN 5: USNM 305583–88, KU 319557–73; AS 6: KU 314062–64; C 1: CAS-SUA 24060, CAS-SUA 24106, CAS-SUA 24110; C 10: KU 300351, KU 300355; C 13: KU 309728–67, KU 310353–54; C 14: KU 309768–70; C 2: CAS-SUA 24058, CAS-SUA 2406–12, CAS-SUA 24092, CAS-SUA 24107–08; C 3: CAS-SUA 24063; C 6: CAS-SUA 23024–30, CAS-SUA 23044; C 7: CAS 139038–39, CAS-SUA 23031–42, USNM 305727–28; C 8: CAS-SUA 23043; D 5: KU 310004–06.

***Platymantis guentheri* (Boulenger, 1884)**

As currently understood, this common, widespread species (Fig. 5) is now known from seven Philippine islands throughout the Mindanao PAIC and is known from at least six of Mindanao's major mountain ranges (AmphibiaWeb 2013); Although *P. guentheri* has been considered "Vulnerable" (VU: B1ab(iii); IUCN 2016) on the basis of an "extent of occurrence less than 20,000 square km" and distribution "extremely fragmented distribution" with populations trends inferred to be declining on the basis of "continuing decline in the extent and quality of its habitat" (IUCN 2016), continued treatment of this species as under threat of extinction is no longer tractable. Our now extensive surveys throughout Bohol, Samar, Leyte, Dinagat and Mindanao indicate that this very widespread species



Figure 5. *Platymantis guentheri* male (**A** KU 309208) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB; (**B** KU 333494) from Mt. Mambajao, Municipality of Mambajao, Camiguin Sur Island. Photo: CDS.

persists in second growth, degraded, and fragmented habitat patches and is be highly abundant and commonly encountered (and easily identified on the basis of its frequency sweep advertisement call), given sufficient precipitation and any form of vegetation pre-

sent. We therefore downgrade this species to “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2010; Diesmos et al. 2014) and emphasize that we do not anticipate circumstances that could result in re-elevating of this species to a higher threat category in the foreseeable future.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 333492–526; AN 12: KU 333528–46; AN 5: USNM 305589–93, KU 319609–26; D 4: KU 306320–5, KU 310009; D 5: KU 310007–08, MO 2: KU 333547, MO 5: KU 321843–44, MO 6: KU 333548–50; AN 1: CAS 146460, AN 3: CAS 133411, CAS 133470, CAS 133570–71, CAS 133573, CAS 133644–45, CAS 133651–3, CAS 133780–81, CAS 146469–70, CAS 186122, CAS 186124–26, CAS 196378–79, CAS 200177; AN 4: CAS 133148–49, CAS 133202, CAS 133213, CAS 133257, CAS 133287–88, CAS 133307, CAS 133313, CAS 133332–33, CAS 133357–58; AN 6: CAS 133518–19, CAS 133531, CAS 133547–48, CAS 146468, CAS 186123.

***Platymantis* sp. 48: *P. cf. guentheri* (Boulenger, 1884)**

We collected four specimens of what appears to be a morphologically distinctive arboreal *Platymantis* (Fig. 6) at our mid- (470 m) and higher (1130 m) elevation sites on Mt. Hilong-hilong. Molecular studies are underway to determine whether these distinctive specimens are a unique species.

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 333827; AN 14: KU 333824–26.



Figure 6. *Platymantis* cf. *guentheri* sp. male 1 (KU 333536) from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

***Platymantis* cf. *guentheri* sp. 2**

We collected a single specimen of a morphologically distinctive arboreal *Platymantis* and high elevation on Mt. Lumot. Molecular studies are under way to determine the genetic affinities of the single specimen.

Site and specimens: MO 2: KU 334329.

***Platymantis rabori* Brown, Alcala & Diesmos, 1998**

Considered “Vulnerable” (VU; B1ab (iii); IUCN 2016) for the same reasons as *P. guentheri* (above), *Platymantis rabori* (Fig. 7) is relatively widespread on Bohol, Leyte, Samar, and Mindanao (AmphibiaWeb 2013), but is much less commonly encountered and locally considerably less abundant. This species is easily identified by its distinctive morphology (relatively large body size, widely expanded terminal disks of the fingers) and slowly repeated pulsed call, similar to other species of the *P. guentheri* complex (Brown et al. 1997) and it usually calls high in the forest canopy, which may explain why it is seldom collected and rare in collections. This species does appear dependent on forest canopy, suggesting that the original assessment of this species as VU is still appropriate.

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 333527, KU 334331–32; AN 13: KU 334333; MO 6: KU 334334–35, AN 5: CAS 197880.

***Platymantis* sp. 20: “Hilong ground”**

Several specimens of a morphologically distinctive terrestrial (leaf litter) species of *Platymantis* were collected on Mt. Hilong-hilong (Fig. 8). Although we have never heard this species vocalize (and have not yet identified its phylogenetic affinities), it is clearly distinct (intermediate body size and unique coloration) from other species included at this site.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334336–38; AN 14: KU 334339.

***Platymantis* sp. 21: “Clicker”**

This suspected new species of *Platymantis* (Fig. 9) has now been recorded at numerous sites on Mindanao, Bohol, Samar and Leyte islands and is readily identified by its small body size and unique advertisement call, consisting of a long chain of clicking pulses. Preliminary phylogenetic analyses of DNA sequence data suggest that these populations constitute a distinct lineage that is divergent from other Mindanao PAIC species.

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319508–12, KU 319403–17.



Figure 7. *Platymantis rabori* male (**A** KU 334334) and female (**B** KU 334335) from 900 masl, Mt. Lumot, Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photos: RMB.



Figure 8. *Platymantis* sp. “Hilong ground” male (KU 334339) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.



Figure 9. *Platymantis* sp. “Clicker” male (KU 334508) a species recorded but uncollected at 300 masl, Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province, Mindanao Island. This photo (by RMB) was taken at Pasonanca Natural Park, Zamboanga City.

***Platymantis* sp. 38: “Cliff loud”**

We collected this morphologically and acoustically distinctive undescribed species of *Platymantis* (Fig. 10) calling from steep hillsides and ravines on Mts. Hilong-hilong, Balatukan, Lumot, and Magdiwata. This new species calls only from the steep slopes and calls with a loud, paired, two-note calls.

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 334340–52, KU 334357–62; AN 14: KU 334353–56; AS 5: KU 319470–99, KU 319513–17, KU 319709, KU 319972; MO 6: KU 334363–83.

***Platymantis* sp. 39: “Dual”**

Two specimens of an acoustically unique *Platymantis* species (Fig. 11) were collected by ACD, MBS and party on Mt. Balatukan. The advertisement call of this population is so distinct that we cannot ally it with any known *Platymantis*.

Sites and specimens: MO 5: KU 319431–32.

Family Dicroglossidae***Fejervarya moodiei* (Taylor, 1920)**

This endemic species, formerly considered conspecific with *F. cancrivora* but now afforded the status of an endemic Philippine species, due to its genetic distinctiveness (Kurniawan et al. 2010, 2011), is common in coastal areas of NE Mindanao, brackish water swamps and mangroves, and river mouth estuarine areas. CAS specimens were collected in coastal areas, along riverbanks, four decades ago; an assessment of this species status in these heavily populated areas would be advisable. This species' conservation status is “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016). As lowland and coastal habitats throughout the country continue to be invaded by the introduced species *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* and *Kaloula pulchra* (Diesmos et al. 2006, 2014, 2015), these voracious generalist species replace entire populations of native frogs (RMB, ACD *personal observation*), either via competition for resources or direct predation on native taxa. We suspect this widespread Philippine endemic may soon become threatened with extinction; accordingly we recommend field-based surveys targeting conservation status assessment.

Sites and specimens: AN 8: CAS-SUA 16486–87; C 4: USNM 43210; SN 1: CAS-SUA 16484–85; AN 1: CAS 133726–29, CAS 146463. C 6: CAS-SUA 23092–94.



Figure 10. *Platymantis* sp. “Cliff-loud” male (specimen not collected) from Mt. Balatukan, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: ACD.



Figure 11. *Platymantis* sp. “Dual” male (specimen deposited in PNM) from Mt. Balatukan, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: ACD.

***Fejervarya vittigera* (Wiegmann, 1824)**

The first endemic Philippine species known to science, *F. vittigera* (Fig. 12) inhabits low elevation aquatic habitats and is often found in streams, drainage ditches and flooded rice fields. It is easily identified at a distance by its loud “honking” advertisement call and aggregation in large choruses. This species conservation status is currently “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) but we revise it to “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2010) to reflect the paucity of available data on its actual confirmed (versus presumed; IUCN 2016) distribution and the degree to which it may be threatened by invasive species. Like *F. moodiei*, this is another species that is quickly replaced when *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* and *Kaloula pulchra* invade its low elevation riparian habitat (RMB, ACD *personal observation*; Diesmos et al. 2006, 2014, 2015).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 185728–30; AN 1: CAS 133726–29, CAS 146463; AN 8: CAS-SUA 16486–87; AS 2: USNM 229333–54; AS 4: KU 314051–53; C 4: USNM 43210; C 6: CAS-SUA 23092–94; C 12: KU 302048–50; D 1: USNM 229311–13; D 4: KU 305655–57; SN 1: CAS-SUA 16484–85.

***Limnonectes diuatus* (Brown & Alcala, 1977)**

Recent collections of this species (Fig. 13) at high elevations on Mts. Balatukan and Lumot and on Dinagat Island make it clear that, as presently understood, this species is considerably more widespread than previously thought. Previously recorded in the Diwata range (Brown and Alcala 1977) and Mt. Kitanglad (Bukidnon Province; based on a single, tentatively identified specimen in FMNH; ACD *personal observation*), this species is most likely widely distributed on montane formations throughout much of northern and central Mindanao. This species’ conservation status has been arbitrarily listed since 2004 as “Vulnerable” (IUCN 2016) based solely on its previously assessed range of less than 20,000 square kilometers and possessing a “severely fragmented” distribution with “continuing decline in the extent and quality of its forest habitat,” (IUCN 2016) none of which has actually been determined with accompanying field based data. Now that it is known to inhabit a much wider geographic range (data presented here), we adopt the suggested revision of Diesmos et al. (2014) who downgraded this species status to “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2010). Given that there have, in fact, been no actual field studies of habitat fragmentation in its actual range and that we know nothing of the extent or quality of its required habitat, we fail to see how this species’ status could be elevated to a higher level, unless drastic land use changes at high elevations were to occur. Many of the known areas of occurrence are now protected areas (Mts. Hilong-hilong, Kitanglad) or proposed protected areas (e.g., Mt. Lumot), so this species may well be reasonably well protected for the foreseeable future.

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 33339–43; AN 13: KU 333369–73; AN 14: KU 333375, KU 333381–89; AN 3: CAS 133430–32, CAS 133434, CAS 133500, CAS 139389–93; D 5: KU 309992–310000.



Figure 12. *Fejervarya vittigera* has been observed in coastal and inland low elevation areas throughout northeast Mindanao. Photo (RMB; deposited at KU; specimen not yet cataloged) from the Municipality of Burauen, Leyte Island.



Figure 13. *Limnonectes diautus* male (KU 320112) from 1900 masl, Mt. Balatukan, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: ACD.

***Limnonectes leytenis* (Boetger, 1893)**

The Leyte Swamp Frog, *L. leytenis* (Fig. 14), is widely distributed on the Mindanao, Visayan, and Romblon PAICs and is frequently observed in swamps or marshes, but also along small streams or other bodies of water. We collected specimens on grassy banks of streams on Dinagat Island, and in marshy areas of Bunawan. Its conservation status is “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 333397–98, KU 333807; AS 2: USNM 229332; AS 4: KU 314059–61; D 2: KU 306071, KU 306073; D 4: KU 306064, KU 306066–67, KU 306074, KU 306078–80; MO 5: KU 319892–98; MO 6: KU 333809–14; AN 1: CAS 133738–9; AN 3: CAS 133369, CAS 133383, CAS 133681–82, CAS 145939; C 1: CAS-SUA 24087–88; C 2: CAS-SUA 24090, CAS-SUA 24109; C 3: CAS-SUA 24091; C 6: CAS-SUA 22857–59, CAS-SUA 22863–68, CAS-SUA 23084–91; SN 1: CAS 60580–82.

***Limnonectes cf. magnus* (Stejneger, 1910)**

Stejneger (1910) described *Limnonectes magnus* from specimens collected at high elevation on Mt. Apo. Over the century, this name was widely applied to all large bodied fanged frogs of the Mindanao PAIC (Samar, Leyte, Bohol; Brown and Alcala 1970; Alcala and Brown 1998). Evans et al. (2003) demonstrated the genetic distinctiveness of the high elevation Mt. Apo species, which is considerably divergent from the widespread low elevation species. Thus, the taxonomic status of the widespread, low elevation species remains unresolved with respect to real *L. magnus* at its type locality. *Limnonectes magnus* has been treated as “Near Threatened” because the species is hunted for its meat. However, the degree to which this species actually is “over-harvested” has never been properly ascertained (contrary to IUCN 2016) and there have been no studies whatsoever of its status on Mt. Apo. It remains possible that the widespread low elevation form (Fig. 15) should be downgraded to “Least Concern,” whereas the high elevation Mt. Apo populations may actually be range-restricted and worthy of higher conservation status. Until taxonomic studies have properly been undertaken, surveys for genetic variation are conducted throughout its range, and populations at high elevations have been studied, we argue that this species should be treated as “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016) and that field-base conservation efforts be focused on the Mt. Apo population.

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 333396, KU 333399–415, KU 333808. AN 11: KU 333343–68, KU 334798; AN 12: KU 333390–91, KU 333394–95, KU 334107; AN 14: KU 333374, KU 333376–80, KU 333382, AN 3: CAS 133384–86, CAS 133429, CAS 133433, CAS 133554, CAS 133673–74, CAS 133792, CAS 139396, CAS 186128; AN 4: CAS 133203–06; CAS 133232–3; AN 5: USNM 305598–99; AS 2: USNM 229355–58; AS 5: KU 319383–99; C 12: KU 302139–40; C 13: KU 309685–706; C 14: KU 309707–27; C 6: USNM 305729; C 7: USNM 305730–32; D 2: KU 306003–84; D 4: KU 306062–81; D 5: KU 309974–91; MO 2: KU



Figure 14. *Limnonectes leytensis* has been collected in Bunawan, Agusan del Sur Province and on Dinagat Island. Photo: RMB (from Bohol; KU 326352).



Figure 15. *Limnonectes* cf. *magnus* female (KU 333351) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

333428–77, KU 333478, KU 334796–97, KU 334799; MO 4: KU 333417–27; MO 5: KU 320078–116; MO 6: KU 333479–91; SS 2: CAS-SUA 17462.

***Limnonectes parvus* (Taylor, 1920)**

Commonly encountered and locally abundant in central, southern, and western Mindanao, this species (Fig. 16) is conspicuously absent at most NE Mindanao localities and has not been recorded on Bohol, Leyte, Dinagat, Siargao, or Samar. Considered “Vulnerable” (VU; IUCN 2016: B1ab (iii)) because of its supposedly small (< 20,000 square kilometers), its “severely fragmented distribution” and “continued decline in quality and extent of Mindanao’s forests, this species is now known from Bunawan in NE Mindano (the origin of our specimens), throughout much of south-central Mindanao, and all the way west to Zamboanga and Basilan. Given that no studies of its distribution have ever actually been conducted, it appears widespread, commonly encountered, and locally abundant wherever present, we consider a revision of its conservation status warranted. We take issue with the IUCN (2016) characterization of its range as “severely fragmented” and we follow Diesmos et al. (2014) in their downgrading of this species to “Near Threatened” (NT) using IUCN status assessment criteria (IUCN 2010). Our acceptance of this proposal (a downgrade from VU to NT, and not Least Concern) is based on the observation that the species appears somewhat dependent upon vegetation cover (but second growth and nursery forests appear sufficient to sustain large populations; RMB, ACD, MBS *personal observation*).

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319518–21.

***Occidozyga laevis* (Günther, 1859)**

Yellow-bellied Puddle Frogs are widespread, aquatic, non-endemic species found in a wide variety of freshwater habitats from streams, rivers, swamps, and flooded rice fields at low elevation, to cascading mountain streams in montane environments. This species’ conservation status is “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 333097–102; AN 5: USNM 305581–82, USNM 305769–70; C 6: CAS-SUA 22854; D 5: KU 310001–03; MO 2: KU 333109–37; MO 3: KU 333103–08; MO 5: KU 319790–98; MO 6: KU 333138–47; AN 3: CAS 133637–42, CAS 133675–77, CAS 137519.

Family Megophryidae

***Leptobrachium lumadorum* Brown, Siler, Diesmos & Alcalá, 2009**

Widespread throughout Mindanao and Basilan, but not Dinagat, Siargao, Leyte, Samar or Bohol (Brown et al. 2009), this species (Fig. 17) occurs at naturally low abun-



Figure 16. *Limnonectes parvus* has been collected in Municipality of Bunawan, Agusan del Sur Province. (from Davao City Province; KU 326069). Photo: RMB.



Figure 17. *Leptobrachium lumadorum* male (KU 334801) from 1200 masl, Shrine Site, Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

dances in a wide variety of habitats provided that some vegetation persists. We have encountered this species in agricultural areas adjacent to second growth, tree nurseries, along riparian habitats in lowland forest, and up to 1,500 m on Mts. Magdiwata, Hilong-hilong, Balatukan, and Lumot. Previously unassessed, we categorize this species as “Least Concern” (LC) using IUCN conservation status assessment criteria (IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 333673–75; AN 12: KU 333676; AS 5: KU 319449–50; MO 2: KU 333677–79, KU 334801; MO 5: KU 319773–76; MO 6: KU 333680–85.

***Megophrys stejneri* (Taylor, 1920)**

This species conservation status has been listed as “Vulnerable” (VU; IUCN 2016). Since 2004, this classification is no longer tenable given new information on its extremely widespread distribution (throughout all islands of the Mindanao PAIC), its wide ecological tolerance of disturbance, and the fact that it is commonly encountered and locally abundant. For the same reasons we have suggested downgrading *Platymanthis güntneri*, *Limnonectes parvus* and *L. diuatus*, we similarly propose a downgrade of *Megophrys stejneri* (Fig. 18) to “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2010) and emphasize that we can foresee no circumstances that conceivably would result in a higher level of threat category being assigned to this species in the near future.

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 333286; AN 11: KU 333259–72; AN 12: KU 333274–76; AN 13: KU 333277–78, AN 14: KU 333279–85; AN 3: CAS 133266, CAS 133391–92, CAS 133409–10, CAS 133465–68, CAS 133474–76, CAS 133486–88, CAS 133636, CAS 133657, CAS 133782, CAS 200178; AN 4: CAS 133127–32, CAS 133181, CAS 133250, CAS 133302–04, CAS 133310, CAS 133324, CAS 133337, CAS 133345–52; AN 5: USNM 305571–80; AN 6: CAS 133516–17, CAS 133527, CAS 133549–51; AS 2: USNM 229330–1; AS 5: KU 319592–608; D 5: KU 310029–31; MO 2: KU 333290–98, KU 334793, KU 334800; MO 3: KU 333287–9, KU 334792, MO 5: KU 319763–72, KU 321851; MO 6: KU 333299–300, KU 334794.

Family Microhylidae

***Chaperina fusca* Mocquard, 1892**

Known only from Mindanao, Jolo, and Palawan in the Philippines, but also from Peninsular Malaysia, Thailand and central Borneo, this species (Fig. 19) inhabits a wide geographic range, but is characterized by a patchy and unpredictable distribution. We commonly find this species in moderately sized (10–25 individuals), tightly clustered choruses surrounding stream-side pools (preferred breeding habitat) in rock impressions. The advertisement call of this species is a series of high frequency, quiet



Figure 18. *Megophrys stejnegeri* male (KU 333289) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

“peeps” and it is currently classified as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016); that status should be revisited following molecular studies aimed at determining the number of evolutionary lineages in this widespread, but poorly understood species.

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319440–48, AN 3: CAS 133542, CAS 133623.

***Kalophrynus sinensis* Peters, 1867**

Until recently, *Kalophrynus pleurostigma* Tschudi, 1838 (Fig. 20) was considered a widespread species from the Philippines, Indonesia, Singapore, Malaysia, Thailand and Myanmar (AmphibiaWeb 2013). Zug (2015) clarified the status of the Philippine population and resurrected *K. sinensis*—the oldest available name, *Calophrynus pleurostigma* var. *Sinensis* Peters (1867)—for the Philippine lineage. In doing so, he considered all Philippine populations to be conspecific, and thus, considered Boettger’s 1897 *Calophrynus acutirostris* (type loc.: Samar Island) and Stejneger’s (1908) *Kalophrynus stellatus* (Basilan Island) to be junior synonyms of *K. sinensis*. If future genetic studies determine that significant geographic structure exists to warrant the recognition of allopatric islands populations, additional names may need to be resurrected from the synonymy of *K. sinensis* (Zug 2015). *Kalophrynus sinensis* frequently is encountered in the rainy season, calling while floating in temporary pools or water filled cavities in a variety of habitats of varying levels of disturbance. It is classified as



Figure 19. *Chaperina fusca* female (**A** KU 321410) from Barangay Tulosa, Pasonanca Natural Park, Zamboanga City Province, Mindanao Island. Photo: RMB; (**B** KU 319441.) male from 1900 masl, Mt. Balatukan, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: ACD.



Figure 20. *Kalophrynus sinensis* male (KU 333148) from Mt. Hilong-hilong Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

“Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016). Our specimens were found floating in stream side pools, water-filled depressions on rocks, and even in water collected in half coconut shells in agricultural areas.

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 333152–53; AN 11: KU 333148, AN 12: KU 333149–51; AS 5: KU 319467–69, KU 314054–55; C 10: KU 301847–48, KU 327822–30; C 13: KU 309647–53, KU 310352; C 14: KU 309654–57; C 3: CAS-SUA 24093; C 7: CAS-SUA 22855, USNM 305724–26. D 4: KU 305872; D 5: KU 309969–73; AN 3: CAS 133567–69, CAS 133600–03, CAS 133633, CAS 133771–76, CAS 137516–18; C 1: CAS-SUA 24064–68, CAS-SUA 24094–96, CAS-SUA 24114–18; C 2: CAS-SUA 24069; C 3: CAS-SUA 24070; C 7: CAS 139035–36, CAS 139172.

Kaloula conjuncta meridionalis Inger, 1954

The curious, patchy, and unpredictable distribution of the Mindanao PAIC representative of the *Kaloula conjuncta* group (Inger 1954; Alcala and Brown 1998) leads us to think that this “subspecies” (Fig. 21) has a distinctly different natural history than the other taxa in this group (e.g., *K. c. conjuncta* from Luzon and *K. c. negrosensis* from the western Visayan islands of Panay, and Negros). In a recent phylogenetic analysis, Blackburn et al. (2013) demonstrated the monophyletic and genetic distinctiveness of



Figure 21. *Kaloula conjuncta meridionalis* females (KU 319452–53) were collected from Mt. Magdiwata, Barangay Bayugan II, Municipality of San Francisco, Agusan del Sur Province. Photo: RMB (TNHC 59636; from Municipality of Toril, Davao City Province).

each of the subspecies of *Kaloula conjuncta*, suggesting that each may warrant specific status. If this action is followed by taxonomists, the conservation status of *K. c. meridionalis* will need to be independently assessed. *Kaloula conjuncta* currently is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) and its subspecies have not been assessed individually. Frogs of the *Kaloula conjuncta* Complex emerges at the start of the rainy season, forming large breeding aggregations. At other times of the year they virtually undetectable (RMB *personal observation*), which will be a challenge for future conservation status assessments; we consider this taxon to be a distinct evolutionary lineage, worthy of species rank; as such we classify it as Data Deficient (DD; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AS 2: USNM 229325–29; AS 5: KU 319451–53; C 13: KU 319364; C 14: KU 309658; SN 1: CAS 89800, CAS-SUA 16166–69.

***Kaloula picta* (Duméril & Bibron, 1841)**

Distributed throughout the Philippines and formerly quite commonly encountered in dense aggregations in rice fields and temporary bodies of water in the rainy season, *Kaloula picta* (Fig. 22) has been considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016). We consider *Kaloula picta* to be “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2010), owing to lack of recent field observations confirming the species’ actual (versus presumed; IUCN



Figure 22. *Kaloula picta* were observed (specimens not collected) at the Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. (TNHC 56371; from Municipality of Toril, Davao City Province). Photo: RMB.

2016) distribution and the extent to which it may be threatened by invasive species. Unevaluated threats to this species may include competition and/or direct predation from recently introduced invasive species *K. pulchra* and *H. rugulosus* (Diesmos et al. 2006, 2015). Blackburn et al. (2013) demonstrated the genetic uniformity of this species throughout the Philippines, which would tend to refute Inger's (1954) suggestion that regional morphological differentiation within *K. picta* might eventually warrant taxonomic partitioning.

Sites and specimens: AS 4: KU 314056–57; C 12: KU 301865–76; C 14: KU 309659–61, KU 310356; D 1: USNM 229309–10.

***Kaloula* sp. (undescribed)**

An undescribed species of forest cavity-dwelling (tree hole) *Kaloula* has been documented on Leyte, Samar islands (Blackburn et al. 2013), and northeast Mindanao (Fig. 23). Distinctive “honking” vocalizations were heard during our 2012 survey of Mt. Hilong-hilong (RMB, *unpublished data*) but the source of these apparently species-specific calls was never documented because of the sheer, canyon-like terrain at Eye Falls. Nevertheless, we are certain of this new species' identity, having traced its distinctive advertisement call to individual calling males on numerous occasions on Samar and Leyte, and so inclusion of this undescribed species in the this report is clearly advisable at this time.

Sites and specimens: none.



Figure 23. *Kaluola* sp. female (KU 319451) from 1900 masl, Mt. Balatukan, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: ACD.

***Oreophryne* cf. *nana* Brown & Alcala, 1967**

Described from Camiguin Sur Island (Brown and Alcala 1967), *O. nana* reportedly differs from *O. anulata* (from Mt. Apo) on the basis of the absence of subarticular tubercles on the hand. Now that specimens of *Oreophryne* have been collected from numerous high and low elevation sites throughout Mindanao, a comprehensive appraisal of the genetic and morphological variation in this group is overdue. Our impression is that there are several sites where the degree of distinctiveness of the subarticular tubercles varies and that this character may require additional study. For now, we refer all our Northeast Mindanao *Oreophryne* to “*O. cf. nana*” with the caveat that we are not at all certain of this identification. This species (Fig. 24) is listed as “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016) due to taxonomic and species distribution uncertainty and as a taxonomic reappraisal becomes available, the status for the Camiguin Sur Island and northeast Mindanao populations will need to be revisited. Specimens from our Mt. Lumot expedition were positive for chytrid fungus (Brown et al. 2012b; Diesmos et al. 2012, 2015), which leaves us with concerns for the long-term status of these populations.

Sites and specimens: AS 6: KU 314058; C 13: KU 309662–84; C 3: CAS-SUA 24089; C 7: CAS 137552–54; C 8: CAS-SUA 22055–62; MO 2: KU 333341–42, KU 334100; MO 3: KU 333178, KU 334099; MO 4: KU 333310–40.



Figure 24. *Oreophryne* cf. *nana* male (**A** KU 334100) from 1700 masl, Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. (Photo: KAC) and from (**B** KU 333330), male from Barangay Civoleg, Haribon Site, 1700 m, Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB



Figure 25. *Pulchrana grandocula* female (KU333633) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

Family Ranidae

Pulchrana grandocula (Taylor, 1920)

This species (Fig. 25) is widespread, commonly encountered at high abundances, and distributed throughout the Mindanao PAIC islands (Brown and Guttman 2002; Brown and Siler 2013). Classified as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) the species can be found in a variety of disturbed habitats and is distributed across much of the elevational relief of Mindanao. Males form choruses around pools in streams and rivers and call when water levels are relatively low; females have been observed in these same riparian habitats, but also relatively far away from water (100 m or more), sometimes perching in low branches of understory trees, and occasionally aggregating in shallow caves formed by overhanging stream banks (RMB *personal observation*). The discovery of a new, morphologically similar, and exceedingly rare stream frog species that had previously been confused with *Hylarana grandocula* (Brown and Siler 2013; Brown 2015) leads us to speculate that mountains of northeast Mindanao may also harbor undocumented populations of this second Mindanao *Hylarana* taxon. Oliver et al. (2015) recently published a phylogeny for many members of the African, Papuan, and southeast Asian members of the genus *Hylarana* and recognized “*Pulchrana*” as the available name corresponding to the *Hylarana signata* complex (*sensu* Brown and Guttman 2002; Brown and Siler 2013). Although this action is arbitrary and unnecessary and no justification for a maximally atomized classification was provided (Wiens et al. 2009; Poe 2013; Brown et al. 2015) we adopt the most recently published name.

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 333592–602; AN 11: KU 333551–91; AN 5: USNM 305600–01; AS 2: USNM 229359–60; AS 5: KU 319627–40; C 12: KU 302374–79; C 14: KU 309771–819, KU 310355; C 6: USNM 305733–36; C 7: USNM 305737–38; D 2: KU 306445–72; D 2: KU 306480–90; D 4: KU 306439–44, KU 306473–79; D 5: KU 310015–28, KU 310370; MO 2: KU 333612–49; MO 4: KU 333603–11; MO 5: KU 319783–89; MO 6: KU 333650–71; AN 1: CAS 133722, CAS 186127; AN 3: CAS 133382, CAS 133503–04, CAS 133553, CAS 133664–65, CAS 137535–39, CAS 139397–98, CAS 145938; C 1: CAS-SUA 24071–77, CAS-SUA 24080–86, CAS-SUA 24101–53; C 6: CAS 139173–74, CAS-SUA 23064–83; C 7: CAS 139041–43, CAS 139175; SS 2: CAS-SUA 17499.

Sanguirana albotuberculata (Inger, 1954)

Previously considered a subspecies of “*Rana everetti*” (Inger 1954), the treatment of the Samar-Leyte-northeast Mindanao population of slender stream frog as a full species, distinct from the southwest Mindanao population (topotypic *Sanguirana everetti*) is an arrangement that was first postulated on the basis of morphometric data (Brown et al. 2000b, 2002; Fuiten et al. 2011), and has now been confirmed with genetic data (Brown et al. 2016). When reproductively active (July–August on Mt. Lumot) this species (Fig. 26) can be exceedingly common and locally abundant in riparian habitats, and usually perches in streamside vegetation, on steep banks, or large boulders, several meters from water; we have observed individuals as high as 4–5 m in river-bank trees. Lacking vocal sacs, *Sanguirana albotuberculata* males call with a slow, dull, pulsed rattle vocalization (the apparent advertisement call). Females also vocalize with a series of rapid “squeaks” and “whistles” delivered in a series of descending frequency notes (RMB *personal observation*) as has been reported two related species, *S. luzonensis* (Brown et al. 2000) and the lineage from the West Visayan islands’ (Brown et al. in review). Previously considered “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016), this species has now been recorded at a sufficient number of localities that we can evaluate it against IUCN (2010) conservation status criteria. *Sanguirana albotuberculata* does not qualify for elevated threat status; we consider it “Least Concern” (LC; Diesmos and Brown 2011; Diesmos et al. 2014).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 332972–3007; MO 2: KU 333008–30, KU 333034–41, KU 333059–67; MO 4: KU 333043–58; MO 5: KU 319777–82; MO 6: KU 333031–33, KU 333042; AN 3: CAS 133422–23, CAS 133469, CAS 133501, CAS 137533–34, CAS 139394–95; AN 5: USNM 305594–97.

Staurois natator (Günther, 1858)

Common throughout the Mindanao faunal region, *Staurois natator* (Fig. 27) is a frequently observed component of most amphibian communities of the southern Phil-



Figure 26. *Sanguirana albotuberculata* male (**A** KU 333000) and female (**B** KU 333001) from Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photos: RMB.

ippines (Alcala and Brown 1998). Arifin et al. (2011) demonstrated the distinction between Palawan faunal region populations (*S. nubilis*) versus those of the Mindanao PAIC (*S. natator*); remaining taxonomic issues include the status of Basilan popu-



Figure 27. *Staurois natator* male (**A** KU 327816) from Municipality of Mambajao, Mt. Mambajao, Camiguin Sur Island (Photo: CDS) and female (**B** KU 319809) from 1900 masl, Mt. Balatukan, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: ACD.

lations and the unique color pattern exhibited by populations of Samar and Leyte islands (RMB *personal observation*). This species is characterized as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).



Figure 28. *Theloderma spinosum* female (KU 333805) from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133683; AN 13: KU 333775–801; AN 3: CAS 133214, CAS 133264–68, CAS 133435–42, CAS 133464, CAS 133494, CAS 133555, CAS 133605–08, CAS 133658–61, CAS 133672, CAS 137525–32; AN 4: CAS 133146–47, CAS 133168–77, CAS 133230, CAS 133244–48, CAS 133282, CAS 133323, CAS 133342; AN 5: USNM 305602–11, KU 319500–07; D 2: KU 306562–91; D 5: KU 310034–66; MO 2: KU 333710–74; MO 4: KU 333686–94; MO 5: KU 319799–843; MO 6: KU 333695–709; SS 2: CAS-SUA 17497–98.

Family Rhacophoridae

Theloderma (Nyctixalus) spinosum (Taylor, 1920)

This somewhat rarely encountered species (Fig. 28) is known from Basilan, Leyte, Samar, Mindanao, and Bohol. Taylor speculated that its apparent “rarity” might be due to the species’ arboreal microhabitat preferences; he reported finding individuals underneath leaf litter inside a tree cavity (Taylor 1920a). We suspect that this species, which does not form choruses, is rare in collections because of the difficulty of localizing and tracking its soft, tonal advertisement call, and so we do not recommend elevating conservation status (*sensu* IUCN 2016) of this species on the basis of the frequency with which it has been collected historically in faunal surveys. We typically locate individuals



Figure 29. *Philautus acutirostris* female (KU 334110) from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

on shrubs and saplings after triangulating (with multiple field collectors) on the source of its recognizable, but soft whistling call. *Theloderma spinosum* calls with a quiet, high frequency, pure tone chirp or a rapid series of quiet, high frequency, ascending chirps. Based on our observations this species seems to rely on primary and mature secondary forest and has been collected along elevational ranges of 300–1,100 m (Brown and Alcala 1994; Alcala and Brown 1998). On the basis of a molecular phylogenetic analysis Poyarkov et al. (2015), recommended that *Nyctixalus* be considered a subgenus of *Theloderma*. This species was previously classified as “Vulnerable” (VU; IUCN 2016). It has recently been reassessed (Diesmos et al. 2014) on the basis of new data and qualifies only for “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2010; Diesmos et al. 2014, 2015).

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 333804–05; AS 5: KU 319400–02; MO 6: KU 333806; AN 3: CAS 133561, CAS 133629–32, CAS 139319.

***Philautus acutirostris* (Peters, 1867)**

This small shrub frog (Fig. 29) is one of the most conspicuous, locally abundant, and commonly recorded montane forest frogs—provided that field workers recognize its distinctive advertisement call (a rattle, with decremental pulse rate), conduct surveys on nights following heavy rains, and search its preferred microhabitat

(small leaf perches, including undersides of leaves, 0.3–1.0 m above forest floor). It is known from Jolo and Basilan islands of the Sulu Archipelago, and throughout the entirety of Mindanao Island from the extreme southwestern Zamboanga City area (RMB, ACD, CDS, and MBS *personal observations*) to the mountains of the northeast (Brown and Alcala 1994). As noted by Diesmos et al. (2014), the species IUCN conservation status (“Vulnerable:” B1ab(iii); IUCN 2016) is incorrect because no actual population research has ever confirmed the “populations trend decreasing due to continuing decline in the extent and quality of its forest habitat” justification (IUCN 2016). We found this species to be quite common, in dense aggregations, even in highly disturbed matrices of regenerating second growth and shifting agriculture on the lower slopes of Mts. Balatukan, Magdiwata, Hilong-hilong, and Lumot. Characterizing this species’ range as “severely fragmented” also appears to be erroneous because it is generally a mid- high-montage species (and, thus, has a naturally discontinuous distribution on isolated mountains). *Philautus acutirostris* was screened extensively for fungal; pathogens in 2010–2012 and we detected no signs of infection by chytrid fungus.

Sites and specimens: AN 3: CAS 133262, CAS 133394, CAS 133576, CAS 133649, CAS 133650; AN 4: CAS 133140, CAS 133164–67, CAS 133207, CAS 133211–12, CAS 133259, CAS 133289–90, CAS 133298–300, CAS 133308–09, CAS 133311–12, CAS 133334–36; AN 5: NMNH 497019–21; AN 12: KU 334108–55, KU 334157; AN 13: KU 334156.

***Philautus poecilus* Brown & Alcala, 1994**

This high elevation Mindanao endemic shrub frog (Fig. 30) calls from a variety of perches in primary forests above 700 or 800 meters. It has been recorded from forests of eastern Mindanao (Brown and Alcala 1994; Plaza and Sanguila 2015) to the more central high elevation forests of Mt. Lumot to Mt. Malingdang of western Mindanao (Nuñez et al. 2010). This is a species (in contrast to *P. acutirostris* and *P. worcesteri*), which actually does appear to be limited to relatively smaller areas of occurrence towards the higher elevation reaches of montane habitats. Although we emphasize that a naturally discontinuous geographical distribution does not constitute a “severely fragmented” range (IUCN 2016), that this species occurs in multiple protected areas, and that additional montane localities are certain to be added to the species geographical range once the mountains of Mindanao are properly surveyed, we follow Diesmos et al. (2014) and hold downgrading of *Philautus poecilus*’s conservation status in abeyance because available data do suggest that this species is a high elevation specialist, with a distribution limited to original forest. At one high elevation site (Mt. Lumot) where we found this species, we detected high prevalence and levels of chytrid infection in other species of treefrogs; *Philautus poecilus*, however, was negative for chytrid.

Sites and specimens: AN 6: CAS 133524–26, CAS 133530, CAS 133532, CAS 133543–44; MO 3: KU 334208–23.



Figure 30. *Philautus poecilus* male from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: KAC.

Philautus surrufus Brown & Alcala, 1994

Described originally from Dapitan Peak (10 km from Masawan, Misamis Occidental Province), this species (Fig. 31) is also known from another site in the same province (Mt. Malingdang; Nuñez et al. 2010), from Mt. Kitanglad (IUCN, 2016) and now from our work on Mt. Lumot (Misamis Oriental Province), constituting a substantial extension of its range, to the east. When Diesmos et al. (2014) downgraded from “Endangered” (EN; IUCN 2016) to “Vulnerable” (VU; IUCN 2016), they found that the species no longer qualified for the higher threat category principally on the basis of its much wider area of occurrence, and also the fact that it appears to tolerate at least some levels of disturbance to its preferred upper montane forest habitat.

Sites and specimens: MO 2: KU 334159–65; MO 3: 334158; MO 5: KU 321835–42.

Philautus surdus (Peters, 1863)

The presence of *Philautus surdus* (Fig. 32) on Mindanao is attributed exclusively to specimens from several sites in Agusan Del Norte province. Elsewhere in the Philippines, this species has been recorded from Bohol, Polillo, Catanduanes, and throughout Luzon (Brown and Alcala 1994). Considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016)



Figure 31. *Philautus surrufus* female from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

because of its wide distribution across multiple islands and including many protected areas. However, we emphasize that Philippine *Philautus* are notoriously difficult to identify (because of their similar size, variable appearance, and because most species exhibit color pattern polymorphism and have similar “crunch” mating calls), and we would not be surprised if future molecular data revealed the presence of multiple species masquerading within this oddly widely distributed species.

Sites and specimens: AN 3: CAS 133263, CAS 133646–47, CAS 133698–99, CAS 133809–10, CAS 133791, CAS 133793; AN 4: CAS 133163, CAS 133199–01, CAS 133343, CAS 133565, CAS 182565, CAS 182568, CAS 183202–04; AN 5: NMNH 305622–25; AN 12: KU 333815–20, KU 333822–23.

***Philautus worcesteri* (Stejneger, 1905)**

Brown et al. (1998) resurrected *Philautus worcesteri* from the synonymy of *Platymanthis guentheri* (where it was placed by Inger [1954]). Once recognized as the distinctive large-bodied *Philautus* of Mindanao, it was recorded at numerous montane sites throughout the island (Brown and Alcala 1994) and since has been documented at a variety of sites in the island’s northeast and southwest. This species (Fig. 33) no longer qualifies for a listing of “Vulnerable” (VU; IUCN 2016) because (1) it is no



Figure 32. *Philautus surdus* male from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

known from a much greater area of occurrence than when it was originally assessed (IUCN 2004), (2) it is known from many lower elevation areas (originally considered restricted to 800–2,000 m), and (3) because it has recently been recorded at several highly disturbed agro-forest, lower-elevation sites (Zamboanga City area, lower slopes of Mts. Lumot and Hilong-hilong). We therefore classify it as “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN, 2010) and we urge field workers to focus on its distinctive advertisement call (a loud, “crunch,” sounding to the human ear like “Yaak!,” repeated two or three times) when conducting future surveys. We suspect that this species has, in some past studies, not been detected because it perches higher and calls less frequently under drier atmospheric conditions. We screened this species for chytrid fungus at multiple sites between 2010 and 2012 and no infection was detected.

Sites and specimens: AN 4: CAS 133237, CAS 133252, CAS 133305-06, CAS 183415; AN 5: 497022; AN 6: CAS 1333521–23, CAS 133533–34; AN 12: KU 334175; D 5: KU 310010–310014; MO 2: KU 334182–334207, 334224; MO 3: KU 334158; KU 334177–334181; MO 5: KU 321845, KU 321847.

***Polypedates leucomystax* (Gravenhorst, 1829)**

Common and distributed throughout the archipelago, *Polypedates leucomystax* (Fig. 34) is a species that persists well in disturbed habitats, is ubiquitous in agricultural areas,



Figure 33. *Philautus worcesteri* male (KU 334207) from 1200 masl, Shrine Site, Municipality of Gingoog, Mt. Lumot, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

and is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010, 2016). Philippine populations are composed of two divergent and unrelated mitochondrial gene lineages (Brown et al. 2010b), one of which is limited to the Mindanao faunal region, Borneo, and the Malay Peninsula. The taxonomic status of these two lineages remains unresolved (Brown et al. 2010b).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133712–25; AN 10: KU 333068–72; AN 3: CAS 133562, CAS 133626–28, CAS 133643, CAS 133667–71, CAS 137520–24; AS 2: USNM 229361–67; AS 4: KU 314079–86; AS 6: KU 314078; C 1: CAS-SUA 24055, CAS-SUA 24111–13; C 12: KU 302450; C 14: KU 309820–21; C 6: CAS-SUA 23060–63; C 7: CAS-SUA 23045; C 8: CAS-SUA 23046–59; D 1: USNM 229314–18; MO 5: KU 319888–91; MO 6: KU 333073–96.

***Kurixalus appendiculatus* (Günther, 1858)**

Previously classified as a species of *Rhacophorus*, *K. appendiculatus* (Fig. 35) is distributed across much of the archipelago’s eastern island arc (Mindanao, Dinagat, Samar, Leyte, and northern Luzon), but is conspicuously absent on the intervening Bicol Peninsula (Brown and Alcala 1994). In a recent phylogeographic analysis of samples from throughout this range, Gonzales et al. (2014) suggested a novel island colonization



Figure 34. The frog *Polypedates leucomystax* is represented in the Philippines by at least two highly divergent mitochondrial gene lineages (Brown et al. 2010); one, shown here, is widely distributed from northern Luzon to northern Mindanao. Photo: K. Hesed (from Isabela Province, Luzon; KU 307625).

scenario and provided some evidence to suggest that *K. appendiculatus* in the Philippines may be composed of several independent evolutionary lineage, which eventually may be recognized as separate species. Based on this information and the unresolved taxonomic status of the three major Philippine lineages, Diesmos et al. (2014) recommend classification of Philippine populations of *K. appendiculatus* as “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016). The resolution of the status of these populations is an urgent conservation priority for the immediate future. This is a swamp, ephemeral pool, and stagnant water specialist species, which most likely lays its eggs in mud; the tadpoles are unknown.

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319454–66; AS 6: KU 314087; C 13: KU 309835–59; MO 6: KU 333802.

***Rhacophorus bimaculatus* (Peters, 1867)**

This common tree frog (Fig. 36) inhabits overhanging understory vegetation surrounding rapidly cascading streams in lower- to mid-montane forests. Its distinctive advertisement call is a single brief, high frequency, shrill chirp—and can be heard over the sound of waterfalls (its favored microhabitat). Previously considered uncommon, this species is now appreciated for its very specific microhabitat preference, wherein it can



Figure 35. *Kurixalus appendiculatus* has been recorded in Agusan del Sur, Camiguin, and Misamis Oriental provinces (Fig. 1). Photo: RMB (from the Municipality of Balangiga, Samar; specimen deposited at KU).

be predictably encountered by experienced field workers. Originally classified in 2004 as “Vulnerable” (VU; IUCN 2016), this species now qualifies only for “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2010; Diesmos et al. 2014) as a result of the numerous new localities at which it has been recorded (Gonzales et al. 2014), and the predictability with which it can be found, now that its habitat is known and can be purposefully surveyed (Diesmos et al. 2014, 2015).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 333154–67; AN 12: KU 333171; AN 13: KU 333168–70; AS 5: KU 319574–91; D 5: KU 310032–33; MO 2: KU 333180–249; MO 3: KU 333172–77, KU 333179; MO 5: KU 319846–87; MO 6: KU 333250–58; AN 3: CAS 133395, CAS 133427, CAS 133558–60, CAS 133621, CAS 133655–6, CAS 133666, CAS 133787–88, CAS 139399, CAS 180678–79; AN 4: CAS 133178–80, CAS 133251, CAS 133295–97; AN 5: CAS 182564.

***Rhacophorus pardalis* (Günther, 1858)**

This swamp- and ephemeral pond-breeding species (Fig. 37), as presently conceived, is distributed throughout the archipelago (Brown and Alcala 1994; Alcala and Brown 1998; Gaulke 2011). Outside of the country, populations referred to the same species have been reported from Peninsular Thailand, Borneo, and Sumatra (Manthey and Grossman 1997). Patchily distributed, this species is most often encountered perched



Figure 36. *Rhacophorus bimaculatus* male (KU 333195) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

in vegetation above stagnant pools such as feral pig wallows, and nearly any water-filled in original or even highly disturbed forest (RMB *personal observation*). Because of its wide distribution, this species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319647–50; C 1: CAS-SUA 24097–100; C 13: KU 309824; C 14: KU 309822–23, KU 309825–34.

Family Ichthyophiidae

Ichthyophis mindanaoensis (Taylor, 1960)

A single specimen putatively identified as *I. mindanaoensis* (Fig. 38) has been collected at Barangay Bayugan II, Municipality of San Francisco, Mt. Magdiwata. Collected in dry soil beneath a log several hundred meters from a small stream, this specimen appears to be a juvenile male. The taxonomy and extent of occurrence of *I. mindanaoensis* is somewhat uncertain. Other specimens have been reported from Bukidnon (central Mindanao), Mt. Malindang (western Mindanao; most likely misidentified exemplars of *I. glandulosus*, a close relative known from Basilan Island and the southern Zamboanga Peninsula), Davao City Province (eastern Mindanao) and South Cotabato Province (southern Mindanao; Taylor 1920a, 1960, 1965; Inger 1954, Diesmos et al. 2011, 2014, 2015). A much-needed effort to reexamine and reconsider the range of



Figure 37. *Rhacophorus pardalis* has been recorded from Agusan del Sur Province and Camiguin Sur Island. Photo: RMB (from the Municipality of Burauen, Leyte Island; specimen deposited at KU).

variation exhibited in traditional morphologically diagnostic characters (Taylor 1960, 1965) would now be possible, given the accumulation of specimens from throughout the island. Given the current uncertainty, however, concerning its distribution taxonomic status, this species is considered by the IUCN to be “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319433.

Reptilia: Lizards

Family Agamidae

Bronchocela sp.

This species (Fig. 39) was found asleep at night in shrubs and saplings 2–4 meters above the ground in secondary forest and along forest edges in agricultural areas. Individuals were especially common at site MO 6 at the edge of primary forest abutting a river. This species has a widespread distribution that includes Northeast Mindanao, Camiguin Sur, Dinagat and Siargao islands. At present, with the taxonomy of this group confused and unassessed using molecular data (Hallermann 2005), the conservation status of “*B. cristatella*” remains unassessed (IUCN 2016). If this taxon is as widespread as currently conceived (Hallermann 2005), its extremely broad distribu-



Figure 38. *Ichthyophis mindanaoensis* has been collected in Mt. Magdiwata, Municipality of San Francisco, Agusan del Sur Province. Photo: RMB (from Davao City Province, specimen deposited in Cincinnati Museum of Natural History).

tion throughout the Philippines and surrounding Sundaic landmasses, plus its constant presence in a wide variety of forested and unforested habitats, would qualify it for “Least Concern” (LC) using IUCN conservation status assessment criteria (IUCN 2010). We recommend treating this species as “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016) until a formal taxonomic review using both morphological and genetic data, can be performed. We suspect Philippine populations are not conspecific with the lineage at the type locality (Java Island, Indonesia); although Taylor named *Bronchocela marmorata* from northern Luzon, that name most likely would not apply to the distinctive population on the Mindanao PAIC (see also: Grismer et al. 2015, 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 334001; AN 5: USNM 497026; C 13: KU 309860–62; D 5: KU 310374; MO 2: KU 334002; MO 6: 334003–09; AN 1: CAS 133734; AN 3: CAS 133565; C 1: CAS-SUR 28348; C 2: CAS-SUR 28335; C 6: CAS-SUR 26132, CAS-SUR 26138.

Draco bimaculatus (Günther, 1864)

This species occurs throughout the Mindanao and Sulu faunal regions (McGuire and Alcalá 2000). We encountered *D. bimaculatus* (Fig. 40) in both primary and secondary forests as well as at the edges of coconut plantations immediately adjacent to forest; our



Figure 39. *Bronchocela* sp. male (KU 334441) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

observations are consistent with those of McGuire and Alcala (2000). The maximum elevational extent of this species appears to extend up to 990 m in elevation on Mt. Hilong-hilong. We observed individuals of this species low on trunks along the edges of primary forest, during the day; however one individual was found asleep on a branch at night. This species is classified by the IUCN as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 333951, KU 333980; AN 12: KU 333978–79; AS 2: USNM 229368–70; AS 5: KU 319645–46; AS 6: KU 314088–89; D 2: KU 305587; D 4: KU 310085–89; D 5: KU 310069–84; MO 6: KU 333952–77; AN 1: CAS 133685–6; AN 3: CAS 154695.

***Draco cyanopterus* Peters, 1867**

This species was quite common in coconut plantations and, like *Draco bimaculatus*, was found far from forest in a few instances. This species is classified by the IUCN as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) and its population size may be increasing due to the expansion of Mindanao’s ubiquitous coastal coconut plantations.

Sites and specimens: AN 15: KU 333989–97; AS 5: KU 319642–4; C 11: KU 309942–43; C 13: KU 309939–41; C 15: KU 309937–38, KU 309944–45; D 2: KU 305589–93; D 5: KU 310180; MO 5: KU 319949; MO 6: KU 333981–88; C 1: CAS-SUR 28200, CAS-SUR 28339; C 2: CAS-SUR 28349.



Figure 40. *Draco bimaculatus* is widely distributed throughout low elevation and coastal areas of north-east Mindanao. Photo: RMB (female from the Municipality of Bilar, Bohol Province, Bohol Island (specimen deposited in PNM)).

Draco mindanensis Stejneger, 1908

This species (Fig. 41) is rarely encountered in the wild and the extent of its occurrence is poorly known; it has been documented from Mindanao, Leyte, Samar, Dinagat and Siargao islands (Taylor 1922a; Ross and Lazell 1990; Smith 1993a; McGuire and Alcala 2000; Realubit et al. 2015). Specimens have only been observed in primary and mature secondary growth forest habitats, active during the day on trunks, usually quite high above the ground (5–10 m above the ground; RMB *personal observation*). A true forest obligate, this phylogenetically distinct (McGuire and Kiew 2001) species qualifies for formal recognition as a “Vulnerable” (VU; IUCN 2010, 2016) conservation status taxon. It is noteworthy that biologists have always considered this species to be rare (for review, see McGuire and Alcala 2000) and almost a century ago, following several years of near-continuous fieldwork in heavily forested central Mindanao, Taylor (1922a) had only collected a few specimens.

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319641; AN 1: CAS 133684; AN 3: CAS 133566.

Draco ornatus (Gray, 1845)

Known from Mindanao, Dinagat, Samar, Leyte and Bohol islands (McGuire and Alcala 2000), this species (Fig. 42) has been characterized as a primary and secondary



Figure 41. *Draco mindanensis*, a seldom-recorded forest species from Agusan del Norte and Agusan del Sur Provinces. **A** Close-up of head, male specimen **B** Male patagial coloration. Photos: RMB (from the Municipality of Balangiga, Samar Island; specimen deposited at KU).

forest inhabitant, that can also occasionally be observed in coconut palm plantations immediately adjacent to forest (McGuire and Alcala 2000). Like all *Draco*, it feeds ex-



Figure 42. *Draco ornatus* has been recorded only from Dinagat Island and Agusan del Norte Province. Photos: RMB (**A** dorsal; **B** ventral, from the Municipality of Burauen, Leyte Island; specimens deposited at KU).

clusively on ants and termites. It is categorized as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) for conservation planning purposes.

Sites and specimens: D 5: KU 310067–68; AN 4: CAS 133151–52, CAS 133254.

Gonocephalus cf. interruptus

Gonocephalus interruptus is the only species of *Gonocephalus* in the Philippines with a type specimen bearing specific locality data indicating that it was collected on Mindanao Island (although where on Mindanao is unclear). Precise type locality data are unavailable for *G. semperi* and *G. sophiae*, species originally reported only to have been originally collected from “The Philippines.” Although recent taxonomists and biogeographers have referred to specimens from Mindoro, Mindanao, and Bohol to *G. semperi* and others from Luzon, Mindanao and the western Visayas (Negros, Panay, Masbate) to *G. sophiae*, both species’ original descriptions were not accompanied by specific locality data. Thus, detailed comparisons of the name-bearing type specimens to fresh material from known localities will be necessary to definitively determine the proper application of these names to Philippine populations. Based on the crest morphology of our specimens and comparison to original illustrations (Boulenger 1885; Taylor 1922a, 1923) and their known provenance (Mindanao), we treat this taxon as most likely representative of *G. interruptus* (Fig. 43). We concede that additional phylogeny-



Figure 43. *Gonocephalus* cf. *interruptus* male (KU 334325) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

based taxonomic review will be required to confirm this designation and properly assign this name to the phenotypically most similar population, following examination of the name-bearing type (Boulenger 1885). We encountered this species at night, sleeping on the trunks of small trees and saplings in both moderately disturbed mature primary and secondary forest. *Gonocephalus interruptus* is treated by the IUCN as a “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016) taxon.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334319–20; AN 12: KU 334321–23; AS 5: KU 319903–19. C 7: CAS 139034, CAS 185492, CAS-SUR 26137. C 9: KU 309869, KU 309873; C 13: KU 309863; C 14: KU 309864–72. MO 2: KU 334324; MO 6: KU 334325–28.

***Hydrosaurus pustulatus* Eschsholtz, 1829**

An inhabitant of lowland riparian corridors, coastal forests, and mangroves (Smith 1993a, Siler et al. 2014a), we encountered *H. pustulatus* (Fig. 44) along rivers at lower elevation sites ranging from 170–420 m above sea level. Specimens were collected at night while sleeping in rock crevices as well as during the day when this species is active. Due to the rapid and continuing decline and fragmentation of the habitat upon which it depends, this species has been classified as having a “Vulnerable” conservation status (VU; IUCN 2016). A recent phylogeographic study supports the monophyly of Philip-



Figure 44. *Hydrosaurus pustulatus*, the widespread Sailfin Lizard has been recorded at numerous sites in Agusan del Norte and Misamis Oriental Provinces; the species is also known from Camiguin Sur Island. Photo: RMB (from the Municipality of Burauen, Leyte Island; specimen deposited at KU).

pine *H. pustulatus*, demonstrates that *H. amboinensis* does not occur in the Philippines, and indicates that there are six well structured haplotype groups across the archipelago, although given the data used in the study, not all haplotype groups corresponded with unique geographic ranges (Siler et al. 2014a). Specimens from Camiguin Sur and Dinagat island populations were recovered in several of these clades. Genetic samples from Northeast Mindanao were not available at the time of this study, and so additional research will be necessary to determine the relationship of the Northeast Mindanao populations to other populations of *H. pustulatus* in the Philippines, and whether or not they constitute their own Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) for conservation.

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334768–69; AN 12: KU 334767; C 14: KU 309874–76; C 9: KU 309877–79; D 1: USNM 229319; D 2: KU 305154, KU 305170, KU 305860–69; D 5: KU 310090–92; MO 6: KU 334770–72, KU 334803; C 6: CAS-SUR 26178; SS 3: CAS 15561.

Family Dibamidae

Dibamus cf. *leucurus* Taylor, 1915

We collected one specimen (Fig. 45) at site MO 6 on Mount Lumot; this individual matches Taylor's description of the holotype of *D. argenteus*, which he collected from



Figure 45. *Dibamus* cf. *leucurus* male (KU 334071) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

Butuan, Agusan Del Norte, Mindanao Island. We suspect that the southern Philippine population currently referred to *P. leucurus* is diagnosable as a distinct species, but this will need to be verified with a comparison to specimens from Sumatra, Indonesia (the type locality for *D. leucurus*). To our knowledge our new specimen is now the only representative of the genus from Northeast Mindanao, as Taylor's type specimen was destroyed during WWII (Brown and Alcala 1980). Other documented localities include Basilan Island and Camiguin Sur (CAS specimens). Our specimen was found beneath a pile of discarded coconut husks within a coconut plantation, mixed with secondary growth forest. So little is known about these secretive lizards that we are unable to comment on their conservation status and regard them as "Data Deficient" (DD; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: MO 6: KU 334071; C 1: CAS-SUR 28205, CAS-SUR 28334; C 6: CAS 138253–54, CAS-SUR 26140, CAS-SUR 26293, CAS-SUR 26298; C 7: CAS 137551, CAS-SUR 26147, CAS-SUR 26199.

Family Gekkonidae

Cyrtodactylus agusanensis (Taylor, 1915)

Formerly part of a species complex known from Mindanao, Leyte, Dinagat and Siargao islands (Taylor 1922a, Brown and Alcala 1978, Ross and Lazell 1990), the distri-



Figure 46. *Cyrtodactylus agusanensis* male (KU 334046) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

bution of this species (Fig. 46) has since been restricted to Eastern Mindanao following taxonomic revision (Welton et al. 2010). Consistent with observations reported by Brown and Alcala (1978), Smith (1993a), and Welton et al. (2010), we collected this species among boulders on the banks of streams. We also found them to be common on vegetation adjacent to streams as well as albeit less common on vegetation well away from water. One individual was collected from inside a cave at site 12. This species is currently listed as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334034, KU 334036, KU 334061–2; AN 12: KU 334044–52, KU 334054; AN 13: KU 334038–40; AN 5: USNM 496787; AS 5: KU 320014–21; MO 2: KU 334063; MO 6: KU 334059–70; AN 3: CAS 133424–6, CAS 133506–13, CAS 133634–35, CAS 133662–63, CAS 133697, CAS 133708, CAS 139316–8, CAS 186129.

Cyrtodactylus annulatus (Taylor, 1915)

Occurring in sympatry with *C. agusanensis*, *C. annulatus* (Fig. 47) can be identified by its smaller size and lower non-overlapping range of paravertebral scales (Brown and Alcala 1978; Welton et al. 2009). *Cyrtodactylus annulatus* has been reported from a number of localities across the archipelago including Camiguin Sur Island and in the northeastern regions of Mindanao Island. We frequently encountered these geckos on



Figure 47. *Cyrtodactylus annulatus* female (KU 334025) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

vegetation in the forest at night. This species is currently listed as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334037; AN 11: KU 334022–33, KU 334035; AN 12: KU 334041–43, KU 334053; AS 2: USNM 229373; AS 5: KU 320008–13; AS 6: KU 314944–46; C 14: KU 309363–66; MO 6: KU 334055–58, KU 334060, KU 334066–67; AN 1: CAS 133694, CAS 133760–61; AN 3: CAS 133556–57, CAS 133574, CAS 133783–84; C 1: CAS-SUR 28230–28, CAS-SUR 28390–96, CAS-SUR 28398–406; C 2: CAS-SUR 28397, CAS-SUR 28407; C 6: Sites and specimens: CAS 137542, CAS 139032, CAS 208601–02, CAS-SUR 26204, CAS-SUR 26211–13, CAS-SUR 26224, CAS-SUR 26227, CAS-SUR 26237, CAS-SUR 26303, CAS-SUR 26311, CAS-SUR 26319; C 7: CAS 137543–50, CAS 139033, CAS 185740–41, CAS 186413, CAS-SUR 26200–03, CAS-SUR 26240, CAS-SUR 26242, CAS-SUR 26307, CAS-SUR 26312, CAS-SUR 26315; C 8: CAS-SUR 26217–18, CAS-SUR 26238, CAS-SUR 26243, CAS-SUR 26304; SN 1: CAS 131838.

***Cyrtodactylus mamanwa* Welton, Siler, Linkem, Diesmos & Brown, 2010**

Formerly recognized as part of the *C. agusanensis* Complex, the Dinagat Island clade is now referred to as a unique Philippine endemic species, *C. mamanwa* (Fig. 48), as a result of an analysis of molecular and morphological evidence and a comprehensive



Figure 48. *Cyrtodactylus mamanwa* male (KU 305564) from Municipality of Loreto, Dinagat Island. Photo: CDS.

taxonomic review (Welton et al. 2010). This species was collected among large boulders and logs on the bank of a stream at night. Future surveys may reveal additional populations on Siargao Island. This species does not qualify for any elevated threat categories and we classify it as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: D 2: KU 305564–65 (paratypes); D 5: KU 310094–108 (paratypes), 310109 (holotype), 310110–12 (paratypes).

***Gehyra mutilata* (Wiegmann, 1834)**

This species of house gecko is quite common throughout the Philippines, and regularly encountered in residential habitats (in poorly lighted areas, in contrast to most house geckos such as *Hemidactylus platyurus* and *H. frenatus*, which are most frequently encountered below lights) mixed and disturbed forest edge habitats. To date, no phylogenetic studies have focused on understanding population genetic structure or patterns among the widespread species of house geckos in the Philippines (*G. mutilata*, *Hemidactylus platyurus*, or *H. frenatus*). Owing to its widespread distribution, this species does not qualify for any elevated threat categories and is classified as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: C 10: KU 302653–54; MO 6: KU 334018; C 1: CAS-SUR 28204, CAS-SUR 28379; C 6: CAS-SUR 26206, CAS-SUR 26210, CAS-SUR 26215, CAS-SUR 26222, CAS-SUR 26226, CAS-SUR 26300–01, CAS-SUR 26321.

Gekko cf. mindorensis

A recent phylogeographic (Siler et al. 2012c, 2014b) investigation identified eight genetically divergent and geographically structured clades within this Philippine endemic species, confirming previous speculation (Ferner et al. 2001, Brown et al. 2013) that many Philippine populations constitute a cryptic species complex. In a follow-up study, Siler et al. (2014b) recognized *G. kikuchii* as a member of the *G. mindorensis* Complex, with a distribution that included populations from the islands of Luzon (northern Philippines) and Lanyu (Taiwan). Because these changes render *G. mindorensis* paraphyletic, Siler et al. (2014b) suggest the recognition of *G. mindorensis* as a monophyletic clade that includes populations from the Mindoro PAIC, the Bicol Peninsula and Catanduanes Island of the Luzon PAIC, the Visayan PAIC, and Bohol and Camiguin Sur islands of the Mindanao PAIC. Following these studies, we recognize populations of Camiguin Sur (Fig. 49) and Panglao as *G. cf. mindorensis* in anticipation of taxonomic resolution of this complex and diverse group of Philippine endemic geckos. *Gekko mindorensis* is classified as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) but should now be considered “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2010) until a taxonomic assessment of species diversity can be performed.

Sites and specimens: C 10: KU 302683–86.

***Gekko gecko* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

This species occurs throughout Southeast Asia and has been recorded at most well surveyed sites throughout the Philippines with the exception of the Batanes and Babuyan Island Groups (Brown and Alcala 1970, 1978; Siler et al. 2012). We frequently observed this species on manmade structures, in well-lighted areas (Brown et al. 2012a, 2013a) or in nearby trees such as plantations. Its conservation status is “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: C 12: KU 304145–46; C 15: KU 309880–82; KU 310360; MO 3: KU 334015–16.

***Gekko monarchus* (Shlegel, 1836)**

Gekko monarchus although reported from a few localities in the oceanic portions of the Philippines (Taylor 1922a; Brown and Alcala 1978) has most frequently and convincingly been reported from Palawan. Recent molecular analyses of *Gekko* samples from throughout the country (Siler et al. 2012, 2014b) have failed to find true *G. monarchus* at any Philippine localities beyond Palawan, leading us to consider that the species may be extremely rare on the Mindanao PAIC or may be attributed to the oceanic (non-Palawan) portion of the archipelago in error.

Sites and specimens: C 6: CAS-SUR 26299; SS 3: CAS 15556–57.



Figure 49. *Gekko* cf. *mindorensis* (specimen not collected) from Municipality of Mambajao, Mt. Mambajao, Camiguin Sur Island. Photo: CDS.

Hemidactylus frenatus (Duméril & Bibron, 1836)

We observed this human commensal species in nearly every site we have surveyed in northeast Mindanao and off shore islands. Considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) because of its constant presence in human habitations, this species frequently has been discounted in faunal surveys and is, as a result, poorly studied.

Sites and specimens: AS 2: USNM 229374–81; C 14: KU 309883–5; D 1: USNM 229320–21; D 2: KU 305759–65; D 2: KU 305767–72; D 4: KU 305766; MO 7: KU 326553; C 6: CAS-SUR 26225.

Hemidactylus platyurus (Schneider, 1792)

Like its congener, *H. platyurus* is understudied and often overlooked in faunal inventories because it is a constant presence in and around human habitations. Although it may warrant its current IUCN conservation status (“Least Concern”; IUCN 2016), this categorization remains to be critically evaluated.

Sites and specimens: AS 2: USNM 229371–72; D 4: KU 305509–10; SS 3: CAS 15558.

***Hemiphyllodactylus* cf. *typus* Bleeker, 1860**

In response to Zug's (2010) consideration of *Hemiphyllodactylus typus* as a widespread, "low diversity" and invariant taxon, Grismer et al. (2013) showed deep phylogenetic structure within *H. "typus"* suggesting the existence of many distinct species, several of which subsequently have been named. The taxonomic status of the Mindanao population(s) have not yet been studied, so we refer to them as *H. cf. typus* in hopes that a thorough review of their status (at multiple sites throughout the island) will soon be undertaken. The conservation status of *H. typus* formally is "Least Concern" (LC; IUCN 2016) although pending taxonomic revision may show this species to be much more range restricted. Similarly, Philippine populations most likely will be partitioned into a number of species (Grismer et al. 2013) suggesting that like *G. mindorensis*, all *Hemiphyllodactylus* populations in the Philippines should now be considered "Data Deficient" (DD; IUCN 2010) until taxonomic reassessment is available.

Sites and specimens: AS 6: KU 314090–91.

***Lepidodactylus aureolineatus* Taylor, 1915**

This Mindanao PAIC endemic is a species that historically has been heavily collected (possibly indicating its stable and widespread population status; Brown and Alcala 1978) but which has been conspicuously absent in recent surveys. Considered "Least Concern" (LC; IUCN 2016), this species may be common at low elevations and thus, was frequently encountered in late 1900s surveys, but absent in recent studies targeting montane habitats. A focused investigation of its distribution and taxonomic status would be highly desired.

Sites and specimens: C 6: USNM 198148; AN 3: CAS 139941, CAS 156687; C 1: CAS-SUR 28203, CAS-SUR 28387, CAS-SUR 28389; C 2: CAS-SUR 28201–02, CAS-SUR 28388, CAS-SUR 28985–86; C 5: CAS-SUR 26207–09, CAS-SUR 26316; C 6: CAS 191257–63, CAS-SUR 26128, CAS-SUR 26139, CAS-SUR 26196–98, CAS-SUR 26205, CAS-SUR 26214, CAS-SUR 26216, CAS-SUR 26219–21, CAS-SUR 26223, CAS-SUR 26302, CAS-SUR 26305–06, CAS-SUR 26309–10, CAS-SUR 26313–14, CAS-SUR 26317–18, CAS-SUR 26320; C 7: CAS 141404–19, CAS-SUR 26127.

***Lepidodactylus labialis* (Peters, 1864)**

This previously considered common and "Least Concern" (LC; IUCN 2016) species has not been recorded in any of our recent surveys, even those focused near the type locality (Mt. Hilong-hilong. Siler et al. (2014c) demonstrated that this species had previously been incorrectly placed in the genus *Pseudogekko* (Brown and Alcala 1978), and actually possesses diagnostic characters that ally it with *Lepidodactylus*. This species

has not been observed since its original collection and should now be designated “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2010) until an assessment in the form of a field survey at the type locality can be undertaken. It is possible that *Lepidodactylus labialis* could be a range-restricted microendemic species and a major conservation concern.

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133687; AN 3: CAS 133396, CAS 133701, CAS 133790; AN 4: CAS 133150, CAS 133209–10, CAS 133238, CAS 133243, CAS 133258, CAS 133314–18, CAS 133329–30, CAS 133338–39, CAS 133353–6, CAS 139388–89; AN 5: USNM 496788; MO 6: KU 334019.

***Pseudogekko pungkaypinit* Siler et al., 2014**

This rare forest-obligate species (Fig. 50) is a member of an endemic genus of geckos in the Philippines, and formerly recognized as a member of the *P. compresicorpus* Complex (Brown and Alcala 1978, Siler et al. 2014c, d). Recently, the species complex was revised using genetic and morphological data, restricting the range of true *P. compresicorpus* to the Luzon PAIC, and recognizing three new species within the species complex (Siler et al. 2014c,d). The longer-bodied species found in central and northeastern Mindanao is now recognized as *P. pungkaypinit*, and at this time does not qualify for elevated or threatened conservation status (LC; Siler et al. 2014; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: MO 6: KU 334019.

Family Scincidae

***Brachymeles vulcani* Siler, Jones, Diesmos, Diesmos & Brown, 2012**

Formerly considered conspecific with *Brachymeles gracilis* (Taylor 1917a; Brown and Alcala 1980), the Camiguin Sur Island population was described as a distinct species (*B. vulcani*) by Siler et al. (2012). Most specimens of this species have been collected from under or inside dry rotting logs in contact with the forest floor, including secondary forests and coconut palm plantations adjacent to forested areas at low- to mid-elevations on Mt. Mambajao. Although quite common in earlier collections (Brown and Alcala 1980), Siler et al. (2012b) reported the collection of only a single specimen following three targeted herpetological expeditions to Camiguin Sur Island. Although the authors recommended against the use of negative data to inflate conservation urgency, Siler et al. (2012b) evaluated this species against IUCN (2010) criteria and elevated its conservation status to “Vulnerable” (VU; Siler et al. 2012b) based on restricted area of occurrence.

Sites and specimens: C 1: CAS-SUR 28314, CAS-SUR 28329, CAS-SUR 28331, CAS-SUR 28358–59; C 2: CAS-SUR 28199; C 6: CAS-SUR 26294, CAS-SUR 26236, CAS-SUR 26165–66, CAS-SUR 26184–86; C 7: CAS 138255, CAS 139030–31, CAS-SUR 26142, CAS-SUR 26144–46, CAS-SUR 26231; C 8: CAS-SUR 26295; C10: PNM 9766 (holotype; formerly KU 310359); C 14: KU 310359.



Figure 50. *Pseudogekko pungkaypinit* has been collected in Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB (from the Municipality of Baybay, Leyte Island; KU 326243).

***Brachymeles tiboliorum* Siler, Jones, Diesmos, Diesmos & Brown, 2012**

One juvenile of undetermined sex (KU 326109) collected in Initao National Park, Barangay Initao, Municipality of Tubigan, Misamis Oriental Province in May 2001 by ACD. This specimen was tentatively identified as *B. tiboliorum* during a recent review of the *B. gracilis* complex (Siler et al. 2012b). *Brachymeles tiboliorum* is otherwise restricted to southern Mindanao, which makes this single specimen record somewhat tentative. It is conceivable that, with the collection of additional specimens from northern Mindanao, this population may be recognized in future studies as a distinct species. Although this species has not yet been assessed (IUCN 2016) it is quite clear that it should be regarded as “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016)

Sites and specimens: MO: KU 326109.

***Brachymeles hilog* Brown & Rabor, 1967**

Like *Brachymeles orientalis*, this species (Fig. 51) of slender skink is quite common in Caraga Region of northeast Mindanao; it formerly was classified as a subspecies of the “widespread” *Brachymeles gracilis* group (Brown and Alcala 1980) and the subspecies has also been reported from Samar and Leyte islands (now recognized as *B. samad*) and Camiguin Sur Island (now recognized as *B. vulcani*; Siler et al. 2012b). However,



Figure 51. *Brachymeles hilog* male (KU 334282) photographed at the type locality, Mt. Hilong-hilog. This specimen was collected at Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte. Photo: RMB.

following Siler et al.'s (2012b) taxonomic revision of the *B. gracilis* complex, the range of *B. hilog* has been restricted to northeastern Mindanao (type locality: Mt. Hilong-hilog), where it can often be found in sympatry with *B. orientalis* (Smith 1993a; Siler et al. 2012b). Individuals are often found in residential, disturbed, and secondary-growth forest at low elevations. They are commonly observed within rotting logs, in leaf litter, in the root networks of tree buttresses, as well as beneath rotting piles of coconuts (Siler et al. 2012b). The species has been found to qualify for a conservation status of “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2016; Siler et al. 2012a,b).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: USNM 496790–99, CAS 208594–96, CAS 133691–93, CAS 133740, CAS 133743–48; AN 11: KU 334289–300; AN 2: CAS 102406; AN 3: CAS 133215, CAS 133577–85, CAS 133586, CAS 133609–14, CAS 133702–06, CAS 133785, CAS 152194; AS 5: KU 319920, KU 319922, KU 319926–27, KU 319934–40; MO 6: KU 334301–08; SN 2: KU 327339–40; SS 2: CAS-SUR 24315–16.

***Brachymeles orientalis* Brown & Rabor, 1967**

This common species of semi-fossorial slender skink (Fig. 52) can be found throughout the eastern and northern islands of the Mindanao PAIC, as well as Bohol, Leyte, and



Figure 52. *Brachymeles orientalis* male (KU 334282) from Mt. Hilong-hilong in the Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

Camiguin Sur islands (Brown and Alcala 1980; Smith 1993a; Siler et al. 2011b) and Samar Island (specimens in KU). The species can often be found in sympatry with *B. hilong* on northeastern Mindanao, *B. samad* on Leyte and Samar islands, and *B. vulcani* on Camiguin Sur Island (Siler et al. 2012b). Individuals are often found in residential, disturbed, and secondary-growth forest at low elevations. They are commonly observed in soil and forest floor detritus around tree buttresses and under nearly any form of ground cover in coconut palm plantations adjacent to forest (Siler et al. 2010). Because of its wide geographical distribution and tolerance of disturbance, this species does not qualify for any elevated threat categories and is classified here as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133749–54; AN 3: CAS 133616; AN 4: CAS 133301; AN 5: USNM 496800; AN 9: KU 327126–28; AN 11: KU 334275–80; AN 12: KU 334281–86, KU 334405; AS 2: USNM 229382–83; AS 5: KU 319921, KU 319923–25, KU 319928–31; AS 6: KU 314092–96; C 1: CAS-SUR 28315–28, CAS-SUR 28330, CAS-SUR 28332, CAS-SUR 28333, CAS-SUR 28336, CAS-SUR 28338, CAS-SUR 28340, CAS-SUR 28342–45, CAS-SUR 28350, CAS-SUR 28353–57, CAS-SUR 28360–72, CAS 110976–83; C 7: CAS-SUR 26141, CAS-SUR 26188, CAS-SUR 26234; C 8: CAS-SUR 26228–29; C 13: KU 327129–33; C 14: KU 310357–58; D 4: KU 305469; MO 2: KU 334287–88; MO 5: KU 319948.

***Eutropis multicarinata* (Gray, 1845)**

The southern Philippine common sunskink traditionally known as *Eutropis multicarinata multicarinata* (Fig. 53) has been documented on most of the islands of the Mindanao PAIC (Brown and Alcala 1980; Smith 1993a). Although the type locality of this species was designated simply “The Philippines,” Barley et al. (2013) matched the type material phenotype to populations from Mindanao, Leyte, and Dinagat islands. Two additional lineages in the large *E. multicarinata* Group clade were identified in the southern Philippines: one from southwest Mindanao (“Clade A;” Barley et al. 2013) and another from Mindanao, Bohol, Dinagat and Siargao (“Clade E;” Barley et al. 2013). Although the type locality of this species was designated simply AS 2: USNM 2293 of associated genetic samples in older collections) under the name *Eutropis multicarinata* pending a taxonomic revision that will be published elsewhere (Barley et al. *unpublished data*). Given the taxonomic uncertainty and morphologically cryptic nature of these populations, this taxon must be considered “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 3: CAS 133267–72, CAS 133370, CAS 133428, CAS 133485, CAS 133624; AN 4: CAS 133138–39; KU 314097–98; AN 5: USNM 497027; AS 2: USNM 229384–85; AS 4: KU 314105–07; AS 5: KU 320025–29; C 1: CAS-SUR 28261–62, CAS-SUR 28347; C 2: CAS-SUR 28217, CAS-SUR 28263–64; C 7: CAS 139037; D 2: KU 306194; D 4: KU 306195; MO 5: KU 320030.

Eutropis cf. multicarinata

Barley et al. (2013) demonstrated the genetic distinctiveness of some individuals of “*Eutropis multicarinata*” at selected populations on Mindanao, Bohol, and Dinagat (and possibly and Siargao) islands (“Clade E;” Barley et al. 2013). Our specimens from Mt. Lumot (Misamis Oriental Province), Mt. Magdiwata, Bunawan (Agusan del Sur Province), and Loreto, Dinagat Island have been genetically identified as members of this highly divergent clade, which we anticipate will eventually be recognized as a distinct species.

Sites and specimens: AS 1: KU 314098; AS 5: KU 320028; KU MO 6: KU 334225–30; D 2: KU 310152, 310154, 310156; D 4: 314105, 314106.

***Eutropis cf. indepressa* (Brown & Alcala, 1980)**

This relatively common Philippine sunskink has been reported as occurring throughout the southern portion of the archipelago (Brown and Alcala 1980), including Palawan and even northern Borneo. Some populations from the northern portion of the archipelago have been described as a distinct, but closely related species, *E. cumingi*. When naming *E. indepressa*, Brown and Alcala (1980) restricted the type locality to Mindoro, which made clear Barley et al.’s (2013) assignment of the name-bearing types to a definite population



Figure 53. *Eutropis multicarinata* female (KU 334227) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

on Mindoro (Barley et al. 2013). Brown and Alcala (1980) reported the species from Mindanao, Leyte, Samar, “Camiguin” (presumably Camiguin Sur Island), Palawan, Negros and Cebu, but Barley et al. (2013), using a multilocus molecular analysis showed that these populations do not form a monophyletic clade, and that this species is restricted to Mindoro Island and Borneo—a highly unusual and disjunct distribution if true. Barley et al.’s (2013) highly divergent “Clade C” (which is not closely related to true, type-locality *E. indepressa*) contained samples from Dinagat, Mindanao, Samar, Panay, Cebu, and the Bicol Peninsula of Luzon (see Barley et al. 2013: Figure 3) and we find it likely that these eventually will be recognized as a distinct species. *Eutropis indepressa* species has not been assessed for conservation status but may qualify for an elevated level of threat should additional studies confirm a Mindoro-only distribution in the Philippines (IUCN 2010); for now it is best considered “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AS 6: KU 314104; D 4: KU 310156; D 5: KU 310149–55; C 1: CAS-SUR 28218–19, CAS-SUR 28265, CAS-SUR 28270, CAS-SUR 28337, CAS-SUR 28351; C 6: CAS-SUR 26172.

***Eutropis multifasciata* (Kuhl, 1820)**

This geographically widespread habitat generalist species (Brown and Alcala 1980; Grismer 2011) has been recorded and observed throughout the Philippines, most of the western landmasses of the Indo-Australian archipelago, southwest Asian and north-

ward into Indochina. Related to *E. grandis* (syn. *E. macrophthalma*) and *E. rudis* (Barley et al. 2014), this species (Fig. 54) clearly possesses the dispersal capacity to overcome marine barriers to colonization and yet, at a much finer scale, has been shown to possess the genomic signature of habitat fragmentation in the Philippines (Barley et al. 2015). A truly widespread species, *E. multifasciata* does not qualify for any elevated level of threat and must be considered “Least Concern” (DD; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334403; AS 4: KU 314100–03; AS 6: KU 314099; C 15: KU 309886; D 5: KU 310371–72; C 1: CAS-SUR 28346; C 6: CAS-SUR 26129, CAS-SUR 26133–34, CAS-SUR 26156, CAS-SUR 26158, CAS-SUR 26195.

***Lamprolepis smaragdina philippinica* (Mertens, 1928)**

This species is widely distributed throughout the archipelago and locally abundant in coastal and agricultural areas (Brown and Alcala 1980; Smith 1993a). We typically encountered *L. smaragdina* (Fig. 55) on coconut trees in plantations, as well as developed areas in close proximity to agricultural areas. Due to its tolerance for human disturbance and its ubiquitous distribution, this species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016). A recent phylogeographic study (Linkem et al. 2013) identified the presence of numerous highly divergent evolutionary lineages in the Philippines, suggesting that reassessment of conservation status should be attempted once taxonomic reconsideration of this group has been undertaken.

Sites and specimens: AN 15: KU 334240–43. AS 4: KU 314108–09; C 1: CAS-SUR 28196; C 11: KU 309888–97; C 12: KU 302857–59; C 13: KU 309887; C 6: CAS-SUR 26136, CAS-SUR 26170, CAS-SUR 26296; D 2: KU 305932–59; D 4: KU 305938; SN 1: CAS 60583–84.

***Lipinia auriculata herrei* (Taylor, 1922)**

Taylor (1917b) described the species *Siaphos* (currently *Lipinia*) *auriculata* on the basis of a few specimens from northern Negros and he later described *L. kempfi* from Mindoro (Taylor 1919) and *L. herrei* from Polillo Island (Taylor 1922a). At the time of their review of Philippine scincid lizards, Brown and Alcala (1980) remarked on the close phenotypic similarity of the original specimens, and therefore considered them to be closely related and recognizable only at the level of subspecies (Brown and Alcala 1980). Interestingly, although few rare forest Philippine reptile taxa had been recorded to possess wide ranges encompassing the Luzon, Mindanao and Mindoro faunal regions (Taylor 1928; Leviton 1963; Brown and Alcala 1970), Brown and Alcala (1980) assigned one specimen from Bohol and two specimens from northeast Mindanao to the subspecies *L. a. herrei* on the basis of a single character in common: their shared, distinctly paired (i.e., unfused) frontoparietal scales. Remarking on this oddly disjunct distribution, the authors did however explicitly state that they anticipated the species would eventually be recorded at interven-



Figure 54. *Eutropis multifasciata* male (KU 334403) from Mt. Hilong-hilong in the Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.



Figure 55. *Lamprolepis smaragdina philippinica* is common throughout coastal areas of northeast Mindanao. Photo: RMB (from the Municipality of Burauen, Leyte Island; specimen deposited at KU).

ing localities on the islands of Leyte and Samar (Brown and Alcala 1980:90). *Lipinia auriculata* has been classified by the IUCN (2016) to be of “Least Concern.”

Sites and specimens: AN 3: CAS 133700, CAS 133778.

***Lipinia pulchella pulchella* Gray, 1845**

Gray (1845) described *Lipinia pulchella* (the type species for the genus; Fig. 56) on the basis of a single specimen from Mindanao Island; Taylor (1917b) subsequently confirmed the species on Negros Island (= *L. p. taylori* Brown and Alcala 1956) and Brown and Alcala (1980) used large samples from throughout the archipelago to define three subspecies: *L. p. pulchella* (from Mindanao, Leyte, Samar, southern Luzon and Polillo islands), *L. p. taylori* (Negros Island), and *L. p. levtoni* (northern Luzon). The various populations of *Lipinia pulchella* are all arboreal and specimens are most often encountered climbing on tree bark, out on the ends of tree branches, or hidden within epiphytic vegetation. Despite the paucity of recent information, the wide geographic range of the *Lipinia pulchella* Complex renders it “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133688–89, CAS 133730–33, CAS 133757–58; AN 3: CAS 133563–64, CAS 133625, CAS 133786, CAS 154715; AS 4: KU 314121–23; AS 5: KU 319899–902; AS 6: KU 314110–20; D 5: KU 310139–48.

***Lipinia quadrivittata* (Peters, 1867)**

Peters (1867) described “*Lygosoma*” (= *Lipinia*) *quadrivittata* from three Mindanao Island specimens, and the species subsequently has been reported from Bohol, Leyte, Negros, Palawan, Siquijor, Cebu and Camiguin Sur islands (Taylor 1922a; Brown and Alcala 1980). The Mindanao specimens with habitat data were collected from under the moss on tree trunks at 800+ m above sea level and one was collected from an arboreal fern (Brown and Alcala 1980). This species has not been assessed for conservation status (IUCN 2016) and we consider it “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2016) given the absence of any other information on its distribution and status. Although *L. quadrivittata* appears to have a wide distribution on numerous Philippine islands, we hesitate to classify it as “Least Concern” on the arbitrary basis of distribution alone. On Negros, Brown and Alcala (1980) noted that this species was predictably observed on trees in swamps. Given that swamp ecosystems are severely imperiled throughout the archipelago, plus the fact that this habitat is quite different than that noted for Mindanao (montane forest), we suspect that more *L. quadrivittata*, as currently conceived, may be a complex of more than one species. The species’ anomalous distribution pattern (three Philippine faunal regions + Borneo) reinforces our suspicion that more than one species is involved. *Lipinia quadrivittata* should be the subject of a taxonomic review, which should immediately be followed by conservation status assessment.

Sites and specimens: AS 6: KU 314124–25; 6: CAS-SUR 26161–62, CAS-SUR 26175–76, CAS-SUR 26244, CAS-SUR 26290



Figure 56. *Lipinia pulchella pulchella* has been recorded in Agusan del Norte and Agusan del Sur Provinces. Photo: RMB (from the Municipality of Burauen, Leyte Island; specimen deposited at KU).

***Otosaurus cumingi* Gray, 1845**

Otosaurus cumingi, the largest Philippine *Sphenomorphus*-Group lizard of the family Scincidae is a monotypic taxon, which was recently elevated to the level of a distinct genus (previous recognized as a member of the genus *Sphenomorphus*; Brown and Alcala 1980) by Linkem et al. (2011). Not closely related to other Philippine *Sphenomorphus*-Group lizards, this large-bodied taxon is part of an unresolved polytomy involving the genus *Otosaurus* the Philippine clade, and the Australian clade (Linkem et al. 2011). The single species of *Otosaurus* (Philippine *O. cumingi*) is widespread throughout the archipelago, except Palawan (Taylor 1922a; Brown et al. 1980; Brown et al. 2012a, 2013). This widespread species is considered "Least Concern" (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319961.

***Parvoscincus kitangladensis* (Brown, 1995)**

Described from high elevation forested plateaus of Bukidnon Province, central Mindanao (Brown 1995), this small forest species (Fig. 57) is now also known from eastern and northeastern Mindanao. *Parvoscincus kitangladensis* is most closely related to the miniature Luzon forest species (e.g., *P. lawtoni*, and possibly *P. luzonensis*) but is phenotypically similar to members of the *P. decipiens* complex and other small bodied taxa from Luzon (i.e. *P. laterimaculatus*, *P. leucospilos*; Linkem et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2014e) and even Palawan (e.g., *Insulasaurus victoria*, *I. traanorum*; Linkem et al. 2010a, 2011).



Figure 57. *Parvoscincus kitangladensis* male (KU 334078) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

Considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010, 2016), this species is reasonably widespread but remains poorly understood in microhabitat preferences.

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133695, CAS 133762–65; AN 11: KU 334078–82; AN 12: KU 334083–85; AN 3: CAS 133273–79, CAS 133371–76, CAS 133412–20, CAS 133459–63, CAS 133471–73, CAS 147273–76, USNM 496804; AN 4: CAS 133235, CAS 133294; AN 5: USNM 496803, USNM 497029; AS 5: KU 326067; MO 3: KU 334244–46; MO 5: KU 326613–22; SS 2: CAS-SUR 24207.

Parvoscincus steerei (Stejneger, 1908)

Members of the large, geographically structured, genetically diverse taxon *Parvoscincus steerei* are widespread throughout the oceanic islands of the Philippines (Brown and Alcala 1980) and exhibit some color variation, but very little variability in external morphology (scalation; Linkem et al. 2011; RMB *personal observation*). Frequently encountered from disturbed second growth and agro-ecosystem matrices, this species extends up to mid-elevation original forests (700–900 m) and is common in leaf litter, under woody forest debris, and in forest detritus surrounding roots and buttresses of trees. This widespread species, as presently conceived, is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010, 2016) but genetic evidence suggest that *P. steerei* is a species complex composed of numerous evolutionary lineages; these may warrant individual conservation assessment with future investigation.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334072–75; AS 6: KU 314126–27; MO 2: KU 334076–77; MO 5: KU 326628–31; SN 2: KU 326632; AN 1: CAS 133736–37; AN 3: CAS 133407–08, CAS 133575; AN 4: CAS 133141–44, CAS 133240–41, CAS 133255–56, CAS 133320–21, CAS 133325–27, CAS 133362, USNM 496806; C 1: CAS-SUR 28207–09, CAS-SUR 28247; C 6: CAS-SUR 26131, CAS-SUR 26150–51, CAS-SUR 26160, CAS-SUR 26173–74, CAS-SUR 26241, CAS-SUR 26288, CAS-SUR 26289, CAS-SUR 26291.

***Pinoyscincus abdictus abdictus* (Brown & Alcala, 1980)**

Originally described from Dinagat Island (Fig. 1), this northeast Mindanao faunal region taxon (Fig. 58) was reported to be distinguishable from the Luzon faunal region subspecies *P. a. aquilonius* on the basis of slight scalation differences and color pattern (Brown and Alcala 1980). In a recent multi-locus phylogenetic analysis, Linkem et al. (2011) demonstrated that this population was more closely related to *P. coxi*, and *P. llanosi* than it was to its putative closely-related subspecies, a finding that reinforced an earlier mitochondrial DNA analysis (Linkem et al. 2010b). This species is frequently encountered in a wide variety of habitats, including second growth forests abutting agricultural areas, riparian areas, and interior mature and second growth montane forests. This common species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010, 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133755; AN 10: KU 334267–9; AN 11: KU 334265–6. AN 3: CAS 133615; AS 5: KU 321819–30. C 1: CAS-SUR 28341; C 14: KU 309911. C 6: CAS 137564, CAS-SUR 26183, CAS-SUR 26194; D 2: KU 306539–41; D 4: KU 306535–8; D 4: KU 306542–44; D 5: KU 310133–34; MO 6: KU 334270–74. SS 2: CAS 185979.

***Pinoyscincus coxi coxi* (Taylor, 1915)**

Originally described from central-western Mindanao, *P. coxi coxi* was distinguished from S. Luzon and Mindoro Island populations of *P. coxi divergens* by slight differences in scalation and color pattern (Brown and Alcala 1980) but was shown in recent phylogenetic studies to be more closely related to *P. abdictus abdictus* and *P. llanosi* (Linkem et al. 2011). *Pinoyscincus coxi divergens*, in contrast, appears most closely related to *P. abdictus aquilonius* from neighboring geographic regions of Luzon (Linkem et al. 2010b). This species is a low- to mid-elevation forest edge generalist (Smith 1993a; RMB *personal observation*). This common species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010, 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: KU 326572–74; AN 12: KU 334234–5, KU 334237–9; AN 14: KU 334236; AN 3: USNM 204794; AS 1: CAS 62044–6; AS 5: KU 320412–4; C 1: CAS-SUR 28214–5, CAS-SUR 28241; C 14: KU 309908–10; C 2: CAS-SUR 28216; C 6: CAS-SUR 26159, CAS-SUR 26180–1, CAS-SUR 26235; C 7:



Figure 58. *Pinoyscincus abdictus abdictus* (KU 334268) from Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

CAS-SUR 26143, CAS-SUR 26148, CAS-SUR 26153, CAS-SUR 26155, CAS-SUR 26177, CAS-SUR 26191–3, CAS-SUR 26232–3; MO 1: KU 327676; SS 2: CAS 134076; AN 1: CAS 133742, CAS 133766, AN 3: CAS 133280–1, CAS 133458, CAS 133495, CAS 133587–9, CAS 133591–95, CAS 133618–20, CAS 133707, CAS 133789, CAS 152193; AN 4: CAS 133133, CAS 133234, CAS 133236, CAS 133292, CAS 133319, CAS 133328, CAS 133331–41.

Pinoyscincus jagori jagori (Peters, 1864)

Unlike the taxonomically confused and highly polyphyletic “species” *P. abdictus* and *P. coxi*, *P. jagori* fall into a single monophyletic clade (Linkem et al. 2010b, 2011). Known from eastern Mindanao, Dinagat and Siargao, populations keying out to *P. jagori* constitute four distinct, genetically divergent lineages (Linkem et al. 2010b, 2011): three of these, are identified as *P. jagori jagori* but nested within them is the population identified as *P. jagori grandis* (from the western Visayan islands; Brown and Alcala 1980). These large bodied skinks are common throughout disturbed and forested areas from near sea level to 500 or 600 m (RMB *personal observation*). This common species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010, 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334230–31; AN 9: KU 327645; AS 5: KU 321818; D 4: KU 306545–47; D 5: KU 310373.

***Pinoyscincus mindanensis* (Taylor, 1915)**

This unusual small-bodied species (Fig. 59) was recently placed in the new genus *Pinoyscincus* (Linkem et al. 2011) together with former members of the *Sphenomorphus abdictus-jagori-coxi* assemblage (Taylor 1922a; Brown and Alcala 1980), in recognition of its close relationship with this large clade including numerous species, named subspecies, and morphologically cryptic but genetically distinct lineages (Linkem et al. 2010b). Unlike most other members of this group, *P. mindanensis* is a small bodied, montane forest species, whereas other taxa in this genus are large-bodied habitat generalists most frequently encountered at lower elevations (RMB *personal observation*). The other outlier in this group is *P. llanosi*, a medium-sized, low elevation riparian habitat specialist most frequently encountered on Samar and Leyte islands (but possibly present in northeast Mindanao) that is unique in that it retreats into running water when disturbed. Previously considered “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2010), this species’ conservation status assessment is outdated and in need of reconsideration given the new distributional data presented here.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334247; D 5: KU 310113–14, KU 310135–38; SN 2: KU 332625–28; AN 1: CAS 133741; AN 3: CAS 133381, CAS 133397, CAS 133497, CAS 133590, CAS 147274, USNM 497030; AN 4: CAS 133145, CAS 133291, CAS 133293, CAS 133322; AN 5: USNM 497031.



Figure 59. *Parvosincus mindanensis* (specimen not collected) Mt. Balatukan, Municipality of Gingoog, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: ACD.

“*Sphenomorphus*” *acutus* (Peters, 1864)

An ecologically unique and morphologically highly distinctive species, “*Sphenomorphus acutus*” was placed in the large clade of Philippine skinks (genera *Pinoyscincus* and *Parvoscincus*), but with low support for its phylogenetic position (Linkem et al. 2011). In recognition of its uncertain phylogenetic affinities and its extremely distinctive morphology, the authors elected to leave this taxon and *S. diwata* (its sister species) *incertae sedis*, and thus temporarily remaining in *Sphenomorphus*, pending further investigation (Linkem et al. 2011). This species has most frequently been encountered in recent years during nocturnal surveys, in which it has been located asleep in suspended coils of dry wild banana and abaca leaves, hanging 1–2 m above the forest floor (in original forest; RMB *personal observations*). It has been reported active in arboreal substrates (understory tree branches) during the day (Brown and Alcala 1980) and has been observed retreating into running forest streams when pursued by field workers (RMB *personal communication* with J. Fernandez). Despite this species’ enigmatic ecology and natural history, its widespread distribution and generalist habitat characterization render it “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010, 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 5: USNM 497028; AS 5: KU 319962–64; AN 1: CAS 133756; AN 3: CAS 133496, CAS 134228–29; AN 4: CAS 133134.

“*Sphenomorphus*” *diwata* Brown & Rabor, 1967

Member of Brown and Alcala’s (1980) “Group II *Sphenomorphus*”, *S. diwata* is an unusual montane forest species that shares with Luzon’s *Parvoscincus beyeri* Group (e.g. *P. beyeri*, *P. hadros*, *P. igorotorum*, *P. boyingi*; Brown et al. 2010a) the distinction of extremely high paravertebral scale counts (Brown and Alcala 1980; Brown et al. 1995a, b; Linkem et al. 2011). Surprisingly not related to these taxa, *S. diwata* (along with its sister species *S. acutus*) has been left *incertae sedis* by Linkem et al. (2011) in recognition of its uncertain phylogenetic affinities. This species is listed by the IUCN (2016) as “Data Deficient” (DD; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AN 6: USNM 496805, CAS 133514–15, CAS 133541, SS 2: CAS-SUR 24178.

***Sphenomorphus fasciatus* (Gray, 1845)**

Unrelated to other Philippine scincids, *S. fasciatus* (Fig. 60) is a morphologically distinctive species that is most closely related to Solomon Island taxa (e.g., *S. cranei*, *S. solomonis*, *S. concinatus*) and appears to be a single-species lineage that resulted in only one Philippine taxon (Linkem et al. 2011). Found throughout the islands of the Mindanao faunal region, this species is common in low elevation disturbed, second growth,



Figure 60. *Sphenomorphus fasciatus* (KU 334087) from Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

and original coastal forests (Brown and Alcala 1980; Smith 1993a). This common species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334089–91; AN 11: KU 334087; AN 12: KU 334088; AN 9: KU 327110–12; AS 2: USNM 229386; AS 5: KU 319965–67; C 13: KU 327113–5; D 4: KU 310093; MO 6: KU 334092–98; AN 1: CAS 133735, AN 3: CAS 133767; C 1: CAS 110984–88, CAS-SUR 28239–40, CAS-SUR 28242–46, CAS-SUR 28248–60, CAS-SUR 28277–313, CAS-SUR 28373; C 2: CAS-SUR 28210; C 6: CAS-SUR 26152, CAS-SUR 26157, CAS-SUR 26167–9, CAS-SUR 26182, CAS-SUR 26186–7, CAS-SUR 26297; C 7: CAS 137563, CAS-SUR 26163–64.

***Sphenomorphus variegatus* (Peters, 1867)**

Unrelated to other Philippine scincid lizards, *S. variegatus* (Fig. 61) is a member of a clade consisting of non-Philippine species *S. maculatus*, *S. indicus*, *S. cyanolaemus*, *S. sabanus*, and *S. multisquamatus* (Linkem et al. 2011). The single Philippine representative of this clade, *S. variegatus* is a commonly encountered low elevation species wherever mature second growth or first growth forest is present on Mindanao (Taylor 1922a; Brown and Alcala 1980; Smith 1993a). This common widespread species’ con-



Figure 61. *Sphenomorphus variegatus* (KU 334312) from Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

servation status has not been assessed by the IUCN (2016); we find that it does not qualify for any of the elevated threat categories, so we consider it as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334309–15; AN 13: KU 334086; AS 2: USNM 229387; AS 5: KU 319941–47; C 13: KU 309899–907; D 5: KU 310115–32; MO 6: KU 334316–8; AN 1: CAS 133696, CAS 146461–2, CAS 133421, CAS 133596–97, CAS 133617, CAS 133654, CAS 133768–70, CAS 134230, CAS 154693–94; C 6: CAS-SUR 26171; C 7: CAS 137565, CAS-SUR 26149, CAS-SUR 26154, CAS-SUR 26292, CAS-SUR 26308, CAS-SUR 26628

***Tropidophorus misaminius* Stejneger, 1908**

This Philippine endemic species (Fig. 62) is restricted to Basilan, Camiguin Sur, Dinagat, and Mindanao (type locality: Mt. Malindang, western Mindanao). During the day, we collected specimens on stream banks as well as in the forest where they were under logs or out in the open on the forest floor. At night, we found them sleeping among rocks and logs on stream banks. This species would often flee to the water in the presence of humans, demonstrating a remarkable adaptation for a semiaquatic lifestyle. Our observations of this species were restricted to primary and secondary growth



Figure 62. *Tropidophorus misaminius* male (KU 334249) from Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

forests, leading us to believe it is not tolerant of degraded habitat. However (Beukema 2006) reports this species as being able to tolerate some degree of habitat disturbance. This species is currently listed as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 1: CAS 133759; AN 3: CAS 133480–84. AN 5: USNM 497032; AS 1: CAS 62047; C 1: CAS-SUR 28225–28, CAS-SUR 28352; C 6: CAS-SUR 26130, CAS-SUR 26135, CAS-SUR 26179, CAS-SUR 26239; C 7: CAS 139044–46; AN 10: KU 334253–55; AN 11: KU 334248–51; AN 12: KU 334252; AS 5: KU 320024, KU 320117–27; C 13: KU 315221; C 14: KU 315222–32; D 5: KU 310157–60; MO 2: KU 334256–64.

Tropidophorus partelloi Stejneger, 1910

Also a Philippine endemic, *T. partelloi* is restricted to the islands of Mindanao and Siargao (Taylor 1922a; Brown and Alcala 1980; Ross and Lazell 1990) with an apparently wide yet patchy distribution that does not include areas impacted by anthropogenic disturbance (Smith 1993a). At one site (AN 12) we found this species in sympatry with *T. misaminius*. We collected this species both on the banks of streams and in the forest well away from water. In the forest we collected specimens from under logs or from the forest floor. On the banks of streams this species was found both out in the open as well as

asleep among rocks and logs. Similarly to *T. misaminius*, this species will flee to the water when disturbed. This species is currently listed as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 334232–3; AS 5: KU 320022–3; AN 3: CAS 133404; AN 4: CAS 152195.

Family Varanidae

Varanus cumingi Martin, 1839

This Mindanao faunal region endemic monitor lizard recently was distinguished, at the level of full species, from its closest known relative *Varanus samarensis*, an endemic species native to Bohol, Samar and Leyte islands, just north of Mindanao proper (Welton et al. 2013a, 2014a); these two species apparently diverged as long as 2 million years before present (1.5–2.5; Welton et al. 2014b). *Varanus cumingi* (Fig. 63) is an extremely common, widespread species and its conservation classification is “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016). Our specimen from Mt. Lumot was salvaged from a villager who had killed the animal as a pest (it had raided his chicken coop on several recent occasions, in search of eggs and newly hatched chicks). We salvaged the decomposing specimen after several days; it has been prepared as an osteological specimen, making it the only known skeleton of this species in existence.

Sites and specimens: AN 2: KU 335559.



Figure 63. *Varanus cumingi* photographed at the Municipality of Mambajao, Camiguin Sur Island. Photo: CDS (specimen not collected)

Reptilia: Snakes
Family Colubridae

***Ahaetulla prasina preocularis* (Taylor, 1912)**

Ahaetulla prasina is a common, widely distributed snake encountered in a variety of habitats throughout the Philippines (Leviton 1963a, 1967). We frequently encounter this species at night, sleeping on branches of bushes and saplings in low elevation, selectively logged forest, primary forest, or even secondary growth or along edges of agricultural areas. We noted exceptionally high densities of this species at low elevation, in riparian habitats on Mt. Hilong-hilong. It is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) for conservation purposes.

Sites and specimens: AN 10–KU 334411–21; AN 11: KU 334407–09; AN 13: KU 334410; D 5: KU 310162; MO 6: KU 334422–30; AN 3: CAS 133398.

***Boiga cynodon* (Boie, 1827)**

Leviton (1970a) described *B. cynodon* as widely distributed in Southeast Asia and the Philippines, as part of the enormous range of this highly variable species. We collected one specimen on Dinagat Island and assume that it occurs in riparian habitats throughout the Caraga region and all of northeast Mindanao. Although it is classified as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016), we anticipate that eventual taxonomic studies may find this species to be a complex of evolutionary lineages, which will require individual evaluation in the future.

Sites and specimens: D 5: KU 310161.

***Calamaria gervaisi* Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854**

One of the most common fossorial Philippine snakes, *C. gervaisi* (Fig. 64) is widely distributed in the Philippines (Inger and Marx 1965), and is recorded in most forest surveys of herpetological diversity (Brown et al. 1996, 2000a, 2012; McLeod et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2011, 2012). This species does not qualify for any elevated level of endangerment and is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 13: KU 334486; AN 5: USNM 496807–8; MO 2: KU 334482, KU 334487; AN 3: CAS 133478; AN 4: CAS 133136–7, CAS 133253.

***Calamaria lumbricoidea* H. Boie in F. Boie, 1827**

Calamaria lumbricoidea is frequently encountered throughout the Mindanao PAIC islands and we collected specimens from sea level to 1,200 m on several mountains of northeast Mindanao. It is widespread in Southeast Asia (type locality: Java). Its color pattern suggests adaptation for prey avoidance via a coral snake mimicry system, and



Figure 64. *Calamaria gervaisi* from Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios, T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: KAC.

its overall similarity to banded forms of *Hemibungarus* and *Calliophus* is striking (Fig. 65). This species is classified as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334476; AN 12: KU 334477; AN 5: USNM 497033, KU 319955; C 13: KU 309919, KU 309946; C 14: KU 309920; MO 6: KU 334478–79; AN 3: CAS 133260; C 1: CAS-SUR 28275; C 7: CAS 137540; SS 2: CAS-SUR 24209.

***Chrysopelea paradisi* Boie, 1827**

This widespread, non-endangered (“Least Concern,” IUCN 2016) species has been encountered on Dinagat Island several times, but only once in northeast Mindanao proper. This common “flying snake” has been reported to us on numerous occasions in the Caraga region by farmers and workers in coconut palm plantations who witness their directed aerial descent as a frequent locomotor mode (Dudley et al. 2007) during active foraging during the day. This species is infrequently encountered sleeping in vegetation 2–4 meters above the ground (in contrast to species of *Dendrelaphis* and *Ahaetulla*), suggesting its preferred retreat is higher in the forest canopy (or coconut



Figure 65. Ontogenetic color and pattern variation in juvenile (**A** KU 334478) versus adult (**B** KU 334476) specimens of *Calamaria lumbricoidea* from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photos: RMB.

palms) than biologists had suspected previously (Leviton 1964d). This species is classified as “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: D 1: USNM 229322–23; D 2: KU 305494; D 5: KU 310165; AN 1: CAS 133711.

***Coelognathus erythrurus erythrurus* (Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854)**

Composed of four currently recognized subspecies, this Philippine rat snake has three distinctive phenotypes in the Philippines, corresponding to named taxa: *Coelognathus erythrurus manillensis* from Luzon (Brown et al. 1996, 2000, 2012, 2013; McLeod et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2011; Devan-song and Brown 2012), *Coelognathus erythrurus psephe-noura* from the West Visayan, central islands of Negros, Cebu, Panay and possibly the Romblon Island Complex (Leviton 1979; Ferner et al. 2001; Gaulke 2011; Siler et al. 2012a), and *Coelognathus erythrurus erythrurus*, a form originally described from Java, Indonesia, but also reported on various islands of the Mindanao PAIC (Leviton 1979), including Mindanao, Camiguin Sur, Samar, and here: Dinagat (new island record). This complex, suspected to be composed of multiple evolutionary lineages deserving a specific rank, has not been evaluated by IUCN (2016) for conservation purposes.

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319988; C 1: CAS-SUR 28272; C 14: KU 309924; D 4: KU 305168, KU 305648.

***Cyclocorus nuchalis taylori* Leviton, 1967**

Reported first by Taylor (1923), Leviton (1967) noted an apparent east–west hiatus in scalation across central Mindanao and recognized *Cyclocorus nuchalis nuchalis* (Zamboanga Peninsula’s southern most populations, and Basilan Island) for the western form and *Cyclocorus nuchalis taylori* (Fig. 66) for Mindanao’s eastern population. Since being reported by Smith (1993b) and Beukema (2011), we have also collected the species recently on Camiguin Sur, and Dinagat islands in addition to populations on Siargao Island (Ross and Lazell 1990), and farther north on Samar and Leyte islands. Considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016), primarily due to its wide Philippine distribution, the taxonomic and conservation status of the variable populations will need to be evaluated with a molecular phylogenetic analysis.

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 334469; AN 3: CAS 133261; AN 7: CAS 15242; C 1: CAS-SUR 28274; C 7: CAS 137541; D 5: KU 310377.

***Dendrelaphis marenae* Vogel & Van Rooijen, 2008**

An extremely common, widespread Philippine arboreal snake, *D. marenae* was collected by us in Agusan, Mindanao and previously has been reported throughout the Philippines (e.g.,



Figure 66. *Cyclocorus nuchalis taylori* (KU 334469) from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

Leviton 1968; Gaulke 2011; Siler et al. 2011, 2012; Brown et al. 2012a, 2013; Devan-song and Brown 2012). It has been documented previously in the northeast Mindanao faunal region by Ross and Lazell (1990; Siargao Island) and was recently recognized as a Philippine and Sulawesi form by Vogel and van Rooijen (2008) who split the eastern form (*D. marenae*: Sulawesi and the Philippines) from the western nominate population (*D. pictus*: Sunda shelf and Asian mainland). This species has not been assessed for conservation status but its wide distribution would lead us to consider it “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AS 4: KU 314131; AS 6: KU 314130.

***Dendrelaphis philippinensis* (Günther, 1879)**

Previously considered part of a widespread polytypic species complex (Leviton 1968), referred to *Dendrelaphis caudolineatus*, the name *Dendrelaphis philippinensis* recently was resurrected by van Rooijen and Vogel (2012) and applied to southern Philippine populations. The holotype specimen of *D. philippinensis* was collected from northern Mindanao (Günther 1879), indicating that this application is most likely correct. The biogeographically anomalous distribution of the populations currently referred to *D. philippinensis* (van Rooijen and Vogel 2012)—including Mindanao PAIC landmasses, but also Cebu Island (the West Visayan faunal region), the Bicol Peninsula and Polillo

islands (the Luzon faunal region; Brown and Diesmos, 2009)—will require independent verification via a molecular test of these revised species boundaries. This species currently has not been assessed for conservation status, but its wide distribution, if correct, would lead as to consider it “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334483; C 6: CAS-SUR 26328; C 14: KU 310367; D 4: KU 310379.

***Gonyosoma oxycephalum* (Boie, 1827)**

Reported at numerous localities throughout the Philippines, this widespread but relatively infrequently collected arboreal rat snake (Fig. 67) is known from the Luzon (Brown et al. 1996, 2013; Siler et al. 2011; Devan-song and Brown 2012), West Visayan (Ferner et al. 2000; Gaulke et al. 2011), Dinagat Island (Ross and Lazell 1990) Agusan, Mindanao (this study), Sulu (Gaulke 1994), Palawan (Boulenger 1894), and Romblon (Sy and Tan 2013) faunal regions. It is not considered threatened under the current understanding of its distribution and taxonomy (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: D 5: KU 310177; AS 3: CAS 15260.

***Lycodon capucinus* (Boie, 1827)**

This common snake (Fig. 68) is encountered in the vicinity of human dwellings throughout the Philippines and Southeast Asia (Leviton 1963a, 1965b; Manthey and Grossman 1997; Inger and Voris 2001). Our previous phylogenetic analysis detected moderate genetic divergence among populations across its range, including the Philippines and Asian mainland (Siler et al. 2013). This species is “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AN 9: KU 327417; C 1: CAS-SUR 28271; C 10: KU 305142; D 4: KU 310166; SN 1: CAS 134506.

***Lycodon dumerilii* (Boulenger, 1893)**

This frequently encountered northeast Mindanao faunal region endemic has been reported from Mindanao and Samar (Taylor 1922e; Brown and Alcala 1970), and Leviton restricted the unspecified “Philippines” type locality of Boulenger (1893) to Surigao, northeast Mindanao (Leviton 1965b). Lanza (1999) described an apparently related species (*L. ferroni*) from Samar, and the phylogenetic distinctiveness of the various, somewhat variable (RMB *personal observations*). Northeast Mindanao faunal region populations (Fig. 69) has not yet been examined in detail (Siler et al. 2013). This species is ranked “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319989; D 4: KU 306193; D 5: KU 310167–68.



Figure 67. *Gonyosoma oxycephalum* has been collected on Dinagat Island and in Agusan del Sur; this specimen was photographed at the Municipality of Burauen, Leyte Island (specimen deposited in KU). Photo: RMB.



Figure 68. *Lycodon capucinus* (KU 305142) from Barangay Cabuan, Municipality of Guinsiliban, Camiguin Sur Island. Photo: CDS.



Figure 69. *Lycodon dumerilii* (KU 319989) from Mt. Magdiwata, Barangay Bagusan II, Municipality of San Francisco, Agusan del Sur Province. Photo: ACD.

Oligodon maculatus (Taylor, 1918)

Described by Taylor (1918a,b) from his Bunawan collections, *Oligodon maculatus* (Fig. 70) is recognized as a fairly common low- to mid-elevation snake from forested areas of the northeast Mindanao faunal region (Leviton 1963a,c; Smith 1993b). Considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) because of its widespread distribution, this species has not yet been recorded from any of the smaller islands, and its population status in western Mindanao is unclear. *Oligodon maculatus* is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: SS 3: CAS 15280; AN 10: KU 334451; AN 11: KU 334450.

Stegonotus muelleri Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854

Presumably because of the common species epithet, this species has been erroneously confused in taxonomic literature with *Lycodon muelleri* a species from Luzon, Polillo, and presumably Catanduanes and Marinduque islands (Siler et al. 2013). *Stegonotus muelleri* (Fig. 71), in fact is a large (1.5–2.0 m body length) rat snake that is unique among Mindanao PAIC herpetofauna in that it is a true Papuan biogeographical element, akin to the frog genus *Oreophryne* and extends no farther into the Philippines



Figure 70. *Oligodon maculatus* juvenile (KU 334450) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province Photo: RMB.

than the Mindanao faunal region (Inger 1954, 1967, 1999; Leviton 1963a; Brown and Alcala 1970). We have collected this common species throughout northeast Mindanao and Dinagat islands despite the fact that a decade ago, it qualified as “Near Threatened” (NT; IUCN 2010, 2016); the status of this common widespread species needs to be reconsidered now that there are new distributional data are available. We consider the species to be “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2010) given all of our data presented here and those not yet published from Leyte and Samar islands.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334773–74; AS 5: KU 320001–04; D 5: KU 310178; MO 6: KU 334775.

Family Natricidae

Rhabdophis auriculata auriculata (Günther, 1858)

A widespread and exceptionally abundant species *Rhabdophis auriculata* (Fig. 72) is another species with taxonomic differentiation across central Mindanao (Günther 1858; Taylor 1922e; Leviton 1963a). Leviton (1970b) described the subspecies *R. a. myersi* from western Mindanao and recognized the nominate form from central and eastern Mindanao, Bohol, Samar, Leyte islands. All published reptile faunal studies from



Figure 71. *Stegonotus muelleri* (KU 320004) from Mt. Magdiwata, Barangay Bayugan II, Municipality of San Francisco, Agusan del Sur Province. Photo: ACD.



Figure 72. *Rhabdophis auriculata auriculata* (KU 334441) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

Mindanao have noted this species (e.g. Smith 1993b; Beukema 2011), and we have collected it on the forest floor and riparian habitats in disturbed areas at numerous sites on Mindanao and Dinagat (Ross and Lazell 1990). This species is not considered threatened (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334437–42; AN 12: KU 334443; AN 3: CAS 133456–57, CAS 133479, CAS 133499, CAS 133598, CAS 186141; AN 4: CAS 133360–61; AN 5: USNM 305863–67, USNM 497034–35, KU 319990–4; D 5: KU 310172–73, KU 310376; MO 2: KU 334444–8; MO 5: KU 319995; MO 6: KU 334449.

***Rhabdophis lineatus* (Peters, 1861)**

Another extremely common and widespread Mindanao faunal region species (Boulenger 1893; Taylor 1922c,e; Leviton 1963a), *Rhabdophis lineatus* (Fig. 73) commonly is encountered in the vicinity of fresh water at lower elevations on all the large islands of the Mindanao PAIC (Brown and Diesmos 2009). This species is not currently considered threatened (IUCN 2016), even by heavy disturbance to coastal freshwater environments, where it apparently persists without noticeable declines in abundance (RMB *personal observations*).



Figure 73. *Rhabdophis lineatus* (KU 334463) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334452–59; AN 3: CAS 133365, CAS 133498, CAS 133502, CAS 133599, CAS 186140, CAS 186142; AN 4: CAS 133231, CAS 133286, CAS 133359; AN 5: USNM 497036; AS 5: KU 319956–9; D 5: KU 310174–75; MO 2: KU 334461–63; MO 3: KU 334460; MO 5: KU 319960; MO 6: KU 334464–67.

***Tropidonophis dendrophiops* (Günther, 1883)**

Described from Zamboanga, western Mindanao (Günther 1883; Boulenger 1893), this species (Fig. 74) is infrequently collected on Mindanao (Malnate and Underwood 1988; Smith 1993b) but can be encountered on Luzon fairly predictably in riparian habitats with clean water and some extent of vegetation cover (Brown et al. 1996, 2000, 2012, 2013a; Siler et al. 2011a; Devan-song and Brown 2012; McLeod et al. 2012). Given its wide distribution, this species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016). A phylogeographic study, combined with appraisal of geographic variation from Luzon to Mindanao would be a desirable undertaking with this taxon, which we consider sensitive to environmental disturbance and which we note is conspicuously absent from low elevation, coastal, and highly disturbed fresh waterways (RMB *personal observation*).

Sites and specimens: AS 5: KU 319996; C 13: KU 309921, KU 310368; MO 6: KU 334488; C 7: CAS 137559, CAS 185748.

Family Elapidae

***Calliophis philippina* (Günther, 1864)**

This species (Fig. 75) is widespread in the Mindanao PAIC islands of Mindanao, Samar and Leyte (Leviton 1963b; Leviton et al. 2014) and is known from Agusan del Norte and Misamis Occidental provinces in the northeast, as well as Zamboanga Province in the west, and Davao and Lanao del Sur provinces in the south. Previously considered a subspecies of the widespread polytypic *Calliophis intestinalis* (which is considered “Least Concern” by IUCN [2016]) the revised taxonomy, considering Mindanao PAIC populations as a separate species has not yet been assessed for conservation purposes. Nevertheless, we consider this to be a reasonably common species in areas with any kind of forest cover and we have encountered specimens in many of our recent surveys in Agusan Del Norte and Agusan Del Sur provinces (Mindanao Island), and Camiguin Sur Island, as well as on recent surveys in extreme western Mindanao. Based on its wide distribution and lack of any specific threats, we consider this species to be “Least Concern” (IUCN 2010).

Sites and specimens: AN 9: KU 327217; AS 5: KU 320005; C 1: CAS-SUR 28273; C 13: KU 327218; C 14: KU 310369; C 6: CAS-SUR 26189; MO: KU 334481.



Figure 74. *Tropidonophis dendrophiops* (KU 319996) from Mt. Magdiwata, Barangay Bayugan II, Municipality of San Francisco, Agusan del Sur Province. Photo: ACD.

Calliophis sp.

We collected single specimen of a new species of elapid snake, tentatively assigned to the genus *Calliophis*, at the Barangay Santiago, Sitio Cambinlia (Sudlon), Municipality of Loreto, Dinagat Island. The specimen is so distinct as to initially defy identification to genus (Leviton et al. 2014). Possibly more closely related to the red-headed blue coral snake (*Calliophis bivirgata*) than to the sympatric and common striped coral snake *Calliophis philippina* (Eric Smith, *personal communication*), the new species will formally be named to science in another publication. A previous molecular phylogenetic analysis of Philippine “coral snakes” of the genus *Hemibungarus* determined those Philippine elapids were unrelated to true coral snakes and, rather, more closely related to cobras (Castoe et al. 2007). A phylogenetic analysis of DNA sequence data will likewise be necessary to determine the phylogenetic affinities of this unusual new species (E. Smith and RMB *unpublished data*). Without any information on the distribution of this new species, we hold conservation status assessment in abeyance until more data are available.

Sites and specimens: D 5: KU 310164.



Figure 75. *Calliophis philippina* (KU 320005; **A** dorsal **B** ventral) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: KAC.

***Naja samarensis* Peters, 1861**

This venomous cobra species is known throughout Mindanao, Bohol, Samar, Leyte, Dinagat, and Camiguin Sur islands (Taylor 1922e; Leviton 1963a, 1964b; Wüster and Thorpe 1990; Smith 1993b; Leviton et al. 2014). Our specimen from Barangay Pandan, Municipality of Mambajao (Camiguin Sur Island) was active during the day on the edge forest and coconut palm plantations. Like all recognizably venomous snakes, Samar cobras are heavily persecuted by humans whenever the two species come in contact; however, *N. samarensis* is known from a number of protected areas, and clearly can tolerate anthropogenic modification of its habitat; it is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016) despite the fact that all cobras are included in Appendix II of CITES (IUCN 2016) due to their commercial harvest for skin (leather) and traditional medicine trades.

Sites and specimens: AN 7: CAS 15340; C 14: KU 309923; C 7: CAS-SUR 26322; D 1: USNM 229324.

Family Hydrophiidae

Leviton et al. (2014) list multiple sea snakes from the coastal areas of Mindanao, including *Hydrophis atriceps* and *Laticauda laticaudata*. We were able to locate credible, identified specimens of only a single species from northeast Mindanao, although we do not discount sight records of earlier workers (Taylor 1922d; Leviton 1963; Brown and Alcala 1970).

***Hydrophis platyurus* (Linnaeus, 1766)**

This extremely widely distributed sea snake is most likely found throughout the Philippines (Leviton et al. 2014), and outside of the country as far as Hawaii and the western coastal United States. The two specimens from Surigao Del Norte are hemipene preparations, taken by J. C. Thompson (a U. S. Marines office, stationed in the Philippines in the early 1900s) from voucher specimens deposited then in the University of Santo Tomas (Manila) collection. No information is available regarding the condition of these voucher specimens in the UST collection, and we have not been granted access to this historically important Manila-based collection (Taylor 1920a,b, 1922a,e; Brown and Alcala 1978). This species has been assessed by the IUCN as “Least Concern” with populations stable (IUCN 2016). The status of sea snakes in the waters surrounding Mindanao has been very poorly studied and almost nothing is known of species diversity in the southwest Philippines (Taylor 1922e; Uetz and Hošek 2015).

Sites and specimens: SN 1: CAS 15364–65.

Family Lamprophiidae

Oxyrhabdium modestum (Duméril, 1853)

Like the closely-related Luzon PAIC species *O. leporinum*, individuals of this common Mindanao PAIC faunal region endemic (Fig. 76) are most frequently encountered actively foraging on the ground in riparian habitats (Leviton 1964c; Brown et al. 2000a, 2012, 2013b; McLeod et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2011a; Devan-Song and Brown 2012). Juveniles of both species are encountered at night, perched asleep in herbaceous layer vegetation and small shrubs. Many of our specimens were found coiled on fronds or in axils of ferns along stream banks in selectively logged forests; others were found on the first few branches of small saplings. Owing to its ubiquitous distribution throughout the Mindanao PAIC and absence of any specific threats, *Oxyrhabdium modestum* is considered “Last Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334390–91; AN 12: KU 334386–88; AN 14: KU 334389; AN 9: KU 327247; C 13: KU 309912–15, KU 310361–2, KU 327248–50; C 14: KU 309916–18, KU 310363–66; MO 1: KU 327251–52; MO 6: KU 334391–402; AN 3: CAS 133363, CAS 133399–401; AN 4: CAS 133135, CAS 133208, CAS 133239; C 1: CAS-SUR 28213; C 2: CAS-SUR 28276; C 6: CAS 139047, CAS-SUR 26190, CAS-SUR 26325–27, CAS-SUR 26329; C 7: CAS 137555–58, CAS 185747.



Figure 76. *Oxyrhabdium modestum* (KU 334388) from May-Impit, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

***Psammodynastes pulverulentus* (Boie, 1827)**

This non-endemic, very widespread species has not been assessed by IUCN (2010, 2016) but clearly is not threatened with extinction due to its widespread distribution across many Southeast Asian countries and landmasses, along with its obvious tolerance of disturbance. We frequently find adults on the ground foraging, and juveniles in the branches (sleeping at night) of very small saplings. This species is not considered endangered at any elevated threat level (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 12: KU 334384; AN 5: USNM 305862, USNM 497037; AS 5: KU 319997–99; AS 6: KU 314132; C 13: KU 309922; D 5: KU 310169–71; MO 2: KU 334385; AN 3: CAS 133477, CAS 133540; AN 4: CAS 133162, CAS 153870; C 1: CAS-SUR 28212; C 6: CAS 137560–61; CAS-SUR 26324; C 7: CAS 137562, CAS-SUR 26323.

Family Pareidae***Aplopeltura boa* (Boie, 1828)**

This widely distributed slug-eating snake (Fig. 77) is most frequently encountered in the vicinity of rivers and streams where it feeds exclusively on arboreal slugs and snails that are abundant following rain. It is considered “Least Concern” by IUCN (2016) due chiefly to its wide geographical distribution across many Southeast Asian landmasses.



Figure 77. *Aplopeltura boa* (KU 334473) from Eye Falls, Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photo: RMB.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334470–73; AN 12: KU 334474–75; AS 4: KU 314129; AS 5: KU 319950–54; D 5: KU 310163; AS 3: CAS 15351.

Family Pythonidae

Malayopython reticulatus (Schneider, 1801)

Caraga region reticulated pythons are quite common in various habitats, including agricultural plantations, and even residential areas—localities where humans have subsidized their prey base by inadvertently increasing rodent populations. We received numerous reports of pythons from residents around disturbed forests patches in the foothills of Mt. Hilong-hilong, Mt. Balatukan, Mt. Magdiwata, and Mt. Lumot. Hunted for meat (Gaulke 1998), *M. reticulatus* is also exploited in other parts of its range for leather. The conservation status of natural populations of Philippine pythons is unclear; this species has not been assessed by the IUCN (2016), but it most likely will not require additional measures of protection unless it becomes commercially exploited in the area. We collected one specimen in a coconut palm plantation at night, at the base (170 m) of Mt. Hilong-hilong.

Sites and specimens: AN 9: KU 334997.

Family Typhlopidae

Ramphotyphlops braminus (Daudin, 1803)

This common, parthenogenetic, and presumably introduced species were collected from beneath all kinds of forest floor debris (leaf litter, logs, rocks, etc.) and on the edge of forests, in agricultural and residential areas. Frequent around human dwellings, this common “flower pot snake” is “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: C 13: KU 327418–21; C 1: CAS-SUR 28197–98, CAS-SUR 28206; C 6: CAS-SUR 26230.

Ramphotyphlops cf. *cumingii* (Gray, 1845)

Owing to the near complete lack of information on the distribution of *Ramphotyphlops cumingii* (Gray 1845; Taylor 1922e; McDowell 1974; McDiarmid et al. 1999), plus wholesale taxonomic confusion surrounding species boundaries in these secretive taxa (McDiarmid et al. 1999; Wynn et al. 2015), *Ramphotyphlops cumingi* is considered “Data Deficient,” as are most species of Philippine typhlopoid snakes. Imperfectly matching published accounts of the diagnostic scalation in *R. cumingii*, our specimen

from low elevation foothills of Mt. Hilong-hilong (coconut palm plantations) may represent yet another undescribed form.

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334468.

***Malayotyphlops* sp.**

Collected in 1971 above 700 m on the west side of Mt. Hilong-hilong on approach from the Municipality of Cabadbaran, CAS 133604 is the only known specimen of an undescribed species. Despite our return to the general area in 2010 and 2012, no additional specimens have been secured. In previous assessments, this specimen has been identified as “*Typhlops luzonensis*” Taylor 1919, so comparisons to that species are warranted. In a recent review of *Malayotyphlops luzonensis*, Wynn et al. (2015) re-described *M. luzonensis* on the basis of the holotype (CM 2653) and other specimens from the type locality (Mt. Makiling, Laguna Province, Luzon Island) and determined that other non-Luzon populations previously referred to this species (from Negros and this specimen Mindanao) were misidentifications of other unrecognized taxa.

Sites and specimens: AN 3: CAS 133604.

Family Viperidae

***Trimeresurus* cf. *flavomaculatus* (Gray, 1842)**

Trimeresurus flavomaculatus (Fig. 78) is an exceedingly common pit viper, encountered in a variety of habitats on Luzon, Catanduanes, and Polillo (Taylor 1919; McLeod et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2011a; Brown et al. 2012a, 2013b; Devan-song and Brown 2012). On Mindanao PAIC islands, *Trimeresurus* cf. *flavomaculatus* is widespread and but somewhat common (Leviton 1964a; Leviton et al. 2014), prone to be encountered in mature forest, and with a distinctive color pattern. It is entirely possible that these observations may be simple inter-population differences not indicative of species-level diagnostic characteristics. This certainly has been the case for *Trimeresurus flavomaculatus halieus*, a subspecies (*sensu* Leviton 1964a) originally described from Polillo Island (Taylor 1919) but which has been shown to be a mere color pattern variant, frequently found on Luzon (Brown et al. 2000, 2012, 2013a; Devan-song and Brown 2012; Leviton et al. 2014). On the other hand, previously recognized subspecies *Trimeresurus flavomaculatus mcgregori* (now recognized as *T. mcgregori*, a Batanes-Babuyan archipelago endemic; Leviton et al. 2014), and *Trimeresurus flavomaculatus schultzei* (a Palawan endemic) have been elevated to the level of full species (Brown et al. 2000; Gumprecht et al. 2004; Oliveros et al. 2011; Leviton et al. 2014), leading us to consider a molecular test of species potential species boundaries in Luzon PAIC versus Mindanao PAIC *Trimeresurus flavomaculatus* populations highly desirable. Given its



Figure 78. *Trimeresurus* cf. *flavomaculatus* red phase male (**A** KU 334433), green phase male (**B** KU 334466).

current “widespread” geographic distribution, *Trimeresurus flavomaculatus* currently is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016). This arrangement could change if Mindanao, west Visayan [Gaulke 2011], and/or Luzon populations are shown to



Figure 78. Continued. *Trimeresurus* cf. *flavomaculatus* green phase female (**C** KU 334432), all from Mt. Hilong-hilong, Municipality of Remedios T. Romualdez, Agusan del Norte Province. Photos: RMB.

be separate evolutionary lineages. Fischer (1885) described *Trimeresurus schadenbergi* from Mindanao (currently a synonym of *T. flavomaculatus*). This would seem to be the available name for the Mindanao faunal region lineage, should it be deemed worthy of specific rank. According to David and Ineich (1999), Leviton's (1964a) restriction of the type locality of *T. flavomaculatus* to Luzon may not be valid, thus necessitating that a full reconsideration of phenotypic variation in name-bearing types (or literature descriptions, given that some type specimens were destroyed in WWII; Brown and Alcala 1978; Uetz and Hosek 2015) be undertaken in coordination with any effort to distinguish these putative taxa using molecular data.

Sites and specimens: AN 11: KU 334432–33; AN 12: KU 334434–35; AS 5: KU 320006–07; D 5: KU 310378; MO 2: KU 334436.

Tropidolaemus subannulatus (Gray, 1842)

This common and widespread Luzon and West Visayan pit viper (Fig. 79) is frequently encountered in coastal to mid-montane elevation forested areas (Taylor 1919, 1922e; Leviton 1964a; Brown et al. 2012a, 2013a; Siler et al. 2011a; Devan-song and Brown 2012). Vogel et al. (2007) revised the *Tropidolaemus wagleri* complex and, in restricting *T. wagleri* to non-Philippine landmasses, resurrected Gray's (1842) *T. subannulatus* for Philippine populations on numerous islands, including northeast Mindanao



Figure 79. *Tropidolaemus subannulatus* (KU 306592) from Barangay Esperanza, Municipality of Loreto, Dinagat Island. Photo: CDS.

populations. The authors noted the “highly problematic” nature of these populations, with respect to their diagnosis from conspecific *T. philippensis* (below) and we concur: although some specimens clearly meet the definition of Gray’s *T. subannulatus*, others from the same or nearby populations are definitively *T. philippensis*. The status of these two possibly distinct and sympatric lineages needs to be addressed with molecular data and a full appraisal of variation in the name-bearing type specimens (Vogel et al. 2007). This species is considered “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: AN 10: KU 334489; AN 5: USNM 305856; MO 6: KU 334490.

Tropidolaemus philippensis (Gray, 1842)

As discussed above, Vogel et al. (2007) recently resurrected Gray’s (1842) *Tropidolaemus philippensis* (Fig. 80); both taxa are exemplified by holotypes bearing locality data no more specific than “The Philippines.” Although Taylor (1919, 1922e) recognized them both, Leviton (1964a) placed both in the synonymy of *T. wagleri* (Vogel et al. 2007; Leviton et al. 2014). That action, no longer tenable, has been revised to recognize all three species (and others) with the unresolved problem of a lack of clarifica-



Figure 80. *Tropidolaemus philippensis* (KU 334490) from Mt. Lumot, Municipality of Gingoog City, Misamis Oriental Province. Photo: RMB.

tion between *T. subannulatus* and *T. philippensis* (Vogel et al. 2007). With reference to clearly *T. philippensis* phenotypes from Samar and Leyte (e.g., KU 338863, 311290, 326317, from Leyte Island), we do not hesitate to identify a subset of our available specimens to *T. philippensis*. This species' conservation status has not been assessed.

Sites and specimens: D 2: KU 306592; D 5: KU 310176.

Reptilia: Turtles

Family Bataguridae

Cuora amboinensis (Riche in Daudin, 1802)

This common geomydid freshwater turtle species (Fig. 81) has been documented by Diesmos et al. (2008) throughout the country. It is considered widely distributed and composed of two poorly differentiated subspecies, which may or may not be valid (the nominate form, *C. a. amboinensis* is reported to occur throughout the eastern Philippines, including northeast Mindanao). Our records all originate from Dinagat Island where it is common on forest edges and agricultural areas and streams in secondary, disturbed forest. Although the taxonomy of this group is unresolved and despite its



Figure 81. *Cuora amboinensis* is common at low elevations in riparian habitats throughout northeast Mindanao. Photo: RMB (from the Municipality of Balangiga, Samar Island; specimen deposited at KU).

wide distribution throughout the Philippines, and adjacent landmasses of the western Australasian archipelago and adjacent mainland, this species is considered “Vulnerable” to extinction (VU; IUCN 2016) in recognition of the fact that freshwater turtles are so heavily exploited throughout Asia (Lehr and Holloway 2003; Schoppe 2008).

Sites and specimens: D 2: KU 305165, KU 305167, KU 305557–58; D 5: KU 310179.

Reptilia: Crocodiles

Family Crocodylidae

***Crocodylus porosus* Schneider, 1801**

This species, a saltwater and estuarine crocodile, is found distributed from Southeast Asia up to northern Australia (Iskandar 2000; Lewis et al. 2013). In the Philippines, Indo-pacific crocodiles are found throughout the archipelago and in north-central Mindanao; their presence previously has been documented inland at Agusan Marsh. The species inhabits inland lakes, swamps and marshes, as well as coastal brackish waters and tidal sections of rivers; terrestrial nest sites and basking areas are frequently

observed along many Mindanao freshwater bodies of water. This species has an IUCN status of “Least Concern” (LC; IUCN 2016).

Sites and specimens: D 1: USNM 228414–16; SS 1: USNM 228413.

Discussion

Our collective knowledge of the herpetological diversity of Mindanao Island (and, in general, the southern Philippines) relies on important mid- to late-19th Century historical European museum collections and a few recent surveys, mostly concentrated at one region: northeast Mindanao (e.g., collections of E. H. Taylor, W. C. Brown and ACA; Plaza and Sanguila 2015), with scattered, limited-scope reports from the western (Nuñez et al. 2010), central (Beukema 2011), and southeastern (Smith 1993a,b; Delima et al. 2006, 2007) corners of the island. The historical survey works of Taylor (1919, 1920a,b 1921, 1922a,b, 1923, 1960, 1965) based on his extensive survey work (1912–1915) from the north-central portion of the island (Taylor 1975) resulted in records of approximately 100 species, which provided the first picture of Mindanao’s herpetological diversity (Dickerson 1928; Taylor 1928, 1975). After this seminal work, the only subsequent summaries of Mindanao fauna were those of revisionary taxonomists (Inger 1954; Leviton 1963a–c, 1964a–c, 1965a,b, 1967, 1968, 1970a,b, 1979, 1983) and the biogeographical reviews of Brown and Alcala (1970, 1975, 1980, 1994), which relied primarily on Taylor’s specimens and augmented his records with all that was known of the island at the time (ancillary collections). Since Taylor’s work, the majority of our understanding of the herpetofaunal diversity of Mindanao (at least in terms of published literature and data in the public domain) has been augmented by excursions concentrated on specific sites. These include the records of 14–41 reptile species (Amoroso 2000; Beukema 2010) and 25 amphibian species records from central Mindanao (Delima et al. 2006), 34 species from southeastern portions of the island (Delima et al. 2007; Ates et al. 2009), 27 species reported from Mt. Hilong-hilong (Plaza and Sanguila 2015), 26–59 species recorded in the northwestern reaches of Mindanao (Tabaranza et al. 2001; Nuñez et al. 2010), and 80–90 species recorded on the extreme western part of the island (Brown et al. *unpublished data*). Despite a paucity of information, the existence of high species diversity on Mindanao has been cited to rival other well-studied areas of the northern portions of the archipelago, where newly compiled levels of species diversity estimates range upwards of 100 species in some areas (Brown et al. 2012a, 2013a).

Because of our continued uncertainty regarding the true herpetological biodiversity of the southern Philippines, we strongly urge continued survey work aimed at providing accurate estimates of species richness in other subcenters of high-diversity within the Mindanao faunal region. Updated and continued assessment of the conservation status of Mindanao species (Diesmos and Brown 2011; Diesmos et al. 2014, 2015) is, likewise, urgently needed. Using new approaches of concentrated herpetological sampling efforts and repeat visits to several historical sites, we continue to ask: (1) how diverse

is Mindanao's herpetological fauna? (2) Are there differences among sites that would suggest ecological and evolutionary processes behind observed patterns? (3) Is the continued treatment of the Mindanao PAIC's northern islands (e.g. Samar, Leyte) as simple faunal subsets of Mindanao an over simplification? (4) Just how different is the poorly studied fauna of western (Zamboanga del Norte, Zamboanga Sibugay, and Misamis Occidental provinces) and southern Mindanao (Maguindanao, Lanao del Norte, Lanao del Sur, Sultan Kudarat, South Cotabato, and Sarangani provinces) from the now moderately well-known central and northeastern sub-centers of diversity? (5) Do small islands, off the coast of Mindanao harbor endemic species and unrecognized diversity? These and other question should be the focus of a newly invigorated research program focused on the Mindanao faunal region.

To augment the record provided by published literature and publically served museum data, we surveyed isolated islands (Camiguin Sur and Dinagat) and mountain ranges spanning several provinces (Misamis Oriental, Agusan del Norte, Agusan del Sur, Surigao del Norte and Surigao del Sur) of northeastern Mindanao (Table 1). Based on our newly synthesized data (Table 2), we have confirmed the occurrence of numerous historically recorded species, and highlight many new distribution records on Dinagat Island, Agusan del Sur, and Misamis Oriental provinces. Our results suggest high herpetofaunal species diversity (approximately 35% of the total Philippine herpetofauna) in northeast Mindanao and adjacent small islands. We fully anticipate that this estimate of species diversity will continue to increase with future survey efforts targeting many inaccessible and/or poorly sampled intervening sites (Fig. 1) for which data currently are unavailable.

High estimates of regional herpetofaunal diversity in the Philippine archipelago have been confirmed in well-studied biogeographically distinct island groups such as Luzon (Brown and Stuart 2012; Brown et al. 2012a, 2013a). Understanding of the processes that have led to high species diversity in part relies on increased quality of geological inference (Hall 1996, 2002; Yumul et al. 2009), an understanding of the routes of colonization of the archipelago (Brown and Guttman 2002; Brown and Siler 2013), and mechanisms associated with *in situ* speciation (i.e., geographical and/or marine barriers, ecological gradients, and habitat heterogeneity (Welton et al. 2009, 2013a; Brown et al. 2013b). Our new appreciation of Mindanao as an aggregate terrain, formed from recent accretion events of paleoisland precursors as well as subduction events associated with the uplift of previously submerged crustal platforms (Hall 1998; Yumul 2003, 2009; Brown and Diesmos 2009), leads us to expect that these same mechanisms, inferred in islands to the north, may have likewise contributed to Mindanao's within-island provincial diversity in the south (McGuire and Alcala 2000; Sanguila et al. 2011; Brown and Siler 2013; Brown 2015)

From our surveys, we note new Philippine records for native but non-endemic widespread species (those with a portion of their distributions outside the Philippines). These include *Pelophryne brevipes* (Bufonidae), *Chaperina fusca* (Microhylidae), *Polydectes leucomystax*, *Rhacophorus pardalis*, and *Kurixalus appendiculatus* (Rhacophoridae). These species' distribution data supplement our understanding of the eastern

island arc colonization route (Sulu archipelago-Mindanao-Leyte-Samar-Luzon) as the hypothesized entryway to explain the distribution of herpetofaunal species on Mindanao (Brown and Guttman 2002; Gonzales et al. 2014; Brown and Siler 2014), and to other parts of the archipelago today (review: Brown et al. 2013b). Our new species distribution records from the islands of Camiguin Sur and Dinagat (e.g., *Cyrtodactylus mamananwa*, *Eutropis* cf. *indepressa*, *E.* cf. *multicarinata*, *Brachymeles vulcani*, *Calliophis* sp.) re-affirm the prediction of faunal colonization from Mindanao to these ephemerally isolated islands via over water dispersal or colonization along land bridges (Inger 1954; Brown and Diesmos 2009). Both, apparently, have contributed to the processes of isolation, divergence, and speciation (Welton et al. 2010; Linkem et al. 2011; Siler et al. 2012b; Barley et al. 2013).

Although we did not explicitly analyze archipelago-wide distributional data, our new survey data (consisting of intensive elevational transects sampling, covering differing habitat types) corroborate the expectation of regional herpetofaunal diversity of Mindanao (Taylor 1928; Inger 1954; Leviton 1963a; Brown and Alcala 1970; Ross and Lazell 1990; Brown et al. 2013b; Gonzales et al. 2014). Recent surveys have uncovered numerous new species on the island (Brown, Diesmos, Sanguila, Siler *unpublished data*), which will supplement our understanding of species distributions in relation to other biogeographically distinct island groups of the archipelago (Brown et al. 2010, 2013b; Siler et al. 2011a; Barley et al. 2013). Our results not only confirmed additional species distribution records (Table 2), but also provided an opportunity for us to identify many herpetofaunal species complexes (27% of the total species included), which will require taxonomic work in the near future (e.g., Sanguila et al. 2011; Barley et al. 2013; Brown and Siler 2014; Brown 2015). Recent studies aimed at resolving species complexes have identified cryptic genetic diversity and important evolutionary units thereby clarifying these species' taxonomy and conservation status (e.g., Welton et al. 2009; 2010; Sanguila et al. 2011; Linkem and Brown 2013). Such studies have confirmed the importance of using of multiple sources of data for a sound understanding of species complexes (Brown and Guttman 2002; Grismer et al. 2013; Welton et al. 2013a, 2013b; Brown et al. 2014; Siler et al. 2014a–d).

In this study, we have taken a simple, but important first step, aimed at identifying similar issues on Mindanao. Future work necessarily will involve the resolution of species complexes with taxonomic clarifications, via species descriptions and revisionary work. This will provide an important source of data for use in future studies focused on ecology, conservation, and diversity of many Mindanao amphibian and reptile species complexes.

Our current review of Mindanao amphibians and reptiles also serves as a template to address broader conservation issues. Hosting a large percentage of the remaining forested areas in the archipelago, the forests of Mindanao are threatened by commercial (legal) and illegal logging (deforestation), land conversion for agricultural and commercial purposes (Conservation International 2014; Brown 2015), and recently, the outbreak of an infectious disease that threatens the long term survival of amphibian populations (Swei et al. 2011; Brown et al. 2012b; Diesmos et al. 2012). The first

two factors occur in the west and south, and also heavily in the east, while the threat of chytridiomycosis is now established in north-central portions of the island. An additional major threat comes in the form of introduced and invasive frog species (Diesmos et al. 2006, 2015), which appear to be having negative effects (extirpation of native species) along the island's coasts and lowlands (MBS, KC, RMB *personal observations*).

The entire Mindanao landscape, particularly lower elevation forests, has been heavily impacted by widespread deforestation, and climate change has become a particular concern for some Mindanao forest and shrub frogs (Alcala et al. 2012). Aside from anthropogenic factors that threaten Mindanao's terrestrial biodiversity, one issue requiring attention from conservationists is a wholesale dearth of herpetological species' occurrence data (Brown et al. 2012b; Diesmos et al. 2014, 2015) throughout Mindanao. Few comprehensive, repeat-visit, site-based surveys are available for the island. Most recent published accounts have been derived from single-visit site-based studies (Amoroso 2000; Tabaranza et al. 2001; Delima et al. 2006, 2007; Ates et al. 2009; Beukema 2010; Nuñez et al. 2010), which we necessarily view as incomplete (see Brown et al. 2012a, 2013a, for discussion).

Although conservation status assessment efforts attempt to address these factors in current assessments (IUCN 2016; still badly out of date despite recent comprehensive revisions [Diesmos et al. 2014] and based on the Global Amphibian Assessment of 2004), we have revised and supplemented IUCN conservation status of numerous species with new information from field surveys, plus all available occurrence data in the public domain (Diesmos et al. 2014, 2015; Table 2). Based on our new distributional records and natural history information for relevant species, we downgrade (or support the Diesmos et al.'s [2014] downgrading of eight species to lower threat categories (*Platymantis guentheri*, *Limnonectes diuatus*, *L. parvus*, *Megophrys stejnegeri*, *Theloderma spinosum*, *Philautus acutirostris*, *Philautus worcesteri*, *Philautus surrufus*, and *Rhacophorus bimaculatus*). In addition to providing novel assessments for previously unassessed taxa (*Leptobrachium lumadorum*, *Bronhocela* sp., *Cyrtodactylus mamananwa*, *Brachymeles tiboliorum*, *Sphenomorphus variegatus*, *Lipinia quadrivittata*, *Dendrelaphis philippinensis*, *Calliophis philippina*, and *Psammodynastes pulverulentus*), another predominant trend to our assessments have been the conversion of species of primarily "Least Concern" status to "Data Deficient" (IUCN 2010) due to recent demonstration of taxonomic uncertainty, a lack of information regarding species boundaries, and/or a lack of sufficient species occurrence or natural history data with which to make informed assessments.. Thirty-two of the total 126 species (~20%) recorded in this study require immediate systematic revision before informed conservation status assessments can be undertaken (Table 2). Most of these are cases where we have evidence that a widespread species (*sensu* Taylor [1928], Inger [1954], Leviton [1963a], Brown and Alcala [1970]) actually is composed of several more range-restricted taxa. We anticipate that in many cases, these more geographically limited putative species will be associated with serious conservation concerns.

Our newly revised conservation reassessment of Mindanao species is based on novel species distribution data, combined with all available historical data (Table 2; see

also Diesmos et al. 2014, 2015). The new survey data summarized here involved actual field surveys and, as such, should greatly inform existing (IUCN 2016) conservation assessments. Because the available IUCN (2016) assessments were compiled more than a decade ago, were based on secondary sources such as inferred forest cover, and indiscriminately applied and often incorrect assumptions about the natural history of many taxa (e.g., the degree to which species are, or are not, dependent on primary forest), they are now out of date and becoming incrementally ineffectual with time (Brown et al. 2012a, 2013a; Diesmos et al. 2014). Fortunately, the process of conservation status reassessment is underway (Diesmos et al. 2014) and continuing, in an effort to stay current with each major faunal summary. Even in the face of Philippine central government efforts to establish the country's own, independent red list of endangered species (ACD *unpublished data*), staying current with IUCN (2016) conservation status assessments will be an ongoing challenge for future generations of conservation biologists if the country is to interact with neighboring nations, exchange internationally-relevant information on conservation priorities, or cooperate with global wildlife trade monitoring, law enforcement, and/or conservation agencies (e.g., CITES, USF&W, IUCN, Conservation International).

We have attempted to provide a summary of all available information on the distribution of amphibians and reptiles of northeast Mindanao. This work both presents a state-of-the-art picture of the region's herpetofauna, but also identifies areas now sorely in need of additional survey work. Prioritization of remaining areas for field-based surveys (pockets of Data Deficient species like those of western Mindanao and the Sulu Archipelago) has not been critically evaluated in past conservation assessments (IUCN 2016). Although some groups have been the subject of comprehensive taxonomic review, which has then allowed for subsequent conservation status assessment (Brown and Siler 2013; Brown 2015; Siler et al. 2011b, 2012b, 2014c,d), an analysis of suspected cryptic species diversity, and unrecognized (unprotected) new taxa is sorely lacking for approximately 20% of Mindanao's fauna. Great progress could be made with increased field-based survey work across all of central, southern, and western Mindanao. This mandate identifies a clear and urgent focus for ameliorating the lack of herpetological biodiversity information from the southern Philippines, and promotes the development of effective conservation management plans, tailored to the needs and challenges of individual protected areas and/or biodiversity hotspots, charged with conserving the remarkable herpetological biodiversity of Mindanao Island.

Acknowledgements

Support for fieldwork was provided by the funding from the U.S. National Science Foundation (earlier grants to W. C. Brown and ACA), the Research Office of Fr. Saturnino Urios University (financial and logistical support of field work on Mts. Lumot and Hilong-hilong), and, more recently, an NSF Biotic Surveys and Inventories grant (DEB 0743491) to RMB. We thank the Biodiversity Monitoring Bureau (BMB) of

the Philippine Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), for their continued support of our field research program. We thank R. G. Dahonog and R. M. Tawantawan (DENR Region X), R. Igot and M. Mendoza (DENR Region XIII) and DENR CENRO Cabadbaran City for logistical support. Our recent field surveys were conducted under a Gratuitous Permit to Collect Biological Specimens (GP) permit nos. 201, 210 and 212, provided by the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) Biodiversity Monitoring Bureau (BMB). In particular, we appreciate the efforts of our partners in fieldwork: J. Plaza, M.G. Medrano, J. Fernandez, W. Bulalaco, J. Cantil, N. Antoque, M. Tuto, B. Paulson, K. Ingenloff, M. Janra, E. DiBlasi, M. Pabillore, R. Edma, and V. Yngente. K. Andam and B. Pascual provided invaluable logistical support on Mts. Balatukan and Lumot. During the Mt. Lumot surveys, we especially appreciated the enthusiastic efforts of our dedicated field assistant, Baba, who secured several important species records (e.g., *Dibamus*) included here. We thank A. Barley, C. Linkem, L. Welton, and J. Vindum for helpful discussion of lizard taxonomy and access to specimen data and we are grateful to Aaron Bauer, Maren Gaulke and Indraneil Das for critical reviews of the manuscript. During initial stages of manuscript preparation MBS was supported by a Fulbright Senior Advanced Research Grant; this paper represents and her work towards this collaboration constitutes contribution No. 1 from the FSUU Biodiversity Informatics and Research Center.

References

- Alcala AC (1957) Philippine notes on the ecology of the giant marine toad. *Silliman Journal* 4: 90–96.
- Alcala AC, Brown WC (1998) Philippine Amphibians: an Illustrated Field Guide. Bookmark Press, Makati City, 116 pp.
- Alcala AC, Bucol A, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2012) Vulnerability of Philippine amphibians to climate change. *Philippine Journal of Science* 141: 77–87.
- American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists (ASIH) (2004) Guidelines for use of live amphibians and reptiles in field and laboratory research. Herpetological Animal Care and Use Committee (HACC). American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, Lawrence, KS.
- Amoroso VB (2000) Status, species richness and ecosystem diversity in Mindanao Island. In: Anonymous (Ed.) Saving the hottest of the hotspots. Proceedings of the National Biodiversity Conservation Priority-Setting Workshop, Mindanao Regional Consultation. Malagos Garden Resort, Davao City, 17–32.
- Arifin UD, Iskandar T, Bickford DP, Brown RM, Meier R, Kutti SN (2011) Phylogenetic relationship within the genus *Staurois* (Amphibia, Ranidae) based on 16S rRNA sequences. *Zootaxa* 2733: 39–52.
- Ates FB, Delima EMM (2008) Assemblage and microhabitats of anurans from Mt. Sinaka, Arakan, Cotabato and Mt. Hamiguitan, Davao Oriental, Mindanao Island, Philippines. *Journal of Nature Studies* 7: 101–107.

- Barley AJ, Datta-Roy A, Karanth KP, Thompson R, Brown RM (2014) Sun skink diversification across the Indian-Southeast Asian biogeographical interface. *Journal of Biogeography* 42: 292–304. doi: 10.1111/jbi.12397
- Barley AJ, Monahan PJ, Thomson R, Grismer LL, Brown RM (2015) Sun skink landscape genomics across Southeast Asia: the evolution of genetic and morphological diversity across a heterogeneous and fragmented landscape. *Molecular Ecology* 24: 1696–1712. doi: 10.1111/mec.13151
- Barley AJ, White J, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2013) The challenge of species delimitation at the extremes: Diversification without morphological change in Philippine sun skinks. *Evolution* 67: 3556–3572. doi: 10.1111/evo.12219
- Beukema W (2011) Herpetofauna of disturbed forest fragments on the lower Mt. Kitanglad Range, Mindanao Island, Philippines. *Salamandra* 47: 90–98.
- Boulenger GA (1882) Catalogue of the Batrachia Saliente s Ecaudata in the collection of the British Museum. Taylor and Francis, London, 126 pp.
- Boulenger GA (1893) Catalogue of the snakes in the British Museum (Nat. Hist.) I. Taylor & Francis, London, 448 pp.
- Boulenger GA (1894) On the herpetological fauna of Palawan and Balabac. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* 80: 6–90. doi: 10.1080/00222939408677772
- Boulenger GA (1920) A monograph of the South Asian, Papuan, Melanesian and Australian frogs of the genus *Rana*. *Records of the Indian Museum* 20: 1–126. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.12471
- Boulenger GA (1885) Catalogue of the Lizards in the British Museum (Nat. Hist.) I. Gekkonidae, Eublepharidae, Uroplatidae, Pygopodidae, Agamidae. Taylor & Francis, London, 450 pp.
- Blackburn DC, Siler CD, Diesmos AC, McGuire JA, Cannatella DC, Brown RM (2013) An adaptive radiation of frogs in a Southeast Asian island archipelago. *Evolution* 67: 2631–2646. doi: 10.1111/evo.12145
- Brown RM (2007) Introduction to Robert F. Inger’s Systematics and Zoogeography of Philippine Amphibia. Forward to the reprint of Inger’s 1954 monograph. *Systematics and Zoogeography of Philippine Amphibia*. Natural History Publications, Kota Kinabalu, 1–17.
- Brown RM (2015) A new species of stream frog (genus *Hylarana*) from the mountains of southern Mindanao Island, Philippines. *Herpetologica* 71: 223–233. doi: 10.1655/Herpetologica-D-14-00075
- Brown RM, Diesmos AC (2001) Application of lineage-based species concepts to oceanic island frog populations: The effects of differing taxonomic philosophies on the estimation of Philippine biodiversity. *Silliman Journal* 42: 133–162.
- Brown RM, Diesmos AC (2009) Philippines, Biology. In: Gillespie R, Clague D (Eds) *Encyclopedia of Islands*. University of California Press, Berkeley, 723–732.
- Brown RM, Diesmos AC, Alcala AC (2002) The state of Philippine herpetology and the challenges for the next decade. *Silliman Journal* 42: 18–87. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2002.tb01704.x

- Brown RM, Diesmos AC, Alcala AC (2008) Philippine amphibian biodiversity is increasing in leaps and bounds. In: Stuart SN, Hoffmann M, Chanson JS, Cox NA, Berridge R, Ramani P, Young BE (Eds) *Threatened Amphibians of the World*. Lynx Ediciones, Barcelona, Spain; IUCN – The World Conservation Union, Gland, Switzerland, and Conservation International, Arlington Virginia, 82–83.
- Brown RM, Diesmos AC, Sanguila MB, Siler CD, Diesmos ML, Alcala AC (2012b) Amphibian conservation in the Philippines. *FrogLog* 104: 40–43.
- Brown RM, Ferner JF, Ruedas LA (1995b) A new species of lygosomine lizard (Reptilia; Lacertilia; Scincidae; *Sphenomorphus*) from Mt. Isarog, Luzon Island, Philippines. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 108: 18–28.
- Brown RM, Ferner JF, Sison RV (1995a) Rediscovery and re-description of *Sphenomorphus beyeri* (Lacertilia; Scincidae) from the Zambales Mountains of Luzon, Philippines. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 108: 6–17.
- Brown RM, Ferner JW, Sison RV, Gonzales PC, Kennedy RS (1996) Amphibians and reptiles of the Zambales mountains of Luzon Island, Philippines. *Herpetological Natural History* 4: 1–17.
- Brown RM, Guttman SI (2002) Phylogenetic systematics of the *Rana signata* complex of Philippine and Bornean stream frogs: Reconsideration of Huxley's modification of Wallace's Line at the Oriental–Australian faunal zone interface. *Biological Journal Linnean Society* 76: 393–461.
- Brown RM, Linkem CW, Balete D, Duya MV, Diesmos AC, Ferner JW (2010a) Species boundaries in Philippine montane forest skinks (Genus *Sphenomorphus*): three new species from the mountains of Luzon and clarification of the status of the poorly known *S. beyeri*, *S. knollmanae*, and *S. laterimaculatus*. *Scientific Papers of the Natural History Museum of the University of Kansas* 42: 1–27.
- Brown RM, Linkem CW, Siler CD, Sukumaran J, Esselstyn JA, Diesmos AC, Iskandar DT, Bickford D, Evans BJ, McGuire JA, Grismer L, Supriatna J, Andayani N (2010b) Phylogeography and historical demography of *Polypedates leucomystax* in the islands of Indonesia and the Philippines: evidence for recent human-mediated range expansion? *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 57: 598–619. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.06.015
- Brown RM, McGuire JA, Diesmos AC (2000b) Status of some Philippine frogs related to *Rana everetti* (Anura: Ranidae), description of a new species, and resurrection of *Rana igorota* Taylor 1922. *Herpetologica* 56: 81–104.
- Brown RM, McGuire JA, Ferner JF, Icarangal Jr N, Kennedy RS (2000a) Amphibians and reptiles of Luzon Island, II: preliminary report on the herpetofauna of Aurora Memorial National Park, Philippines. *Hamadryad* 25: 175–195.
- Brown RM, Prue A, Chan KO, Diesmos AC, Siler CD (in review) New species of stream frog (Genus *Sanguirana*) from the Central Philippines. *Herpetologica*.
- Brown RM, Siler CD (2013) Spotted stream frog diversification at the Australasian faunal zone interface, mainland versus island comparisons, and a test of the Philippine 'dual-umbilicus' hypothesis. *Journal of Biogeography* 41: 182–195. doi: 10.1111/jbi.12192
- Brown RM, Siler CD, Diesmos AC, Alcala AC (2009) The Philippine frogs of the genus *Leptobrachium* (Anura; Megophryidae): Phylogeny-based species delimitation, taxonomic revi-

- sion, and descriptions of three new species. *Herpetological Monographs* 23: 1–44. doi: 10.1655/09-037.1
- Brown RM, Siler CD, Oliveros CH, Welton LJ, Rock A, Swab J, van Weerd M, Rodriguez JE, Diesmos AC (2013a) The amphibians and reptiles of Luzon Island, Philippines, VIII: the herpetofauna of Cagayan and Isabela Provinces, northern Sierra Madre Mountain Range. *Zookeys* 266: 1–120. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.266.3982
- Brown RM, Siler CD, Oliveros CH, Esselstyn JA, Diesmos AC, Hosner P, Linkem CW, Barley AJ, Oaks JR, Sanguila MB, Welton LJ, Blackburn DS, Moyle RG, Peterson AT, Alcala AC (2013b) Evolutionary processes of diversification in a model island archipelago. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 44: 411–435. doi: 10.1146/annurev-eolsys-110411-160323
- Brown RM, Siler CD, Richards S, Diesmos AC, Cannatella DC (2015) Multilocus phylogeny and a new classification for Southeast Asian and Melanesian forest frogs (family Ceratobatrachidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnaean Society* 174: 130–168. doi: 10.1111/zoj.12232
- Brown RM, Stuart BL (2012) Patterns of biodiversity discovery through time: An historical analysis of amphibian species discoveries in the Southeast Asian mainland and island archipelagos. In: Gower DJ, Johnson KG, Richardson JE, Rosen BR, Rüber L, Williams ST (Eds) *Biotic Evolution and Environmental Change in Southeast Asia*. Cambridge University Press, 348–389.
- Brown RM, Su YC, Barger B, Siler CD, Sanguila MB, Diesmos AC, Blackburn DC (2016) Phylogeny of the island archipelago frog genus *Sanguirana*: another endemic Philippine radiation that diversified ‘Out-of-Palawan.’ *Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution* 94: 531–536.
- Brown RM, Weghorst JA, Olson KV, Duya MRM, Barley AJ, Duya LV, Shekelle M, Neri-Arboleda I, Esselstyn JA, Dominy NJ, Ong PS, Moritz GL, Luczon A, Diesmos MLD, Diesmos AC, Siler CD (2014) Conservation genetics of the Philippine tarsier: Cryptic genetic variation restructures conservation priorities for an island archipelago primate. *PLoS ONE* 9: e104340. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104340
- Brown WC (1995) A new lizard of the genus *Sphenomorphus* (Reptilia: Scincidae) from Mt. Kitanglad, Mindanao Island, Philippine Islands. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 108: 388–391.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1961) Populations of amphibians and reptiles in the submontane and montane forests of Cuernos de Negros, Philippine Islands. *Ecology* 42: 628–636. doi: 10.2307/1933494
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1964) Relationship of the herpetofaunas of the non-dipterocarp communities to that of the dipterocarp forest on southern Negros Island, Philippines. *Senckenbergiana Biologie* 45: 591–611.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1967) A new frog of the genus *Oreophryne* and a list of amphibians from Camiguin Island, Philippines. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 80: 65–68.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1970) The zoogeography of the Philippine Islands, a fringing archipelago. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 38: 105–130.

- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1977) A new frog of the genus *Rana* from the Philippines. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 90: 669–675.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1978) Philippine Lizards of the Family Gekkonidae. Silliman University Press, Dumaguete City, Philippines.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1980) Philippines lizards of the family Scincidae. Silliman University Natural Science Monograph Series 2, Dumaguete City, 264 pp.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1986) Comparison of the herpetofaunal species richness on Negros and Cebu Islands, Philippines. Silliman Journal 33: 74–86.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC (1994) Philippine frogs of the family Rhacophoridae. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 48: 185–220.
- Brown WC, Alcala AC, Brown RM (1998) Taxonomic status of *Cornufer worcesteri* Stejneger. Journal of Herpetology 32: 131–133 doi: 10.2307/1565494
- Brown WC, Alcala AC, Diesmos AC, Alcala E (1997) Species of the *guentheri* group of *Platymantis* with descriptions of four new species. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 50: 1–20.
- Beukema W (2011) Herpetofauna of disturbed forest fragments on the lower Mt. Kitanglad Range, Mindanao Island, Philippines. Salamandra 47: 90–98.
- Castoe TA, Smith EN, Brown RM, Parkinson CL (2007) Higher-level phylogeny of Asian and American coral snakes, their placement within the Elapidae (Squamata), and the systematic affinities of the enigmatic Asian coral snake *Hemibungarus calligaster* (Wiegmann, 1834). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 151: 809–831. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2007.00350.x
- David P, Ineich I (1999) Les serpents venimeux du monde: systématique et répartition. Dumerilia 3: 3–499.
- Delima EM, Ates MFB, Ibañez JC (2006) Species composition and microhabitats of frogs within Arakan Valley Conservation Area, Cotabato, Mindanao Island, Philippines. Banwa 3: 16–30.
- Delima EM, Diesmos AC, Ibañez JC (2007) The herpetofaunal importance of Mt. Hamiguitan Range, Mindanao Island, Philippines. Banwa 4: 27–40.
- Devan-Song A, Brown RM (2012) Amphibians and Reptiles of Luzon Island, Philippines, VI: the Herpetofauna of the Subic Bay Area. Asian Herpetological Research 3: 1–20. doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1245.2012.00001
- Diamond JM, Gilpin ME (1983) Biogeographic umbilici and the origin of the Philippine avifauna. Oikos 41: 307–321. doi: 10.2307/3544090
- Dickerson R (1928) Distribution of Life in the Philippines. Philippine Bureau of Science, Monograph 21, Manila, Philippines.
- Diesmos AC, Diesmos ML, Brown RM (2006) Status and distribution of alien invasive frogs in the Philippines. Journal of Environmental Science and Management 9: 41–53.
- Diesmos AC, Brown RM, Alcala AC, Sison RV (2008) Status and distribution of nonmarine turtles of the Philippines. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 7: 157–177. doi: 10.2744/CCB-0672.1
- Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2011) Diversity, Biogeography, and Conservation of Philippine Amphibians. In Biology and Conservation of Tropical Asian Amphibians. Proceedings of the Conference “Biology of the Amphibians in the Sunda Region, South-east Asia.” In: Das I,

- Haas A, Tuen AA (Eds) Institute of Biodiversity and Environmental Conservation, University Malaysia Sarawak, Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia, 26–49.
- Diesmos MLD, Diesmos AC, Siler CD, Vredenburg VT, Brown RM (2012) Detecting the Distribution of the Chytrid Fungus in the Philippines. *FrogLog* 104: 48–49.
- Diesmos AC, Alcala AC, Siler CD, Brown RM (2014) Status and Conservation of Philippine Amphibians. In: Heatwole H, Das I (Eds) *Conservation Biology of Amphibians of Asia. Status and Decline of Amphibians: Eastern Hemisphere*. Natural History Publications (Borneo), Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia.
- Diesmos AC, Watters JL, Huron NA, Davis DR, Alcala AC, Crombie RI, Afuang LE, Gee-Das G, Sison RV, Sanguila MB, Penrod ML, Labonte MJ, Davey CS, Leone EA, Diesmos ML, Sy EY, Welton LJ, Brown RM, Siler CD (2015) Amphibians of the Philippines, part I: checklist of the species. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 62: 451–531.
- Duellman WE, Trueb L (1994) *Biology of Amphibians*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 670 pp.
- Dudley R, Byrnes G, Yanoviak S, Borrell B, Brown RM, McGuire JA (2007) Gliding and the functional origins of flight: biomechanical novelty or necessity? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 38: 179–201. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110014
- Esselstyn JA, Brown RM (2009) The role of repeated sea-level fluctuations in the generation of shrew (Soricidae: *Crocidura*) diversity in the Philippine Archipelago. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 53: 171–181. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.05.034
- Esselstyn JA, Maher SP, Brown RM (2011) Species interactions during diversification and community assembly in an island radiation of shrews. *PLoS ONE* 6: e21885. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021885
- Evans BJ, Brown RM, McGuire JA, Supriatna J, Andayani N, Diesmos AC, Iskandar D, Melnick DJ, Cannatella DC (2003) Phylogenetics of fanged frogs: testing biogeographical hypotheses at the interface of the Asian and Australian faunal zones. *Systematic Biology* 52: 794–819. doi: 10.1080/10635150390251063
- Ferner JW, Brown RM, Sison RV, Kennedy RS (2001) The amphibians and reptiles of Panay Island, Philippines. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 9: 34–70. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.15561
- Fischer JG (1885) Ichthyologische und herpetologische Bemerkungen. V. Herpetologische Bemerkungen. *Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten* 2: 82–121.
- Fuiten A, Diesmos AC, Welton LJ, Barley AJ, Oberheide B, Rico ELB, Brown RM (2011) New species of stream frog from the mountains of Luzon Island, Philippines. *Herpetologica* 67: 89–103. doi: 10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-10-00042.1
- Gaulke M (1994) Contribution to the snake fauna of the Sulu Archipelago, with the description of a new subspecies of *Dendrelaphis caudolineatus* (Gray, 1834). *The Herpetological Journal* 4: 136–144.
- Gaulke M (1998) Utilization and conservation of lizards and snakes in the Philippines. In: Erdelen W (Ed.) *Conservation, Trade and Sustainable Use of Lizards and Snakes in Indonesia*. Merten-siella, supplement of *Salamandra* 9: 137–142.
- Gaulke M (2011) *The Herpetofauna of Panay Island, Philippines. An Illustrated Field Guide*, Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main.

- Gonzales P, Su YC, Siler CD, Barley AJ, Sanguila MB, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2014) Archipelago colonization by ecologically dissimilar amphibians: evaluating the expectation of common evolutionary history of geographical diffusion in co-distributed rainforest tree frogs in islands of Southeast Asia. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 72: 35–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.12.006
- Gray JE (1842) Synopsis of the species of rattle snakes, or family of Crotalidae. *Zoological Miscellany* 2: 47–51.
- Gray JE (1845) Catalogue of the specimens of lizards in the collection of the British Museum. Trustees of the British Museum/Edward Newman, London, 289 pp.
- Grismer LL (2011) Lizards of Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore, and their adjacent archipelagos. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt.
- Grismer LL, Wood Jr PL, Anuar S, Muin MA, Quah ES, McGuire JA, Brown RM, Tri NV (2013) Integrative taxonomy uncovers high levels of cryptic species diversity in *Hemiphyllodactylus* Bleeker, 1860 (Squamata: Gekkonidae) and the description of a new species from Peninsular Malaysia. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 169: 849–880. doi: 10.1111/zoj.12064
- Grismer LL, Wood PL, Lee CH, Quah ES, Anuar S, Ngadi E, Sites Jr JW (2015) An integrative taxonomic review of the agamid genus *Bronchocela* (Kuhl, 1820) from Peninsular Malaysia with descriptions of new montane and insular endemics.
- Grismer LL, Wood PL, Aowphol A, Cota M, Murdocj ML, Aguilar C, Grismer M (2016) Taxonomy, phylogeny, and distribution of *Bronchocela rayaensis* (Squamata: Agamidae) on the Thai-Malay Peninsula.
- Günther A (1858) Catalogue of Colubrine snakes of the British Museum. Taylor & Francis, London, 281 pp.
- Günther A (1879) List of mammals, reptiles, and batrachians sent by Mr. Everett from the Philippine Islands. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1879: 74–79. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1879.tb02627.x
- Günther A (1883) Description of two snakes from the ‘Challenger’ collections. *Annals of Natural History* 11: 136–137. doi: 10.1080/00222938309459109
- Gumprecht A, Tillack F, Orlov NL, Captain A, Ryabow S (2004) Asian pitvipers. Geitje Books, Berlin, 368 pp.
- Hall R (1996) Reconstructing Cenozoic SE Asia. In: Hall R, Blundell D (Eds) *Tectonic evolution of Southeast Asia*. Geological Society, London, 153–184. doi: 10.1144/gsl.sp.1996.106.01.11
- Hall R (1998) The plate tectonics of Cenozoic SE Asia and the distribution of land and sea. In: Hall R, Holloway JD (Eds) *Biogeography and geological evolution of southeast Asia*. Brackhuys, Leiden, 99–132.
- Hall R (2002) Cenozoic geological and plate tectonic evolution of SE Asia and the SW Pacific: computer-based reconstructions, model and animations. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 20: 353–431. doi: 10.1016/S1367-9120(01)00069-4
- Hallermann J (2005) A taxonomic review of the genus *Bronchocela* (Squamata: Agamidae) with description of a new species from Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 12: 167–182.

- Heyer WR, Donnelly MA, McDiarmid RW, Hayek L-AC, Foster MS (1994) Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Amphibians. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington DC, 384 pp.
- Hosner PA, Sánchez-González LA, Peterson AT, Moyle RG (2014) Phylogeography and paleo-environmental niche modeling support climate-driven diversification in Philippine birds. *Evolution* 68: 2658–2674. doi: 10.1111/evo.12459
- Inger RF (1954) Systematics and zoogeography of Philippine Amphibia. *Fieldiana* 33: 181–531. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.5571
- Inger RF (1960) A review of the Oriental toads of the genus *Ansonia* Stoliczka. *Fieldiana* 39: 473–503. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.2697
- Inger RF (1967) A new colubrid snake of the genus *Stegonotus* from Borneo. *Fieldiana* 51: 77–81.
- Inger RF (1985) Tadpoles of the forested regions of Borneo. *Fieldiana* 26: 1–89.
- Inger RF (1992) Variation of apomorphic characters in stream-dwelling tadpoles of the bufonid genus *Ansonia* (Amphibia: Anura). *Zoological Journal Linnean Society* 105: 225–237. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1992.tb01230.x
- Inger RF (1999) Distribution of amphibians in southern Asia and adjacent islands. In: Duellman WE (Ed.) *Patterns of distribution of amphibians: A global perspective*. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, Maryland, 445–482.
- Inger RF (2005) The frog fauna of the Indo-Malayan region as it applies to Wallace’s line. In: Tuen AA, Das I (Eds) *Wallace in Sarawak – 150 Years Later: An International Conference of Biogeography and Biodiversity*. Institute of Biodiversity and Environmental Conservation, University Malaysia Sarawak, Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, 82–90.
- Inger RF, Marx H (1965) The systematics and evolution of the Oriental snake genus *Calamaria*. *Fieldiana* 49: 1–304.
- Inger RF, Voris HK (2001) The biogeographical relations of the frogs and snakes of Sundaland. *Journal of Biogeography* 28: 863–891. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00580.x
- IUCN (2010) Guidelines for using the IUCN redlist categories and criteria, version 8.1 Electronic material available at <http://intranet.iucn.org/webfiles/doc/SSC/RedList/RedList-Guidelines.pdf> [captured on August 15 2015]
- IUCN (2016) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 1016-1. <http://www.iucnredlist.org>
- Jones AW, Kennedy RS (2008) Evolution in a tropical archipelago: comparative phylogeography of Philippine fauna and flora reveals complex patterns of colonization and diversification. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 95: 620–639. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2008.01073.x
- Justiniano R, Schenk JJ, Balete DS, Rickart EA, Esselstyn JA, Heaney LR, Steppan SJ (2015) Testing diversification models of endemic Philippine forest mice (*Apomys*) with nuclear phylogenies across elevational gradients reveals repeated colonization of isolated mountain ranges. *Journal of Biogeography* 42: 51–64. doi: 10.1111/jbi.12401
- Kurniawan N, Mohammed MI, Djong HT, Igawa T, Belabut DM, Yong SH, Wanichanon R, Khan MMR, Iskandar DT, Nishioka M, Sumida M (2010) Genetic divergence and evolutionary relationship in *Fejervarya cancrivora* from Indonesia and other Asian countries

- inferred from allozyme and mtDNA sequence analyses. *Zoological Science* 27: 222–233. doi: 10.2108/zsj.27.222
- Kurniawan N, Hon DT, Mafizul MI, Nishizawa T, Belabut DM, Hoi Sen HY, Wanichanon R, Yasir I, Sumida M (2011) Taxonomic status of three types of *Fejervarya cancrivora* from Indonesia and other Asian countries based on morphological observations and crossing experiments. *Zoological Science* 28: 12–24. doi: 10.2108/zsj.28.12
- Lanza B (1999) A new species of *Lycodon* from the Philippines, with a key to the genus (Reptilia: Serpentes: Colubridae). *Tropical Zoology* 12: 89–104. doi: 10.1080/03946975.1999.10539380
- Lehr E, Holloway R (2003) Turtle trade in Cambodia. *Reptilia* 30: 64–71.
- Leviton AE (1963a) Remarks on the zoogeography of Philippine terrestrial snakes. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 42: 112–145.
- Leviton AE (1963b) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, III. The genera *Maticora* and *Calliophis*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 92: 523–550.
- Leviton AE (1963c) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes. I. The snakes of the genus *Oligodon*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 91: 459–484.
- Leviton AE (1964a) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, V. The snakes of the genus *Trimeresurus*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 93: 251–276.
- Leviton AE (1964b) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, VII. The snakes of the genera *Naja* and *Ophiophagus*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 93: 531–550.
- Leviton AE (1964c) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, VI. The snakes of the genus *Oxyrhabdium*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 93: 407–422.
- Leviton AE (1964d) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, IV. The genera of *Chrysopelea* and *Dryophiops*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 93: 131–145.
- Leviton AE (1965a) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, IX. The snakes of the genus *Cyclocorus*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 94: 519–533.
- Leviton AE (1965b) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, VIII. The snakes of the genus *Lycodon* H. Boie. *Philippine Journal of Science* 94: 117–140.
- Leviton AE (1967) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, X. The snakes of the genus *Ahaetulla*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 96: 73–90.
- Leviton AE (1968) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, XII. The Philippine snakes of the genus *Dendrelaphis* (Serpentes: Colubrodæ). *Philippine Journal of Science* 97: 371–396.
- Leviton AE (1970a) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, XI. The snakes of the genus *Boiga*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 97: 291–314.
- Leviton AE (1970b) Description of a new subspecies of *Rhabdophis auriculata* in the Philippines, with comments on the zoogeography of Mindanao Island. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 38: 18–20.
- Leviton AE (1979) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, XIII. The snakes of the genus *Elaphe*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 106: 99–128.
- Leviton AE (1983) Contributions to a review of Philippine snakes, XIV. The snakes of the genera *Xenopeltis*, *Zaocys*, *Psammodynastes* and *Myersophis*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 112: 195–223.
- Leviton AE, Brown RM, Siler CD (2014) The Dangerously Venomous Snakes of the Philippine Archipelago with Identification Keys and Species Accounts. In: Williams GC, Gosliner

- TM (Eds) The Coral Triangle: The 2011 Hearst Philippine Biodiversity Expedition. California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California, 473–530.
- Linkem CA, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2010a) A new scincid lizard (Genus *Sphenomorphus*) from Palawan Island, Philippines. *Herpetologica* 66: 67–79. doi: 10.1655/08-074.1
- Linkem CA, Hesed K, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2010b) Species boundaries and cryptic lineage diversity in a Philippine forest skink complex (Reptilia; Squamata; Scincidae: Lygosominae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 56: 572–585. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.03.043
- Linkem CW, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2011) Molecular systematics of the Philippine forest skinks (Reptilia: Scincidae: *Sphenomorphus*): Testing morphological and biogeographic hypotheses of interspecific relationships. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 163: 1217–1243. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2011.00747.x
- Linkem CW, Brown RM, Siler CD, Evans BJ, Austin CC, Iskandar DT, Diesmos AC, Supriatna J, Andayani N, McGuire JA (2013) Stochastic faunal exchanges drive diversification in widespread Wallacean and Pacific Island lizards (Squamata: Scincidae: *Lamprolepis smaragdina*). *Journal of Biogeography* 40: 507–520. doi: 10.1111/jbi.12022
- Linkem CW, Brown RM (2013) Systematic revision of the *Parvosцинus decipiens* (Boulenger 1894) complex of Philippine forest skinks (Squamata: Scincidae: Lygosominae) with descriptions of seven new species. *Zootaxa* 3700: 501–533. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3700.4.1
- Malnate EV, Underwood G (1988) Australasian natricine snakes of the genus *Tropidonophis*. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 140: 59–201.
- Manthey U, Grossman W (1997) Amphibien & Reptilien Südasiens. Natur und Tier, Berlin, 512 pp.
- Matsui M, Tominaga A, Liu W, Khonsue W, Grismer LL, Diesmos AC, Das I, Sudin A, Yambun P, Yong H, Sukumaran J, Brown RM (2010) Phylogenetic relationships of *Ansonia* from Southeast Asia inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences: systematic and biogeographic implications (Anura: Bufonidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 54: 561–570. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.08.003
- McDiarmid RW, Campbell JA, Touré TA (1999) Snake Species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference, Volume 1. The Herpetologists' League, Washington DC, 511 pp.
- McDowell SB (1974) A catalogue of the snakes of New Guinea and the Solomons, with special reference to those in the Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Part I. Scolecophidia. *Journal of Herpetology* 8: 1–57. doi: 10.2307/1563076
- McGuire JA, Alcala AC (2000) A taxonomic revision of the flying lizards of the Philippine Islands (Iguania: Agamidae: *Draco*), with a description of a new species. *Herpetological Monographs* 14: 92–145. doi: 10.2307/1467046
- McGuire JA, Kiew B-H (2001) Phylogenetic systematics of Southeast Asian flying lizards (Iguania: Agamidae: *Draco*) as inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequence data. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 72: 203–229.
- McLeod DS, Siler CD, Diesmos AC, Diesmos MLD, Garcia VS, Arkonco AO, Balaquit KL, Uy CC, Villaseran MM, Yarra EC, Brown RM (2011) Amphibians and Reptiles of Luzon Island, V: The herpetofauna of Angat Dam Watershed, Bulacan Province, Luzon Island, Philippines. *Asian Herpetological Research* 2011: 177–198.

- Nuñeza OM, Ates FB, Alicante AA (2010) Distribution of endemic and threatened herpetofauna in Mt. Malindang, Mindanao, Philippines. *Biodiversity Conservation* 19: 503–518. doi: 10.1007/s10531-009-9742-z
- Nuñeza OM, Galorio AHN (2015) Cave Herpetofauna of Siargao Island Protected Landscape and Seascape, Philippines. *World Journal of Environmental Biosciences* 4: 26–35.
- Oaks JR, Sukumaran J, Esselstyn JA, Linkem CW, Siler CD, Holder MT, Brown RM (2013) Evidence for Pleistocene-driven diversification? A caution for interpreting ABC inferences of simultaneous historical events. *Evolution* 67: 991–1010. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01840.x
- Oliver LA, Prendini E, Kraus F, Raxworthy CJ (2015) Systematics and biogeography of the *Hylarana* frog (Anura: Ranidae) radiation across tropical Australasia, Southeast Asia, and Africa. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 90: 176–192. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2015.05.001
- Oliveros CH, Moyle RG (2010) Origin and diversification of Philippine bulbuls. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 54: 822–832. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.12.001
- Oliveros CH, Ota H, Crombie RI, Brown RM (2011) The Herpetofauna of the Babuyan group of islands, northern Philippines. *Scientific Publications of the Natural History Museum of the University of Kansas* 43: 1–20.
- Peters WCH (1867) Herpetologische Notizen. *Monatsber. Königlich-Preußische Akademie der Wissenschaften-Berlin* 1867: 13–37.
- Peterson AT, Brooks T, Gamauf A, Gonzalez JCT, Mallari AD, Dutson G, Bush SE, Clayton DH, Fernandez R (2008) The Avifauna of Mt. Kitanglad, Bukidnon Province, Mindanao, Philippines. *Fieldiana* 114: 1–40. doi: 10.3158/0015-0754(2008)114[1:TAOMKB]2.0.CO;2
- Plaza JL, Sanguila MB (2015) Preliminary report on the anurans of Mount Hilong-hilong, Agusan del Norte, Eastern Mindanao, Philippines. *Asian Herpetological Research* 6: 18–33.
- Poe S (2013) 1986 Redux: New genera of anoles (Squamata: Dactyloidae) are unwarranted. *Zootaxa* 3626: 295–299. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3626.2.7
- Poyarkov NA Jr., Orlov NL, Moiseeva AV, Pawangkhanant P, Ruangsuwan T, Vassilieva AB, Galoyan EA, Nguyen TT, Gogoleva SI (2015) Sorting out Moss Frogs: mtDNA data on taxonomic diversity and phylogenetic relationships of the Indochinese species of the genus *Theloderma* (Anura, Rhacophoridae). *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 22: 241–280.
- Relox RE, Leaño EP, Bates-Camino F (2011) Herpetofaunal endemism and diversity in tropical forests of Mt. Hamiguitan in the Philippines. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 6: 107–113.
- Remollino AM (2004) Desertification in the making. *Bulatlat*. Vol. 4, December 12–18, 2004. Available at <http://www.bulatlat.com/news>
- Roberts TE, Lanier HC, Sarjis EJ, Olson LE (2011) Molecular phylogeny of treeshrews (Mammalia: Scandentia) and the timescale of diversification in Southeast Asia. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 60: 358–372. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2011.04.021
- Ross CA, Lazell J (1990) Amphibians and reptiles of Dinagat and Siargao Islands, Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Science* 119: 257–286.
- Sanguila MB, Siler CD, Diesmos AC, Nuñeza O, Brown RM (2011) Phylogeography, geographic structure, genetic variation, and potential species boundaries in Philippine slender toads. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 61: 333–350. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2011.06.019

- Schoppe S (2008) Science in CITES: The biology and ecology of the Southeast Asian Box Turtle and its uses and trade in Malaysia TRAFFIC Southeast Asia, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Siler CD, Dececchi AA, Merkord CL, Davis DR, Christiani TJ, Brown RM (2014d) Cryptic diversity and population genetic structure in the rare, endemic, forest-obligate, geckos of the Philippines. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 70: 204–209. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.09.014
- Siler CD, Diesmos AC, Alcala AC, Brown RM (2011b) Phylogeny of Philippine slender skinks (Scincidae: *Brachymeles*) reveals underestimated species diversity, complex biogeographical relationships, and cryptic patterns of lineage diversification. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 59: 53–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.12.019
- Siler CD, Jones RM, Diesmos AC, Diesmos ML, Brown RM (2012b) Phylogeny-based species delimitation in Philippine slender skinks (Reptilia: Squamata: Scincidae) III: taxonomic revision of the *Brachymeles gracilis* complex, with description of three new species. *Herpetological Monographs* 26: 135–172. doi: 10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-11-00006.1
- Siler CD, Linkem CW, Cobb KA, Watters JL, Cummings J, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2014e) Taxonomic revision of the semi-aquatic skink *Parvosцинus leucospilos* (Reptilia: Squamata: Scincidae), with description of three new species. *Zootaxa* 3847: 388–412. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3847.3.4
- Siler CD, Lira-Noriega A, Brown RM (2014a) Conservation genetics of Australasian Sailfin Lizards: flagship species threatened by coastal development and insufficient protected area coverage. *Biological Conservation* 169: 100–108. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.10.014
- Siler CD, Oaks JR, Cobb K, Ota H, Brown RM (2014b) Critically endangered island endemic or peripheral population of a widespread species? Conservation genetics of Kikuchi's gecko and the global challenge of protecting peripheral oceanic island endemic vertebrates. *Diversity and Distributions* 20: 756–772. doi: 10.1111/ddi.12169
- Siler CD, Oaks JR, Esselstyn JA, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2010) Phylogeny and biogeography of Philippine bent-toed geckos (Gekkonidae: *Cyrtodactylus*) contradict a prevailing model of Pleistocene diversification. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 55: 699–710. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.01.027
- Siler CD, Oaks JR, Welton LJ, Linkem CW, Swab J, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2012c) Did geckos ride the Palawan raft to the Philippines? *Journal of Biogeography* 39: 1217–1234. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02680.x
- Siler CD, Oliveros CH, Santannen A, Brown RM (2013) Multilocus phylogeny reveals unexpected patterns of regional and morphological diversification in Asian Wolf Snakes (genus *Lycodon*). *Zoologica Scripta* 42: 263–277. doi: 10.1111/zsc.12007
- Siler CD, Swab JC, Oliveros CH, Diesmos AC, Averia L, Alcala AC, Brown RM (2012a) Amphibians and reptiles, Romblon Island Group, central Philippines: comprehensive herpetofaunal inventory. *Check List* 8: 443–462. doi: 10.15560/8.3.443
- Siler CD, Welton LJ, Siler JM, Brown J, Bucol A, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2011a) Amphibians and Reptiles, Luzon Island, Aurora Province and Aurora Memorial National Park, Northern Philippines: New island distribution records. *Check List* 7: 182–195.
- Siler CD, Welton LJ, Davis DR, Watters JL, Davey CS, Diesmos AC, Diesmos ML, Brown RM (2014c) Taxonomic revision of the *Pseudogekko compresicarpus* Complex (Reptilia:

- Squamata: Gekkonidae), with descriptions of three new species. Herpetological Monographs 28: 110–139. doi: 10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-14-00005
- Simmons J (2015) Herpetological collecting and collections management. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles Herpetology Circular 42: 1–210.
- Smith BE (1993a) Notes on a collection of squamate reptiles from eastern Mindanao, Philippine Islands part 1: Lacertilia. Asiatic Herpetological Research 5: 85–95.
- Smith BE (1993b) Notes on a collection of squamate reptiles from eastern Mindanao, Philippine Islands part 2: Serpentes. Asiatic Herpetological Research 5: 96–102.
- Smith MA (1930) The Reptilia and Amphibia of the Malay Peninsula. Bulletin of the Raffles Museum 3: 1–149.
- Smith MA (1935) The Fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Reptilia and Amphibia, II.-Sauria. Taylor and Francis, London.
- Sy EY, Tan EK (2013) Geographic Distribution: *Gonyosoma oxycephalum* (red-tailed green ratsnake). Herpetological Review 44: 275.
- Stejneger L (1910) Description of a new frog from the Philippine Islands. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collection 52: 437–439.
- Steppan SJ, Zawadzki C, Heaney LR (2003) Molecular phylogeny of the endemic Philippine rodent *Apomys* (Muridae) and the dynamics of diversification in an oceanic archipelago. Biological Journal of Linnean Society 80: 699–71. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2003.00274.x
- Swei AJ, Rowley JL, Rödder D, Diesmos MLL, Diesmos AC, Briggs CJ, Brown RM, Cao TT, Cheng TL, Chong RA, Han B, Hero JM, Hoang HD, Kusri MD, Le DTT, McGuire JA, Meegaskumbura M, Min MMS, Mulcahy DG, Neang T, Phimmachak S, Rao DQ, Reeder NM, Schoville SD, Sivongxay N, Srei N, Stöck M, Stuart BL, Torres LS, Tran DTA, Tunstall TS, Vietes D, Vredenburg VT (2011) Is chytridiomycosis an emerging disease in Asia? PLoS ONE 6: e23179. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023179
- Tabaranza BR, Tabaranza ACE, Bagaloyos AP, Dimapilis A (2001) Flora and fauna of Mt. Malindang Natural Park, Don Victoriano and Lopez Jaena, Misamis Occidental. Haribon Foundation for the Conservation of Natural Resources, Inc., Dilliman Quezon City, 34–36.
- Taylor EH (1917a) *Brachymeles*, a genus of Philippine lizards. Philippine Journal of Science 12: 267–279.
- Taylor EH (1917b) Snakes and lizards known from Negros with descriptions of new species and new subspecies. Philippine Journal of Science 12: 353–381.
- Taylor EH (1918a) Reptiles of the Sulu archipelago. Philippine Journal of Science 13: 233–267.
- Taylor EH (1918b) Two new snakes of the genus *Holarchus* with descriptions of other new species. Philippine Journal of Science 13: 359–369.
- Taylor EH (1919) New or rare Philippine reptiles. Philippine Journal of Science 14: 105–125. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.14566
- Taylor EH (1920a) Philippine Amphibia. Philippine Journal of Science 16: 213–359. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.4751
- Taylor EH (1920b) Philippine turtles. Philippine Journal of Science 16: 111–144. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.4748

- Taylor EH (1921) Amphibians and Turtles of the Philippine Islands. Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Bureau of Science, Manila Publication 15: 1–193.
- Taylor EH (1922a) The Lizards of the Philippine Islands. Philippine Bureau of Science Monograph 17.
- Taylor EH (1922b) Additions to the herpetological fauna of the Philippine Islands, I. Philippine Journal of Science 21: 161–206. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.25389
- Taylor EH (1922c) Additions to the herpetological fauna of the Philippine Islands, II. Philippine Journal of Science 21: 257–303. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.25389
- Taylor EH (1922d) The snakes of the Philippine Islands. Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Bureau of Science, Manila, Philippines, 312 pp. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.55346
- Taylor EH (1923) Additions to the herpetological fauna of the Philippine Islands, III. Philippine Journal of Science 22: 515–557.
- Taylor EH (1928) Amphibians, lizards, and snakes of the Philippines. In: Dickerson R (Ed.) Distribution of Life in the Philippines. Philippine Bureau of Science, Monograph 21, Manila, 214–241.
- Taylor EH (1960) On the caecilian species *Ichthyophis glutinosus* and *Ichthyophis monochrous*, with description of related species. University of Kansas Science Bulletin 40: 37–120. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.18735
- Taylor EH (1965) New Asiatic and African caecilians with redescrptions of certain other species. University of Kansas Science Bulletin 45: 253–302. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.20077
- Taylor EH (1975) Philippine adventures: an autobiographical memoir. In: Taylor EH, Leonard AB, Smith HM, Pisani GR (Eds) Recollections of an Herpetologist. University of Kansas Museum of Natural History (Monograph No. 4), Lawrence, 1–105.
- Uetz P, Hošek J (Eds) (2015) The Reptile Database. <http://www.reptile-database.org> [accessed March, 2015]
- Van Kampen PN (1923) The Amphibia of the Indo-Australian archipelago. Brill Publishing, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- Vogel G, van Rooijen J (2008) Contributions to a review of the *Dendrelaphis pictus* (Gmelin, 1789) complex - 2. the eastern forms. Herpetozoa 21: 3–29.
- Vogel G, David P, Lutz M, van Rooijen J, Vidal N (2007) Revision of the *Tropidolaemus wagleri*-complex (Serpentes: Viperidae: Crotalinae). I. Definition of included taxa and re-description of *Tropidolaemus wagleri* (Boie, 1827). Zootaxa 1644: 1–40.
- Voris HK (2000) Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: shorelines, river systems, time durations. Journal of Biogeography 27: 1153–1167. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00489.x
- Van Rooijen J, Vogel G (2012) A revision of the taxonomy of *Dendrelaphis caudolineatus* (Gray, 1834) (Serpentes: Colubridae). Zootaxa 3272: 1–25.
- Wiens JJ, Sukumaran JS, Pyron RA, Brown RM (2009) Evolutionary and biogeographic origins of high tropical diversity in old world frogs (Ranidae). Evolution 64: 1217–123. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00610.x
- Welton LJ, Siler CD, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2009) A new bent-toed gecko (Genus *Cyrtodactylus*) from southern Palawan Island, Philippines, and clarification of the taxonomic status of *C. annulatus*. Herpetologica 65: 323–343. doi: 10.1655/08-057R1.1

- Welton LJ, Siler CD, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2010) Philippine bent-toed geckos of the *Cyrtodactylus agusanensis* complex: multilocus phylogeny, morphological diversity, and description of three new species. *Herpetological Monographs* 24: 55–85. doi: 10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-10-00005.1
- Welton LJ, Siler CD, Oaks JR, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2013a) Multilocus phylogeny and Bayesian estimates of species boundaries reveal hidden evolutionary relationships and cryptic diversity in Southeast Asian monitor lizards. *Molecular Ecology* 22: 3495–3510. doi: 10.1111/mec.12324
- Welton LJ, Siler CD, Linkem CW, Diesmos AC, Diesmos ML, Sy E, Brown RM (2013b) Dragons in our midst: phyloforensics of illegally traded Southeast Asian water monitor lizards. *Biological Conservation* 159: 7–15. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2012.10.013
- Welton LJ, Siler CD, Travers SN, Brown RM (2014a) Integrative taxonomy and phylogeny-based species delimitation of Philippine water monitor lizards (*Varanus salvator* Complex) with descriptions of two new cryptic species. *Zootaxa* 3881: 201–227. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3881.3.1
- Welton LJ, Wood PL, Oaks JR, Siler CD, Brown RM (2014b) Fossil-calibrated phylogeny and historical biogeography of Southeast Asian water monitors (*Varanus salvator* Complex). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 74: 29–37. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.01.016
- Wynn A, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2015) Two new species of *Malayotyphlops* from the northern Philippines, with redescription of *M. luzonensis* (Taylor) and *M. ruber* (Boettger). *Journal of Herpetology* 50(1): 157–168. doi: 10.1670/14-104
- Wüster W, Thorpe RS (1990) Systematics and biogeography of the Asiatic cobra (*Naja naja*) species complex in the Philippine Islands. In: Peters G, Hutterer R (Eds) *Vertebrates in the tropics*. Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, 333–344.
- Yumul GP, Dimalanta CB, Queaño K, Marquez E (2009) Philippines, geology. In: Gillespie Rand, Clague D (Eds) *Encyclopedia of Islands*. University of California Press, Berkeley, 732–738.
- Yumul GP, Dimalanta CB, Tamayo RA, Maury RC (2003) Collision, subduction and accretion events in the Philippines: A synthesis. *Island Arc* 12: 77–91. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1738.2003.00382.x
- Zug GR (2010) Speciation and dispersal in a low diversity taxon: The Slender geckos *Hemiphyllodactylus* (Reptilia, Gekkonidae). *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 631: 1–70. doi: 10.5479/si.00810282.631
- Zug GR (2015) Morphology and systematics of *Kalophrynus interlineatus-pleurostigma* populations (Anura: Microhylidae: Kalophryninae) and a taxonomy of the genus *Kalophrynus* Tschudi, Asian Sticky Frogs. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 62: 135–190.